

***Tunabio*: biological traits of tropical tuna and bycatch species caught by purse seine fisheries in the Western Indian and Eastern Central Atlantic Oceans**

Aurelie M Guillou[‡], Nathalie Bodin[§], Emmanuel Chassot[‡], Antoine Duparc[‡], Théotime Fily[‡], Philippe S Sabarros[‡], Mathieu Depetris[‡], Monin J Amandè^l, Juliette Lucas[¶], Emilie Augustin[¶], N'guessan C Diaha[#], Laurent Floch[‡], Julien Barde[‡], Pedro J Pascual-Alayón[¶], José Carlos Báez[«], Pascal Cauquil[‡], Karine Briand[‡], Julien Lebranchu[‡]

[‡] MARBEC, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Sète, France

[§] Sustainable Ocean Seychelles (SOS), BeauBelle, Mahé, Seychelles

^l African Marine Expertises (Amexpert), Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire

[¶] Seychelles Fishing Authority (SFA), Victoria, Seychelles

[#] Centre de Recherches Océanologiques (CRO), Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire

[¶] Instituto Español de Oceanografía (IEO), Tenerife, Spain

[«] Instituto Español de Oceanografía (IEO), Malaga, Spain

Corresponding author: Aurelie M Guillou (aurelie.guillou85@gmail.com), Julien Lebranchu (julien.lebranchu@ird.fr)

Academic editor: Rupert Collins

Abstract

Background

Along with the development of the tropical tuna purse-seine fishery from the 1960s in the Atlantic Ocean and from the 1980s in the Indian Ocean, many projects and studies have been conducted to improve knowledge about the biology, migrations and dynamics of the stocks of target and non-target (i.e. bycatch) species taken in these fisheries. Since the 2000s, the European Union (EU) has been supporting Member States in the collection of biological data on species caught by their purse seine and pole and line fisheries, thus making it possible to have a long-term series of data. Biological data have never been saved by the different tuna commissions, unlike the catches by species and sizes by areas and periods. However, these data are essential to monitor the status of the fisheries and fuel the assessment models used by the tuna Regional Fisheries Management Organisations (tRFMOs) for the sustainable management and conservation of the fish stocks under their mandate.

New information

We combined historical (1974-1999) and current (2003-2020) datasets on the biology of tropical tunas and bycatch fish caught by large-scale purse seiners in the Eastern Atlantic Ocean (EAO) and Western Indian Ocean (WIO). The resulting *Tunabio* database is presented in the present data paper and contains all available morphometric and biological data collected on more than 80,000 fish individuals.

Keywords

tropical tunas, bycatch fish, purse seine, length-length and length-weight relationships, sex-ratio, maturity stage, gonad weight, diet

Introduction

The tropical tuna purse seine fishery has significantly increased in the Eastern Atlantic Ocean (EAO) and Western Indian Ocean (WIO) since its development in the 1960s and 1980s, respectively (Fonteneau and Marcille 1988, Báez et al. 2020). In both oceans, this fishery is dominated by the EU purse seine fleet, in particular under the Spanish and French flags (Chassot et al. 2013, Pascual-Alayón et al. 2019). In 2020, this fleet was composed of about eighty large-scale purse seiners in the EAO and WIO (Báez et al. 2020, Floch et al. 2020). Vessels target the three principal commercial tropical tuna species: yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*, YFT), skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*, SKJ) and bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*, BET). In these both areas, the EU annual catch during the period 2010-2019 represented on average about 30% of the total catch of tropical tuna (ICCAT 2020, IOTC 2021). A large number of bycatch species (non-targeted species) is also caught with purse seine, such as common dolphinfish (*Coryphaena hippurus*), frigate and bullet tunas (*Auxis thazard* and *Auxis rochei*), little tunny (*Euthynnus alletteratus*), rough triggerfish (*Canthidermis maculata*) and many other species (Amandè et al. 2011, Amandè et al. 2012, Ruiz et al. 2018).

Considering the economic and nutritional importance of tuna, the need for knowledge on these species quickly arose to support the monitoring of the fisheries and the development and implementation of some stock management measures by the tRFMOs. From the 1960s and until 2000, data on the biology of species were collected throughout several scientific projects focusing on the principal market tuna species. The first studies on biological traits of tropical tuna mainly focused on migrations and biometrics of yellowfin tuna (Postel 1955). At first, morphometric data were sampled (Rossignol 1968, Caverivière 1976, Cayré and Laloë 1986, Gaertner et al. 1999) in order to estimate the number of individuals by size class caught during a trip or to test for differences in length-weight relationships between seasons or areas. They were collected on the ships during landings or in the canneries. On purse seiners, only the predorsal length can be measured on large

tunas. Other measurements, such as weight and fork length, taken in the laboratory and in the cannery, are therefore essential. These measurements are used to convert the predorsal length and to estimate the catch-at-size of large tunas and the catch composition. Collection was further supplemented with reproductive data (sex, macro-maturity of gonads...) (Albaret 1976, Stéquert 1976, Cayré et al. 1988, Karpinski and Hallier 1988, Hassani and Stéquert 1990, Bard and Capisano 1991) and later on with dietary data (Ménard et al. 2000, Potier et al. 2004). These research and data collection projects aimed to describe the biological characteristics of fish stocks (length-weight relationships between gender, sex-ratio at size and age at sexual maturity), their evolution and the effects of fisheries. These data were also used as inputs for length-based and age-structured assessment models as well as to investigate the seasonal and interannual changes in the condition status of individuals, the variability of the diet linked to their environment and the cycle and periods of reproduction (Albaret et al. 1976, Hassani and Stéquert 1990, Sardenne et al. 2016).

Since 2000, the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) has been governing the collection, administration and use of fishery data with the aim of marine resource assessment and management*¹. The CFP has been funded through the European Maritime and Fisheries Funds and managed by the Department of Marine Fisheries and Aquaculture for France. The EU Data Collection Framework (DCF - Reg 199/2008 and 665/2008 EU-2017/1004) has been regulating the collection of fisheries data since 2000. Several successive multi-annual programmes,*² such as the EU Multi-Annual Programme 2017-2019 (EUMAP 2016/1251), have been implemented by each Member State in their National Work Plan*³. Each country has the obligation to monitor its fleet to ensure compliance with the decisions and rules taken in the various regional fisheries bodies, such as the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT) and the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC). Collected data are diverse and cover all the activities carried out by a vessel: catches of target and non-target species, fishing effort, biological data etc. (DPMA 2017, Bach et al. 2018). These data are used to provide scientific advice and recommendations. The [Exploited Tropical Pelagic Ecosystems Observatory](#)*⁴ (Ob7) of the [French National Research Institute for Sustainable Development](#)*⁵ (IRD, previously named Office of Scientific and Technical Research Overseas, ORSTOM, until 1998) is in charge of the data collection regarding the French tropical purse seine fleet in the Atlantic and Indian Oceans.

This document presents the data collected by IRD in the Atlantic and Indian Oceans since the 1970s. The different datasets are stored in a database called *Tunabio*.

General description

Purpose: The key goal of *Tunabio* is to merge and make available biological datasets of purse seine tropical tuna and bycatch species from the EAO and WIO into a single open-access database. The *Tunabio* database regroups a total of nine datasets (Table 1): six datasets were collected as part of historical projects carried out in the EAO (ALBARET_PHD, IRD_1983-1988 and RONDEUR) and in the WIO (BIOM_BET, BIOMCO

and IOT_STOMACHS) and the last two datasets were collected as part of long-term ongoing projects (RTP_DEBARQUEMENT in the Atlantic Ocean and DCF/EUMAP in both oceans).

Sampling methods

Sampling description:

Projects having different objectives, the sampling approaches varying from one to another. As a consequence, data collection took place on board the purse seine vessels during the fishing trips, at the port during fish unloading or after landing at the tuna processing factories (canneries) or at the partners' research laboratories. The detailed sampling description can be found in the different project documentation (see Table 1 for references).

Quality control:

Data stored in *Tunabio* database are systematically checked for integrity. Consistency, validation and formatting controls are carried out when entering the data. Errors can be made at the time of transcription and data entry. Data are, therefore, controlled with charts, comparison of values or by checking the timeline for the dates. A correction is made on the basis of the physical data entry sheets on which the data are recorded during the sampling.

Step description:

Biological measurements: The different types of morphometric measurements taken on tropical tunas and bycatch fish are shown in Fig. 1 and further described in the **Data resources section**. Information on the measurement device (calliper, tape measure or fish ruler) was also recorded when possible. In addition to the morphometric measurements, the sex (S), the weight of the fish (whole fish weight - WFW and gutted fish weight - GFW) and the weight of reproductive and digestive organs (gonads weight - GTW, liver weight - LW, full and empty stomach weight - FSW and ESW) were recorded for tropical tunas and bycatch fish. The projects studying the stage of gonad development (macro maturity - MM) did not use the same scales. A modification was made in *Tunabio* to obtain three stages: immature, developing and spawning. Finally, the stomach contents were analysed by sorting and identifying the main taxonomic groups of prey present.

Traceability: The traceability corresponds to the ability to accurately and precisely determine the origin of a fish sampled, i.e. the geographic location and date when the fish was caught at sea. This also includes the vessel and trip identifiers. Traceability is dependent on the vessel configuration and sampling constraints (e.g. access to the vessel, configuration of the wells, sorting occurring at unloading). At sea, the catch from a fishing set can be stored in different wells on board and several fishing sets can be stored in the same well, making the catch date and location lost or inaccurate. Information on fishing operations are collected from the logbook and well plan of the trip associated.

Database description and input particularity: *Tunabio* includes the 'METADATA' sheet that describes the variables used in the data sheets 'ENVIRONMENT' and 'SPECIMEN' and 12 sheets of code lists used as integrity check and validation (see **Quality control section**) to complete the two previous sheets (Fig. 2). Reference lists are described in Table 2.

Logbooks and well plan data are stored in the 'ENVIRONMENT' sheet. The biological data collected are entered in the 'SPECIMEN' sheet. For biological data, each identifier is unique in the 'SPECIMEN' sheet. Due to the multiple possibilities of dates and fishing positions, a given fish identifier can be repeated in the 'ENVIRONMENT' sheet and each position is entered as POINT (single location). If the well is unknown, all trip positions are entered as MULTIPOINT (multiple locations). For this case, a given fish identifier would only appear once. The fishing positions extracted from the logbooks are in the "degree minutes" format. When entering these locations, they are converted into Well-Known Text format (WKT).

A recurring problem concerns the well plan for vessels which have a front or central well. There may be a discrepancy between the numbering of the wells on the well plan in the logbook and the numbering assigned by the canneries (which may not always be consistent). A well number assigned could, therefore, match two wells due to the offset. In such a case, the information of the two wells was entered in order not to miss any fishing information. Another problem concerns the fish arriving by freighter and container that come from an ocean other than the one where the final landing occurs: the only information obtained are those given by the factories and they cannot be verified in such cases.

Geographic coverage

Description:

In the EAO, the fishing zone extends from Mauritania (20° North) to Angola (20° South) (Fig. 3). The western maximum limit is at 35° West. Amongst the 36,019 fish sampled, there are 2,407 for which the fishing position is unknown. Fifteen of them were caught in the Indian Ocean and transported and sampled in Abidjan (Ivory Coast).

In the WIO, the fishing zone extends from the Arabian Sea (20° North) to the south of Madagascar (25° South) (Fig. 4). The western and eastern maximum limits are approximately 42° East and 87° East. Amongst the 44,292 fish sampled, there are 1,279 fish for which the fishing position is unknown.

Taxonomic coverage

Description: *Tunabio* currently contains 80,311 fish individuals (36,019 unloaded at the port of Abidjan, Ivory Coast, Atlantic Ocean and 44,292 unloaded at the port of Victoria, Seychelles, Indian Ocean) divided into 32 taxonomic groups including 27 at the species level (Table 3).

Temporal coverage

Data range: 1974-1-01 - 2020-12-31; 1987-1-01 - 2020-12-31.

Notes: *Tunabio* covers the periods 1974-2020 and 1987-2020 in the EAO and WIO, respectively (Guillou et al. 2022). The largest dataset concerns yellowfin tuna which was regularly sampled throughout those periods. Sampling of bigeye and skipjack tunas started in 1998 in the EAO; it started in 1988 and 2014, respectively, in the WIO. Data collection on bycatch fish species started in 2016 in the EAO and in 2009 in the WIO.

Usage licence

Usage licence: Creative Commons Public Domain Waiver (CC-Zero)

Data resources

Data package title: Tunabio_1974_2020.zip

Resource link: <https://doi.org/10.17882/73500>

Number of data sets: 2

Data set name: ENVIRONMENT

Download URL: <https://doi.org/10.17882/73500>

Data format: version 1974-2020

Description: The dataset includes the fishing data (e.g. fishing location, date/time, gear) retrieved from the purse-seine logbooks for each sampled fish.

| Column label | Column description |
|-------------------|--|
| unique_identifier | Unique identifier of fish sampled according environmental data. |
| fish_identifier | Identifier of fish sampled. |
| ocean_code | Ocean where the fish was caught. AO = Atlantic Ocean, IO = Indian Ocean. |
| quadrant | Geographic quadrant of the capture over the equator and the meridian of Greenwich. 1 = North East, 2 = South East, 3 = South West, 4 = North West, NA = No data. |
| gear_code | Fishing gear used. PS = purse seines. |
| fishing_mode | Aggregated fishing mode, in the case of purse seine fishing: nature of the association of the fish. DFAD = Drifting fish aggregating device, FSC = Free swimming school, MIX = Mix of log-associated and free-swimming school, NA = No data. |

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| landing_site | Landing port of the fishing vessel (landing). For fish caught in another ocean, the landing port will be the final destination (case of fish transported by cargo ship). ABIDJAN or PORT VICTORIA. |
| landing_date | Arrival date of the fishing vessel in the port to unload all or a part of its catch. For fish caught in another ocean, the arrival date will be the final destination (case of fish transported by cargo ship). |
| fishing_date | Fish catching date. For European purse seiners, the fish might be sampled in a well and several fishing dates can be associated with the sample (mix of several fishing sets). All fishing dates of the well are noted, so the fish_identifier is duplicated (one date per row). |
| fishing_date_min | Several possible cases: - Case where the fishing date is known (exact date or case where the fish comes from a well with several sets): date of the first positive fishing set during the trip. - Case where the fishing date is unknown, but the landing date is known: date of the first positive fishing set during the trip. Note, a trip 'M' may not be fully landed. We find in the wells of trip M+1 fish from trip M. The first fishing set will be that of trip M and not that of trip M+1. - Case of samples taken at sea by observers: the samples are taken on a fishing set. The fishing date is known: date of the first positive fishing set during the tide. - Case of samples taken at sea by observers: the samples are over several sets/several days. The observer was not precise in noting the date (for example: from 03 Sept to 06 Sept). The fishing date is not known, but approximate: date of the first day of sampling. |
| fishing_date_max | Several possible cases: - Case where the fishing date is known (exact date or case where the fish comes from a well with several sets): date of the last positive fishing set during the trip. - Case where the fishing date is unknown, but the landing date is known: date of the last positive fishing set during the trip. Note, a trip 'M' may not be fully landed. We find in the wells of trip M+1 fish from trip M. The first fishing set will be that of trip M and not that of trip M+1. - Case of samples taken at sea by observers: the samples are taken on a set. The fishing date is known: date of the last positive fishing set during the tide. - Case of samples taken at sea by observers: the samples are over several sets/several days. The observer was not precise in noting the date (for example: from 03 Sept to 06 Sept). The fishing date is not known, but approximate: date of the last day of sampling. |
| fishing_hour | Hour at which the fishing operation took place. |
| sea_surface_temp | Sea surface temperature. |
| vessel_storage_mode | The mode of conservation of fish in the vessel. Brine = Brine immersion freezing (temperature = 18°C), NA = No data. |
| geometry | Fishing position of the set in WKT format. If the exact position is known, use "POINT". If the fishing set is not known, use "MULTIPOINT". In this case, enter all fishing positions. |
| comment | Any remarks on the fishing characteristics. |

Data set name: SPECIMEN

Download URL: <https://doi.org/10.17882/73500>

Data format version: version 1974-2020

Description: The dataset includes the biological data collected on the tropical tunas and bycatch fish species caught by purse-seine in the EAO and WIO.

| Column label | Column description |
|------------------------|---|
| fish_identifier | Identifier of the fish sampled. |
| fish_sampling_date | Date when the fish was sampled. |
| project | Acronym of the research project from which the data were collected. ALBARET_PHD, DCF, EUMAP, IRD_1983-1988, RONDEUR, RTP_DEBARQUEMENT, BIOM_BET, BIOMCO, IOT_STOMACHS. See Table 1 for descriptions. |
| species_code_fao | Code name of the species in 3 letters following the FAO standards. ALB = Thunnus alalunga, ALM = Aluterus monoceros, BAF = Ablennes hians, BAT = Platax spp, BET = Thunnus obesus, BTS = Tylosurus crocodilus, CNT = Canthidermis maculate, CXS = Caranx sexfasciatus, DOL = Coryphaena hippurus, DOX = Coryphaenidae, ECN = Echeneidae, EHN = Echeneis naucrates, FAL = Carcharhinus falciformis, FRI = Auxis thazard, FRZ = Auxis thazard, A. rochei, GBA = Sphyræna barracuda, KAW = Euthynnus affinis, KYC = Kyphosus cinerascens, KYV = Kyphosus vaigiensis, LOB = Lobotes surinamensis, LTA = Euthynnus alletteratus, MSD = Decapterus macarellus, PLS = Dasyatis violacea, RRU = Elagatis bipinnulata, RUB = Caranx crysos, SKJ = Katsuwonus pelamis, TRE = Caranx spp, TRI = Balistidae, USE = Uraspis secunda, WAH = Acanthocybium solandri, YFT = Thunnus albacares, YTL = Seriola rivoliana. |
| total_length_cm | (TL) For species without caudal fork and for sharks. Projected straight distance from the tip of the longest jaw to the tip of the caudal fin. If the caudal fin is heterocercal (lobes of unequal length), the measurement is made with the larger lobe. The fin must be folded. The fish's mouth should be closed. The measure can be made on species with a caudal fork. |
| fork_length_cm | (FL) For species with caudal fork, but without rostrum: projected straight distance from the tip of the lower jaw to the shortest caudal ray (fork). The fish's mouth should be closed. |
| disc_width_cm | (DW) For skates. Projected straight distance between the ends of the pectoral fins. |
| measuring_device_1 | Gear used to measure the TL, FL and DW. Tape_measure = Tape measure, calliper = Calliper, ichtyometer = Fish ruler, NA = No data. |
| first_dorsal_length_cm | (LD1) Projected straight distance from the upper jaw to the anterior base of the first dorsal fin. |
| body_height_cm | (BH) Projected straight distance of the body height where the fish is thicker. |
| body_width_cm | (BW) Projected straight distance of the body width where the fish is thicker. |
| measuring_device_2 | Gear used to measure the LD1, BH and BW. Tape_measure = Tape measure, calliper = Calliper, ichtyometer = Fish ruler, NA = No data. |

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| curved_fork_length_cm | (CFL) For species with caudal fork, but without rostrum: curved-body distance from the tip of the lower jaw to the base of the caudal fork, by the side, above the pectoral fin. |
| middle_thorax_girth_cm | (TG0) Circumference of the thorax where the fish is thicker. |
| first_thorax_girth_cm | (TG1) Circumference of the thorax just behind the pectoral and pelvic fins and in front of the first dorsal fin. |
| second_thorax_girth_cm | (TG2) Circumference of the thorax before the second dorsal fin and the anal fin. |
| measuring_device_3 | Gear used to measure the CFL, TG1 and TG2. Tape_measure = Tape measure, calliper = Calliper, ichtyometer = Fish ruler, NA = No data. |
| whole_fish_weight_kg | (WFW) Weight of the whole fish. |
| guttated_fish_weight_kg | (GFW) Weight of the gutted fish. |
| measuring_device_4 | Gear used to measure the WFW and GFW. Electronic_4000g = Electronic balance (to 4 kg), electronic_150kg = Electronic balance (to 150 kg), electronic_15kg = Electronic balance (to 15 kg), electronic_6000g = Electronic balance (to 6 kg), NA = No data. |
| sex | (S) Sex of the fish according to macroscopic (visual) examination. |
| macro_maturity_stage | (MM) Stage of the gonads according to macroscopic visual examination. |
| gonads_total_weight_g | (GTW) Weight of the two gonads. |
| gonad_1_weight_g | (G1W) Weight of the first gonad. |
| gonad_2_weight_g | (G2W) Weight of the second gonad. |
| liver_weight_g | (LW) Weight of the liver. |
| rest viscera_weight_g | (RVW) Weight of other viscera (heart, oesophagus, pylorus, intestine, pyloric caeca, mesentery...) without liver, stomach and gonads. |
| full_stomach_weight_g | (FSW) Weight of the full stomach (stomach tissue and content). |
| empty_stomach_weight_g | (ESW) Weight of the stomach after removing the contents. |
| measuring_device_5 | Gear used to measure organs. Electronic_4000g = Electronic balance (to 4 kg), electronic_150kg = Electronic balance (to 150 kg), electronic_15kg = Electronic balance (to 15 kg), electronic_6000g = Electronic balance (to 6 kg), NA = No data. |
| stomach_pre_y_group | Contents of the stomach by prey categories. If several, complete in alphabetical order, separated by ";". IND = Unidentified prey, F = Fish, CR = Crustaceans, CE = Cephalopods, CB = Crabs, PL = Plants, E = Empty, ML = Mollusc, W = Worm/Parasite, INI = Inorganic item, YI = Yellow/white intraocular filter, SAL = Salp, NA = No data. |
| comment | Any remark during the fish sampling. |

Acknowledgements

We deeply thank all the persons from Amexpert, CRO, IEO, SFA and IRD who contributed in the collection and/or management of the data included in *Tunabio*: Adeline M, Agnissan

AR, Ahissi V, Albaret JJ, Amatcha AH, Angui KJP, Areso JJ, Ariz J, Assan C, Assan FN, Bach P, Ban Y, Bard FX, Barrigah S, Bayayoko I, Beugre D, Boniface P, Chavance P, Chérel Y, Coulibaly D, Damiano A, de Molina AD, Dewals P, Doffou YC, Edoukou A, Elisabeth M, Emerey C, Esparon J, Fonteneau A, Gaertner D, Gbeazare DA, Gnadou Z, Hallier JP, Hassani S, Hervé A, Hoareau L, Ibanez L, Irié YD., Karpinski B, Komenan K, Kouadio D, Kouakou K, Laporte T, Lesage Lesperance M, Marsac F, Mathiot E, Médieu A, Ménard F, N'Da K, N'Guessan Y, Oussou KH, Pernak M, Pianet R, Poris D, Potier M, Rose R^a, Rose R^b, Roucou P, Stephen A, Stéquert B and Zudaire I.

The sampling was done with the help of the ship-owners (CFTO, Via Ocean and SAPMER) and the support of the producer organisation ORTHONGEL. We also thank the IOT Ltd., SCODI and “Pêche et Froid” canneries for giving access to their production chains. Finally, we thank the IEO and SFA for access to the logbooks of their fleet. The data collected through the Data Collection Framework were funded by both IRD and the European Union under EMFF.

References

- Albaret JJ (1976) La reproduction de l'Albacore (*Thunnus albacares*, Bonnaterre 1788) dans le Golfe de Guinée. PhD thesis, Paris VIII University, Paris.
- Albaret JJ, Caverivière A, Suisse de Sainte-Claire E (1976) Périodes et zones de ponte de l'albacore de l'Atlantique d'après les études de rapport gonado-somatique et des larves. Résultats préliminaires. Collective Volumes of Scientific Papers ICCAT 5 (1): 95-100.
- Amandè M, Chassot E, Chavance P, Murua H, de Molina AD, Bez N (2012) Precision in bycatch estimates: the case of tuna purse-seine fisheries in the Indian Ocean. ICES Journal of Marine Science 69 (8): 1501-1510. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fss106>
- Amandè MJ, Ariz J, Chassot E, de Molina AD, Gaertner D, Murua H, Pianet R, Ruiz J, Chavance P (2011) Bycatch of the European purse seine tuna fishery in the Atlantic Ocean for the 2003–2007 period. Aquatic Living Resources 23 (4): 353-362. <https://doi.org/10.1051/alr/2011003>
- Bach P, Cauquil P, Depetris M, Duparc A, Floch L, Lebranchu J, Sabarros P (2018) Procédures d'échantillonnage des thonidés tropicaux débarqués par les senneurs dans les océans Atlantique et Indien. Sète: IRD. 70 pp.
- Báez JC, Ramos ML, Herrera M, Murua H, Cort JL, Déniz S, Rojo V, Ruiz J, Pascual-Alayón PJ, Muniategi A, San Juan AP, Ariz J, Fernández F, Abascal F (2020) Monitoring of Spanish flagged purse seine fishery targeting tropical tuna in the Indian ocean: Timeline and history. Marine Policy 119 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2020.104094>
- Bard FX, Capisano C (1991) Actualisation des connaissances sur la reproduction de l'albacore en océan Atlantique. Collective Volumes of Scientific Papers ICCAT 36: 158-181.
- Caverivière A (1976) Longueur prédorsale, longueur à la fourche et poids des albacores (*Thunnus albacares*) de l'Atlantique. Cahiers ORSTOM Série Océanographie 14 (3): 201-208.

- Cayré P, Laloë F (1986) Relation Poids-Longueur du Listao (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) de l'Océan Atlantique. Proceedings of the ICCAT conference on the international skipjack year program 1: 335-340.
- Cayré P, Kothias Amon JB, Diouf T, Stretta JM (1988) Biologie des thons. In: Fonteneau A, Marcille J (Eds) Ressources, pêche et biologie des thonidés tropicaux de l'Atlantique centre-est. FAO, Rome, 389 pp. [ISBN 9252026525].
- Chassot E, de Molina AD, Assan C, Dewals P, Cauquil P, Areso JJ, Rahombanjanahary DM, Floch L (2013) Statistics of the European Union and associated flags purse seine fishing fleet targeting tropical tunas in the Indian Ocean 1981-2012. IOTC-2013-WPTT15-44.
- DPMA (2017) Plan de travail 2017-2019 relatif à la collecte des données, Soutien aux avis scientifiques dans le cadre de la politique commune de la pêche, France- Work Plan for data collection in the fisheries and aquaculture sectors 2017-2019. Version 1.
- Floch L, Depetris M, Duparc A, Lebranchu J, Marsac F, Pernak M, Bach P (2020) Statistics of the French purse seine fishing fleet targeting tropical tuna in the Indian Ocean (1981-2019). IOTC-2020-WPDCS16.
- Fonteneau A, Marcille J (Eds) (1988) Ressources, pêche et biologie des thonidés tropicaux de l'Atlantique Centre-Est. FAO, Rome, 389 pp.
- Gaertner D, Dewals P, Marsac F (1999) Relations biométriques utilisables dans les études sur l'échappement des juvéniles de thonidés tropicaux dans les sennes. Collective Volumes of Scientific Papers ICCAT 49 (3): 323-332.
- Guillou A, Bodin N, Chassot E, Duparc A, Fily T, Sabarros P, Depetris M, Amandè MJ, Lucas J, Diaha C, Floch L, Barde J, Pascual-Alayón PJ, Baéz JC, Cauquil P, Briand K, Lebranchu J (2022) Tunabio: biological traits of tropical tuna and bycatch species caught by purse seine fisheries in the Western Indian and Eastern Central Atlantic Oceans. SEANOE. URL: <https://doi.org/10.17882/73500>
- Hassani S, Stéquert B (1990) Sexual maturity spawning and fecundity of the yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) of the western Indian Ocean. Indo-Pacific Tuna Development and Management Program (IPTP) Collective Volume of Working Documents 68: 91-107.
- ICCAT (2020) Nominal catches of Atlantic tunas and tuna-like fish (including sharks), by gear, region and flag - T1NC (all species 1950-2019). ICCAT statistical databases. Version 2020-12-18.
- IOTC (2021) Nominal catch by species, gear and vessel flag reporting country - IOTC-2020-DATASETS-NC-ALL. IOTC available datasets. Version 202-05-21.
- Karpinski B, Hallier J (1988) Preliminary results on yellowfin spawning in the western Indian Ocean. Indo-Pacific Tuna Development and Management Program (IPTP) Collective Volume of Working Documents. TWS/88/31, 50-59.
- Marsac F, Potier M, Peignon C, Lucas V, Fonteneau A, Pianet R, Ménard F (2006) Updated biological parameters for Indian Ocean yellowfin tuna and monitoring of forage fauna of the pelagic ecosystem, based on a routine sampling at the cannery in Seychelles. IOTC-2006-WPTT-09.
- Ménard F, Stéquert B, Rubin A, Herrera M, Marchal E (2000) Food consumption of tuna in the Equatorial Atlantic Ocean: FAD-associated versus unassociated schools. Aquatic Living Resources 13: 233-240.

- Pascual-Alayón P, Floch L, N'Gom F, Dewals P, Irié D, Amatcha AH, Amandè MJ (2019) Statistics of the European and associated purse seine and baitboat fleets, in the Atlantic Ocean (1991-2017). Collective Volume Scientific Papers ICCAT 75: 1992-2006.
- Postel E (1955) Recherches sur l'écologie du thon à nageoires jaunes, *Neothunnus albacora* (Lowe), dans l'Atlantique tropico-oriental. Bulletin de l'Institut fondamental d'Afrique noire 17 (1): 280-318.
- Potier M, Marsac F, Lucas V, Sabatié R, Hallier J, Ménard F (2004) Feeding partitioning among tuna taken in surface and mid-water layers: the case of yellowfin (*Thunnus albacares*) and bigeye (*Thunnus obesus*) in the Western Tropical Indian Ocean. Western Indian Ocean Journal Marine Sciences 3 (1): 51-62.
- Rossignol M (1968) Le thon à nageoires jaunes de l'Atlantique *Thunnus (Neothunnus) albacares* (Bonaterre) 1788. Mémoires ORSTOM. 25.
- Ruiz J, Abascal FJ, Bach P, Baez JC, Cauquil P, Grande M, Krug I, Lucas J, Murua H, Alonso MLR, Sabarros PS (2018) Bycatch of the European, and associated flag, purse-seine tuna fishery in the Indian Ocean for the period 2008-2017. AZTI <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.11527.24482>
- Sardenne F, Chassot E, Fouché E, Ménard F, Lucas V, Bodin N (2016) Are condition factors powerful proxies of energy content in wild tropical tunas? Ecological Indicators 71: 467-476. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.06.031>
- Stéquet B (1976) Étude de la maturité sexuelle, de la ponte et de la fécondité du listao (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) de la côte nord-ouest de Madagascar. Cahiers ORSTOM Série Océanographie 14 (3): 227-247.

Endnotes

- *1 https://ec.europa.eu/oceans-and-fisheries/policy/common-fisheries-policy-cfp_fr
- *2 <https://datacollection.jrc.ec.europa.eu/legislation/previous>
- *3 <https://datacollection.jrc.ec.europa.eu/wps>
- *4 <https://www.ob7.ird.fr/en/>
- *5 <https://en.ird.fr>




Figure 1.

Morphometric measurements on a fish (example on a tuna on the left and a triggerfish on the right). TL: total length, FL: fork length, LD1: predorsal length, CFL: curve fork length, TG0: middle thorax girth, TG1: first thorax girth, TG2: second thorax girth, PFL: pectoral fin length. The measurement of the TL depends on the stiffness of the tail.




Figure 2.

Structure of the Tunabio database, based on the two record tables (ENVIRONMENT and SPECIMEN) and the twelve sheets of reference lists.




Figure 3.
Densities of fishing sets (number per 1° square) of the tropical tunas and bycatch sampled in the Eastern Atlantic Ocean during 1983-2020.




Figure 4.
Densities of fishing sets (number per 1° square) of the tropical tunas and bycatch fish species sampled in the Western Indian Ocean during 1987-2020.

Table 1.

Description of the projects that contributed to Tunabio. BET: bigeye tuna; SKJ: skipjack tuna; YFT: yellowfin tuna, TL: total length, FL: fork length, LD1: predorsal length, CFL: curve fork length, TG0-TG1-TG2: middle, first and second thorax girth, BH: body height, BW: body width, WFW: whole fish weight, GFW: gutted fish weight, S: sex, MM: macro maturity stage, GTW: gonads weight, LW: liver weight, RVW: rest viscera weight, FSW: full stomach weight, ESW: empty stomach weigh

| Ocean | Project name | Sampling period | Number of fish | Objectives | Data type | Financing | Lead | References |
|----------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|---|--|------------|--------|--------------------------|
| Atlantic | ALBARET_PHD | 1974-1975 | 254 | Study the reproduction of the YFT in the Gulf of Guinea | Morphometrics (FL, LD1, WFW) and reproduction (S, GTW) | ORSTOM | ORSTOM | Albaret (1976) |
| | IRD_1983-1988 | 1983-1988 | 4,245 | Learn more about the reproductive conditions of YFT by examining the gonads and comparing the results with older datasets | Morphometrics (LD1) and reproduction (S, MM, GTW) | ORSTOM | ORSTOM | Bard and Capisano (1991) |
| | RONDEUR | 1998-1999 | 10,915 | Obtain biometric relationships of BET, SKJ and YFT for the construction of juvenile exhaust grids on the seines | Morphometrics (TL, FL, LD1, BH, BW, TG0, WFW) | - | IRD | Gaertner et al. (1999) |
| | DCF | 2009-2016 | 3,501 | Biological collection on tropical tunas (BET, SKJ, YFT) and bycatch species caught by purse seiner fleets | Morphometrics (FL, LD1, CFL, TG1, TG2, WFW, GFW), reproduction (S, MM, GTW) and diet (FSW, ESW, preys) + LW, RVW | EU DG MARE | IRD | Bach et al. (2018) |
| | RTP_DEBARQUEMENT | 2013-present | 11,794 | Determine the length-weight relationship on tropical tunas and bycatch species | Morphometrics (FL, LD1, WFW) | EU DG MARE | IRD | - |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--------------|--------------|--------|---|--|------------|----------------|--|
| | EUMAP | 2017-present | 5,310 | Biological collection on tropical tunas (BET, SKJ, YFT) and bycatch species caught by purse seiner fleets | Morphometrics (FL, LD1, CFL, TG1, TG2, WFW, GFW), reproduction (S, MM, GTW) and diet (FSW, ESW, preys) + LW, RVW | EU DG MARE | IRD | Bach et al. (2018) |
| Indian | BIOMCO | 1987-1991 | 2,734 | Determine the length-weight relationship, spawning characteristics and sexual maturity of YFT | Morphometrics (FL, LD1, WFW) and reproduction (S, MM, GTW) + LW | - | ORSTOM and SFA | Karpinski and Hallier (1988), Hassani and Stéquet (1990) |
| | BIOM_BET | 1988-1991 | 938 | Determine the length-weight relationship of BET | Morphometrics (FL, LD1, WFW) | - | ORSTOM | - |
| | DCF | 2003-2016 | 32,943 | Biological collection on tropical tunas (BET, SKJ, YFT) and bycatch species caught by purse seiner fleets | Morphometrics (FL, LD1, TG1, WFW), reproduction (S, MM, GTW) and diet (FSW, ESW, preys) + LW, RVW | EU DG MARE | IRD | Bach et al. (2018) |
| | IOT_STOMACHS | 2005-2008 | 1,144 | Monitor the trophic activity of YFT and BET and identify the evolution of their prey resources | Morphometrics (FL, LD1, TG1, WFW), reproduction (S, MM, GTW) and diet (preys) | EU DG MARE | IRD | Marsac et al. (2006) |
| | EUMAP | 2017-present | 6,533 | Biological collection on tropical tunas (BET, SKJ, YFT) and bycatch species caught by purse seiner fleets | Morphometrics (TL, FL, LD1, TG1, WFW, GFW), reproduction (S, MM, GTW) and diet (FSW, ESW, preys) + LW, RVW | EU DG MARE | IRD | Bach et al. (2018) |

Table 2.
Data file components.

| File names | Description |
|--------------------------|---|
| METADATA.txt | Metadata file of the dataset |
| ENVIRONMENT.txt | Records of environmental events (from logbooks and well plans) |
| SPECIMEN.txt | Records of sampling events |
| Ocean.txt | List of oceans and seas |
| Quadrant.txt | List of quadrants created according to the Equator and the Greenwich meridian |
| Gear.txt | List of fishing gear used by fishing vessels |
| Landing_site.txt | List of ports where fish can be landed |
| Fishing_mode.txt | List of fishing mode describing the nature of the association of the fish |
| Vessel_storage_mode.txt | List of conservation methods used by the vessel |
| Species.txt | List of species |
| Project.txt | List of projects for which the fish was sampled |
| Sex.txt | List of genders |
| Measuring_device.txt | List of measuring devices that can used during sampling |
| Macro_maturity_stage.txt | List of macro maturity stages |
| Stomach_pre_y_group.txt | List of major taxonomic groups of prey found in the stomach |

Table 3.

Number of fish sampled per species and ocean. EAO: Eastern Atlantic Ocean; WIO: Western Indian Ocean; *group of species

| Family | Species | Vernacular name | EAO | WIO | Total |
|----------------|--|--------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| Balistidae | *Balistidae spp. | *Triggerfishes, durgon nei | 635 | | 635 |
| | <i>Canthidermis maculata</i> | Rough triggerfish | 45 | 93 | 138 |
| Belonidae | <i>Ablennes hians</i> | Flat needlefish | | 3 | 3 |
| | <i>Tylosurus crocodilus</i> | Hound needlefish | | 1 | 1 |
| Carangidae | *Caranx spp. | *Jacks, crevalles nei | 3,283 | | 3,283 |
| | <i>Caranx crysos</i> | Blue runner | 1 | | 1 |
| | <i>Caranx sexfasciatus</i> | Bigeye trevally | | 2 | 2 |
| | <i>Decapterus macarellus</i> | Mackerel scad | | 42 | 42 |
| | <i>Elagatis bipinnulata</i> | Rainbow runner | 631 | 129 | 760 |
| | <i>Seriola rivoliana</i> | Longfin yellowtail | | 7 | 7 |
| | <i>Uraspis secunda</i> | Cottonmouth jack | | 63 | 63 |
| Carcharhinidae | <i>Carcharhinus falciformis</i> | Silky shark | | 25 | 25 |
| Coryphaenidae | *Coryphaenidae spp. | *Dolphinfishes nei | 53 | | 53 |
| | <i>Coryphaena hippurus</i> | Common dolphinfish | 3 | 105 | 108 |
| Dasytidae | <i>Dasyatis violacea</i> | Pelagic stingray | | 1 | 1 |
| Echeneidae | *Echeneidae spp. | *Suckerfishes, remoras nei | | 1 | 1 |
| | <i>Echeneis naucrates</i> | Live sharksucker | | 1 | 1 |
| Ephippidae | *Platax spp. | *Batfishes | | 28 | 28 |
| Kyphosidae | <i>Kyphosus cinerascens</i> | Blue sea chub | | 9 | 9 |
| | <i>Kyphosus vaigiensis</i> | Brassy chub | | 36 | 36 |
| Lobotidae | <i>Lobotes surinamensis</i> | Tripletail | | 15 | 15 |
| Monacanthidae | <i>Aluterus monoceros</i> | Unicorn leatherjacket filefish | | 32 | 32 |
| Scombridae | <i>Acanthocybium solandri</i> | Wahoo | 26 | 38 | 64 |
| | <i>Auxis thazard</i> | Frigate tuna | | 41 | 41 |
| | * <i>Auxis thazard</i> and <i>Auxis rochei</i> | *Frigate and bullet tunas | 1,383 | | 1,383 |
| | <i>Euthynnus affinis</i> | Kawakawa | | 30 | 30 |
| | <i>Euthynnus alletteratus</i> | Little tunny | 855 | | 855 |
| | <i>Katsuwonus pelamis</i> | Skipjack tuna | 8,566 | 4,598 | 13,164 |

| | | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | <i>Thunnus alalunga</i> | Albacore | 1 | 10 | 11 |
| | <i>Thunnus albacares</i> | Yellowfin tuna | 15,833 | 36,388 | 51,776 |
| | <i>Thunnus obesus</i> | Bigeye tuna | 4,700 | 2,579 | 7,279 |
| Sphyraenidae | <i>Sphyraena barracuda</i> | Great barracuda | 4 | 15 | 19 |
| | | Total | 36,019 | 44,292 | 80,311 |