

Injury-Free Children and Adolescents: Towards Better Practice in Swedish Football (FIT project)

Solveig E.S. Hausken[‡], Natalie Barker-Ruchti[‡], Astrid Schubring[‡], Stefan Grau[‡]

[‡] University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

Corresponding author: Solveig E.S. Hausken (solveig.sand.hausken@gu.se), Natalie Barker-Ruchti (natalie.barker@gu.se)

Abstract

This interdisciplinary research project will produce evidence-based recommendations on how injuries in Swedish youth football (soccer) can be prevented. Fewer injuries will positively impact athlete health, performance and career longevity and have the potential to promote life-long physical activity and wellbeing.

Injury pattern research demonstrates that injuries are a significant problem in (Swedish) youth sport. Football has a higher traumatic and overuse injury rate than many contact/collision sports (e.g., field hockey, basketball). In research on youth football, the incidence of overuse training injuries was measured as high as 15.4 injuries per 1000 training hours, and the incidence of traumatic and overuse match injuries was 47.5 injuries per 1000 match hours. The injury frequency is alarming and applies to the 54% of children aged 7-14 and the 39% of youths aged 15-19 years who participate in Swedish organised sports. A large body of research identifies injury risk factors and preventative strategies; however, as the recent IOC consensus statement on youth athletic development points out, the existing, mostly bio-medical knowledge does not provide effective evidence-based injury prevention strategies. To address this deficit, interdisciplinary and context-driven knowledge on injury development in youth sport is needed.

The proposed project will produce scientific evidence through four consecutive studies: a) Questionnaire to register the types, frequency and management of injuries; b) Laboratory testing of biomechanical, clinical and training-specific parameters to establish individual physical and sport-specific dispositions; c) Observation of sporting contexts to understand sporting cultures, coaching methods and coach-athlete relationships; and d) Interviews with coaches and players to recognize knowledge that shapes coaching and training. The sample of youth players will be recruited from Sweden's most popular and injury-prone sport: football. Each of the four studies will conduct its own data production and analyses, and a collective analysis will produce integrated evidence. Concrete

recommendations for best sporting practice will be developed, which will serve sporting federations, sport education institutions, coaches, sport support staff and players.

Keywords

Injury development; soccer; youth; interdisciplinary research methodology

Existing knowledge on injury risk factors in youth sport

Injury pattern research demonstrates that injuries are a significant problem in (Swedish) youth sport (Boström et al. 2015, Jacobsson et al. 2013, Rosen et al. 2016, Rosen et al. 2017). In contact sports, traumatic injuries are an issue (Soprano 2005); in endurance-type and technical sports, overuse injuries are common (Brenner, J. S. and Council on Sports Medicine and Fitness 2007, Franklin and Weiss 2012, Maffulli et al. 2010). Current statistics are particularly concerning since athletes have been found to leave (overuse) injuries unreported (Bahr 2009).

Football has a higher traumatic and overuse injury rate than many contact/collision sports (e.g., field hockey, basketball) (Koutures et al. 2010). In research on youth football, the incidence of overuse training injuries was measured as high as 15.4 injuries per 1000 training hours, and the incidence of traumatic and overuse match injuries was 47.5 injuries per 1000 match hours (Junge 2004). This injury rate in youth football is alarming. The concern is twofold: First, injuries when young influence the onset of injuries later in a career (e.g., on the senior, professional level of sport) (Junge 2004, Schmidt-Olsen et al. 1985). Second, injuries at the child and youth sport levels may cause (or force) children and adolescents to drop-out of sport, which research has found to detriment life-long physical activity and wellbeing (Maffulli et al. 2009). While not all players leave because of injuries, injury prevention currently needs urgent attention.

A large body of literature on child and adolescent sport injury risk factors and prevention strategies exists (Bergeron et al. 2015). This literature documents that a range of factors cause injuries, including a) growth and maturation; b) biomechanical and training parameters; c) sporting contexts and coaching practice; and d) norms. Researchers have particularly focused on growth, maturation, and biomechanical factors, and to a lesser degree on training parameters, sporting contexts, coaching practices and norms.

Growth and maturation

Research has shown that growth and maturation is a determinant injury predictor for children and adolescents (der Sluis et al. 2013, e Silva et al. 2017). Children of the same chronological age may vary considerably in biological maturity status, and individual differences in maturity status influence measures of growth and performance during childhood and adolescence (Maffulli and Caine 2005). One injury risk factor in relation to growth is the adolescent growth spurt. This is the time when adolescents experience their fastest upward growth, also called Peak Height Velocity (PHV) (der Sluis et al. 2013,

MIRWALD et al. 2002). During PHV, the immature musculoskeletal system is less able to cope with trauma and stress (Micheli and Klein 1991). Furthermore, biomechanical and clinical evidence suggests that cartilage and growth plates are less resistant than in the mature adult DiFiori 2010, Micheli and Klein 1991). There is, however, a lack of evidence to support a cause or effect relationship between maturation and injury (Bowerman et al. 2014, Jayanthi et al. 2015).

Biomechanical and training parameters

In terms of biomechanical, clinical and training parameters, several authors have pointed to the multidimensional nature of (overuse) injury onset Bergeron et al. 2015, Grau et al. 2011, Rosen et al. 2017, Wiese-Bjornstal 2010). Typical such variables that contribute to the development of overuse injuries are axial alignment insufficiencies (e.g. foot, leg axis), and strength deficits and dysbalances (mainly lower extremity). Insufficient range of motion of major joints and shortened muscles, as well as the amount, type and intensity of training, are also known risk factors (Brenner, J. S. and Council on Sports Medicine and Fitness 2007). To date, however, only general biomechanical considerations have been named.

Sporting contexts and coaching practice

Sporting contexts in youth sport have been examined, although with less direct focus on injury risk factors and prevention. Nevertheless, psychological research demonstrates that a positive motivational climate is important for continued athlete participation and social development (Fraser-Thomas et al. 2008). Such a climate requires positive coach-athlete (Lafrenière et al. 2008) and peer-relationships (Côté et al. 2008), which have also been found to prevent injuries (Hedstrom and Gould 2004). Sociological research has problematized sporting contexts in relation to hyper-competitiveness and coach-athlete relationships (Barker-Ruchti 2011). Coaching practices within such contexts can cause over-training, poor communication between coaches and (youth) athletes (Kerr and Stirling 2012, McMahon and Penney 2013, Schubring et al. 2015), ill health, injuries and dropout Lang 2010, Schubring and Thiel 2013). In a similar way, the pedagogical perspective has pointed to how performance-oriented training contexts can be stressful and detriment athlete health and development (Barker et al. 2012).

Norms

Research has shown that athletic ideals and norms are specific to the settings they exist in. Competitive and performance-oriented contexts are likely to involve values relating to continuous progressive performance enhancement and winning (Barker-Ruchti et al. 2014b, Barker et al. 2014). This may lead to a climate in which risk-taking to reach success is normalized, which represents a significant injury risk factor.

Key scientific gaps and shortcomings

Despite a large body of literature on sport injury risk factors and prevention strategies, Swedish children and youth sustain injuries (e.g., Boström et al. 2015). A recent IOC consensus statement on youth athletic development argues that a key reason for this situation is that the existing, mostly one-dimensional bio-medical knowledge does not provide effective evidence-based injury prevention strategies (Bergeron et al. 2015). To address these deficits, complex and interdisciplinary knowledge on injury development in youth sport is needed, which demands the *integration* of natural science (complex interaction of clinical, biomechanical and training factors) and context-driven social science approaches (sporting contexts and coaching practice).

Additional scientific gaps include:

- Relationship between maturation and injury development and prevalence;
- Relationship between injury history and general health and injury development and prevalence;
- Relationship between training parameters and recovery times and injury development and prevalence;
- Relationship between injury prevention knowledge in coaches and players and injury development and prevalence.

Further, a number of methodological and conceptual shortcomings are recognized to limit injury knowledge. As mentioned above, the injury rate in football is high. However, a wide variation in injury definitions and methodologies currently creates significant differences in the results and conclusions obtained from present studies (Häggglund and Waldén 2015, Meeuwisse 1994, Mechelen et al. 1992). As a response to the difficulty of comparing studies, a consensus statement for the study of injuries in football was published in 2006 (Fuller et al. 2006). This consensus statement aimed to establish injury definitions and methodology for research in football (Fuller et al. 2006). Although the consensus statement proposes multiple definitions for injuries (any physical complaints, medical attention, time-loss), many studies still rely mainly on *time loss* as a fundamental component of 'standard' registration methods, thus only capturing the worst injury problems (Clarsen et al. 2012).

To address the prevalence and difficulty of detecting injuries (especially overuse injuries), the Oslo Sport Trauma Research Center (OSTRC) has developed injury registration tools that are sensitive to a greater variety of injuries and health problems. The OSTRC Overuse Injury Questionnaire registers overuse problems in sports epidemiology (Clarsen et al. 2012). Compared to standard methods of injury registration, the questionnaire allows a broad injury definition and quantifies the injury severity without being dependent on time loss from training and/or competition. The OSTRC Questionnaire on Health Problems is based on the OSTRC Overuse Injury Questionnaire and is developed to capture health problems including illness and acute injuries (Clarsen

et al. 2013). Little is known about athletes' patterns of injury and illness, and the OSTRC Questionnaire on Health Problems is a new and validated approach that can monitor athletes' health through a regular online questionnaire (Clarsen et al. 2013). However, the questionnaire has not been validated on children and youth.

In order to address current gaps and shortcomings, the Injury-Free Children and Adolescents: Towards Better Practice in Swedish Football (FIT project) will build on latest injury research and implement the conceptual and methodological recommendations provided in studies on football injuries (Fuller et al. 2006). The project will therefore employ the latest injury definition, which defines an injury in football as broad as:

'Any physical complaint sustained by a player that results from a football match or football training, irrespective of the need for medical attention or time loss from football activities. An injury that results in a player receiving medical attention is referred to as a "medical attention" injury, and an injury that results in a player being unable to take a full part in future football training or match play as a "time loss" injury' (Fuller et al., 2006, p. 193).

The FIT-project's purpose and hypotheses also build on the previous mentioned research gaps and shortcomings.

Purpose and hypotheses

The overarching research purpose of the FIT-project is to *provide evidence-based interdisciplinary injury prevention strategies*. To achieve this, the proposed research will produce a comprehensive and integrated picture of injury etiology through investigating injury development and prevention in a sample of male and female Swedish football players aged 10 to 19.

The FIT project's main hypothesis is that injuries develop from multi-faceted and interconnected individual, physical and contextual factors. Furthermore, the FIT-project hypothesizes that:

1. Growth and maturation are potential injury risk factors for football players aged 10 to 19;
2. Injury history and general health are potential injury risk factors for football players aged 10 to 19;
3. Biomechanical and clinical parameters are potential injury risk factors for football players aged 10 to 19;
4. Training factors, particularly training intensity and recovery time between trainings, are potential injury risk factors for football players aged 10 to 19;
5. Contextual factors, especially pressure to perform, athletic ideals and coach injury prevention knowledge are potential injury risk factors for football players aged 10 to 19.

Research methodology

The FIT-project is designed as a longitudinal prospective study conducted at the Department of Food and Nutrition, and Sport Science, University of Gothenburg, Sweden. In order to capture multiple risk factors, the project combines the disciplines of biomechanics, sport medicine, sport coaching and sport sociology. As part of the interdisciplinary approach, the research methods of questionnaire, biomechanical and clinical measurements, training protocol, ethnographic observations, and semi-structured interviews will be used. The interdisciplinary and multi-methodological approach is realized through four sub-studies, which each are guided by specific research questions, but are brought together in a common analytic procedure.

Research context

The research context will be two sport schools, a football academy, and a physiotherapy and rehabilitation clinic, which are all located in a large sport and hotel complex. The research team will collaborate with the schools' principals and teachers, the football academy's administrators and coaches, and the clinic's manager and a number of physiotherapists. The two schools are a primary and upper secondary school for children aged 10 to 15 and a high-school for pupils aged 16 to 19. In total, the two schools educate 499 pupils (n=324 for upper secondary school; n=175 for high school). Both schools are standard Swedish day schools, which provide pupils with breakfast after morning training and a cooked lunch meal, and access to a nurse.

Sample criteria

The sample criteria for players and coaches differ between sub-studies 1, 2, and 3 and 4. For sub-study 1, the inclusion criterion for players is to attend either of the two participating football schools (primary - 10-12 years; upper secondary - 13-15 years; high school levels - 16-19 years). For sub-study 2, the player inclusion criteria are being (a) injury-free and healthy at the outset of this sub-study; and (b) a player at the participating football schools, football academy, or, as the academy does not train female high-school players, players that practice football at other clubs/academies. The coach inclusion criterion is to be a coach at the participating football schools or academy. For sub-studies 3 and 4, we will choose extreme cases of male and female player's with no or multiple injuries based on data from sub-studies 1 and 2. As much as possible, the selected male and female players will represent the different age groups.

Recruitment

The recruitment of players and coaches follows a tunnel procedure (Fig. 1). That is, we will inform players and coaches of all four sub-studies at the outset of the project, and

then recruit progressively for each sub-study. We aim to recruit the following numbers of participants for the different sub-studies:

1. Sub-study 1: all pupils attending the two collaborating football schools (possible sample is 499 players);
2. Sub-study 2: only non-injured and healthy players ($n \geq 116$) from sub-study 1 attending the sport schools (plus female high-school players from their respective club or other academies), equally split by gender and the age groups;
3. Sub-study 3 and 4: six players who all have participated in sub-studies 1 and 2, as well as their coaches.

Recruitment of players will be as follows: First, documentation consisting of project information, ethical safeguarding and consent forms for children and adolescents and parents/guardians will be handed out to the pupils registered at the two collaborating schools. The consent forms will offer three participation options: 1. Only for sub-study 1; only for sub-studies 1 and 2; and for all four studies. This documentation will also be uploaded on the two schools' online information platforms.

Second, an information meeting at the schools will provide football players and their parents/guardians with an opportunity to ask questions and talk to the research team about the project. Consent forms (as described above) will again be provided at this event. Players and their parents who agree to participate in the project can return the consent forms via their teachers (in an envelope), postal service or at the information evening.

Recruitment of coaches will also begin through the distribution of project information. We will hold a separate information event for coaches that will serve to answer their questions. Consent forms can be returned at the event, via postal service or the football academy.

Sample sizes

As outlined above, sub-study 2's minimum sample size was calculated at 116. This number is based on previous studies' statistics (Junge et al. 2000, Watson et al. 2016), which have reported an injury rate of approximately 50% among youth football players. In order to discover an injury rate of 45%, based on a survival analysis, a sample size of 116 is needed for sub-study 2. The calculation of 116 individuals is based on the assumptions of a statistical power of .80 and an alpha of .05. With this calculation, a statistical difference between injured and non-injured can emerge.

We aim to include six football players in total together with their coaches in sub-studies 3 and 4. Each player and coach will be treated as a case study (Yin 2014), which each will provide in-depth sociological insight into contextual and subjectivity factors (Flyvbjerg 2006, Yin 2014).

In what follows, a description of each of the four sub-studies is provided. At the end, a summary of the analytic procedure is presented. Figure 2 visualizes the timeline of this research (Fig. 2).

Sub-study 1 : Weekly from January – June 2018

The main purpose of sub-study 1 is to explore the occurrence, severity and types of injuries and health problems that Swedish youth football players experience. This sub-study will also test and validate the questionnaire we will employ for the Swedish youth football players.

Sub-study 1 contains three items:

1. The adaptation and pilot testing of the OSTRC Questionnaire on Health Problems (Clarsen et al. 2013). The questionnaire will be translated from English to Swedish and created through Gothenburg University's Sunet Survey Software. The questionnaire will then be tested in paper and electronically with Swedish children and youth to ensure that the questionnaire is child- and adolescent-friendly (i.e. language, user friendliness). The testing will focus on content (i.e. how do the children and youth understand the questions) and electronic operation on cell phones, tablets and a computer.
2. The completion of the adapted OSTRC Health Problems questionnaire. In January 2018, the recruited players will receive the survey with an email sent to their personal, parents' or school email addresses. Participants complete the survey from January to June 2018 on a weekly basis using their own or schools' electronic devices. Reminder emails will automatically be sent if participants have not completed the survey.
3. The medical diagnosis of indicated injuries. The participants that indicate an injury will be asked to visit their school's medical staff or the collaborating football rehabilitation clinic, where the injury will be diagnosed and noted in the participants' files. The injury diagnosis information will be passed on to the research team in encrypted form (only with survey code, not the player's name).

Sub-study 2: Once in January/February 2018 and every week during January – June 2018

The main purpose of sub-study 2 is to investigate what combination(s) of biomechanical, clinical and training-specific patterns lead to the onset of injuries in Swedish youth football players.

Sub-study 2 contains two items:

Clinical and biomechanical testing of non-injured and healthy players who will visit the Center for Health and Performance (CHP) at the University of Gothenburg once during January/February 2018 and training protocol completed by coaches during January to June 2018.

The testing includes:

Clinical examination. Experienced staff members will carry out the clinical examination, which includes the measurement of range of motion (different planes and directions) for hip, knee, and ankle joints with the Mobee device (SportMed AG, Germany). All measurements will be performed bilaterally. The staff members will also document the incidence of past operations and overuse injuries, as well as other injuries to the lower extremities.

Isometric strength test. Isometric strength measurements for core and lower extremity muscles will be performed according to a standardized testing protocol. The following maximal isometric strength measurements will be tested: trunk extension, trunk flexion, trunk rotation, hip abduction, hip adduction, knee extension, and knee flexion. Calculations for the following strength balance ratios will be performed: trunk flexion:extension, trunk rotation right:left, hip abduction:adduction, knee extension left:right, knee flexion left:right, and knee flexion:extension. Each subject will perform two maximum isometric contractions at a standardized angle (Murray et al. 1980). Maximum torque values will be documented. All participants will be fixed properly during the respective tasks with an additional seatbelt (to avoid self-stabilization by using hands). The isometric strength measurements will be performed on isometric testing devices (David Health Solutions Ltd., Helsinki, Finland).

Running analysis. In the running analysis, all participants will run on a treadmill in the lab with a controlled speed of 10 km/h (SD 5%). Measurements will be recorded with the help of a 3D motion capture system (Qualisys AB, Göteborg, Sverige) consisting of sixteen infrared cameras at a sampling frequency of 400 Hz. Spherical markers will be attached on the skin at specific anatomical locations according to guidelines from the International Society of Biomechanics (ISB) (Wu et al. 2002) to define specific body segments. The following movement variables will be evaluated during stance: hip adduction range of motion, hip adduction velocity, knee flexion range of motion, knee flexion velocity, rear foot pronation range of motion, rear foot pronation velocity, ankle plantar-/dorsiflexion range of motion, and sagittal touch down angle of the foot towards the ground. Motions of the hip, knee, and ankle joints will be calculated relative to the neutral standing position. The mean values will be based on 10 consecutive strides.

Knee laxity. All athletes will perform a one leg squat to assess knee laxity (Frohm et al. 2011). Each athlete will perform three trials per leg. Knee adduction movement in centimeters will be measured unilaterally for both legs. The knee laxity test will be recorded by the 3D motion capture system.

Peak Height Velocity (PHV). By using the 'maturity offset' value, we can predict what age the child will achieve PHV (MIRWALD et al. 2002). To calculate the maturity offset, we will record the following information: gender, date of birth, date of measurement, standing height (cm), sitting height (cm) and weight (kg). We will perform these measurements once at the time of the clinical and biomechanical testing.

Training protocol completed by coaches: January – June 2018

The coaches participating in the FIT project will document each training and match for each player in the training data program S2S – Secret to Sports (www.s2s.net), which the coaches of the football academy currently employed to document the trainings they lead. In S2S, the coaches record the participating players' types of training sessions (slow, medium, fast or competition), training content and amount, playing surface (sand, grass), and intensity.

Sub-study 3 and 4: Observations of sporting contexts and interviews: August – December 2018

The main purpose of sub-studies 3 and 4 is to examine sporting contexts, coaching practices, and norms in order to understand how contextual factors relate to injury development and prevention.

Observation. We will observe football contexts for a period of one month (or equivalent). Two training sessions per week and two competitions will be observed and we will produce field notes through voice records. An established observation schedule (Barker-Ruchti et al. 2013) will focus the observations on training atmosphere and load, communication, coach-athlete relationship, training ethic, and injury prevention/management. If possible, we will hold informal talks with coaches and players. We will not interfere in the sporting context other than being physically present.

Semi-structured interviews. We will conduct interviews with each of the six observed athletes and coach(es). Each interview will be organized individually and will take approximately 60 minutes. Two established interview schedules, one for athletes (Barker-Ruchti et al. 2014a) and one for coaches (Barker-Ruchti et al. 2014b), will be adapted to include questions relating to coaching/training philosophy, ideals in relation to sport, performance, training, body, age, and injuries.

Data management and analysis

Data from sub-studies 1 and 2 will be managed by saving them in separate excel documents. Data from sub-studies 3 and 4 will be transcribed into extended field notes and interview protocols. Data from testing of players will be saved per participant (with an identification number); data on training volume and intensity will be stored per team (from S2S program). Data from each of the four sub-studies will first be analyzed separately.

Data analysis. Quantitative analysis: Injury severity and injury location data will be presented using descriptive statistics. Categorical data will be presented in terms of frequency and proportion (%). Athletes will be divided according to injury severity or injury location. Injury incidence will be calculated by dividing the total number of injuries by exposure time and reported as rates per 1000 training and competition hours. Injury risk will be analyzed by utilizing log-binomial or log-Poisson regression analyses. Cumulative incidence of overuse injuries will be calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method to illustrate when overuse injuries occur during the season. Risk factors, such as

biomechanical, clinical, and training-related or a combination of these, will be included in Cox proportional hazards regression analyses to identify early and late predictors of injury. A log-rank test will be used to compare the survival curves of risk factors. All calculations will be evaluated using SPSS statistics (Version 25, IBM Inc., Armonk, New York) and Mplus version 8 (Muthén and Muthén 2017). Significance will be accepted at $p < 0.05$.

The qualitative analysis will be conducted along deductive thematic analysis principles (Braun and Clarke 2006). This procedure will be driven by the risk factors existing literature identifies. That is, the analysis will identify risk factors in the transcribed field notes and interview transcripts.

Portfolio analysis. The results from the above individual analyses will be brought together in an integrated excel document. For those players that participate in all four sub-studies, portfolios of data will be created. These portfolios will contain analyzed quantitative and qualitative data, and annotations made by the research team. In order to perform an interdisciplinary analysis, the research team will discuss the integrated picture each portfolio generates. Through this process, we aim to produce multi-layered understanding of the factors involved in the development and prevention of injuries in youth football.

Relevance to society and research

With this study, we aim to affect organized youth sport at the local level, and in the long-term, Sweden-wide. The results from the different tests (sub-studies) will be given as individual reports to each football player, their coaches and parents/guardians. This will help to improve their sensitivity towards injury prevention and their knowledge about how to steer the training process from different perspectives in order to stay injury-free. After the final comprehensive evaluation of all sub-studies, guidelines for injury prevention in youth soccer will be developed and recommendations for how to manage a sustainable and long-term training process will be established. These guidelines will benefit sports as well as help sport organizations (also other sports besides football) and sport education institutions to optimize their (long-term) training planning and coach education. This will again affect athlete's health in a positive way, and through this, their continued participation in sport and long-term physical activity. Life-long health can be promoted and medical costs reduced.

In relation to research, the project will provide evidence-based injury prevention recommendations (complex and interdisciplinary). This may close the earlier mentioned scientific gaps. The project will also develop scientific and research methodological guidelines, which can be tested in future prospective studies. The FIT project will also validate the OSTRC Questionnaire on Health Problems for children and youth, and showcase an interdisciplinary analysis procedure.

Funding program

PhD student fellowship from Swedish Research Council for Sport Science

Hosting institution

Department of Food and Nutrition, and Sport Science, University of Gothenburg

Ethics and security

Ethical approval was obtained from the regional ethical review board in Gothenburg on 18 October 2017 (Dnr 815-17).

Author contributions

NBR initiated and designed the FIT project with SG. SH led the drafting of the manuscript. AS, SG and NBR contributed to the writing. All authors read and revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

No competing interests exist.

References

- Bahr R (2009) No injuries, but plenty of pain? On the methodology for recording overuse symptoms in sports. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 43 (13): 966-972. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjism.2009.066936>
- Barker D, Barker-Ruchti N, Rynne SB, Lee J (2012) Olympism as education: analysing the learning experiences of elite athletes. *Educational Review* 64 (3): 369-384. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2012.665846>
- Barker D, Barker-Ruchti N, Wals A, Tinning R (2014) High performance sport and sustainability: a contradiction of terms? *Reflective Practice* 15 (1): 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2013.868799>
- Barker-Ruchti N (2011) *Women's Artistic Gymnastics: An (Auto-)Ethnographic Journey*. gesowip, Basel.
- Barker-Ruchti N, Barker D, Annerstedt C (2013) Techno-rational knowing and phronesis: the professional practice of one middle-distance running coach. *Reflective Practice* 15 (1): 53-65. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2013.868794>
- Barker-Ruchti N, Rynne SR, Lee J, Barker DM (2014a) Athlete learning in Olympic sport. *Sports Coaching Review* 3 (2): 162-178. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21640629.2014.1059136>

- Barker-Ruchti N, Lindgren E, Hofmann A, Sinning S, Shelton C (2014b) Tracing the career paths of top-level women football coaches: turning points to understand and develop sport coaching careers. *Sports Coaching Review* 3 (2): 117-131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21640629.2015.1035859>
- Bergeron MF, Mountjoy M, Armstrong N, Chia M, Côté J, Emery CA, Faigenbaum A, Hall G, Kriemler S, Léglise M, Malina RM, Pensgaard AM, Sanchez A, Soligard T, Sundgot-Borgen J, Mechelen Wv, Weissensteiner JR, Engebretsen L (2015) International Olympic Committee consensus statement on youth athletic development. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 49 (13): 843-851. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-094962>
- Boström A, Thulin K, Fredriksson M, Reese D, Rockborn P, Hammar ML (2015) Risk factors for acute and overuse sport injuries in Swedish children 11 to 15 years old: What about resistance training with weights? *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports* 26 (3): 317-323. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12432>
- Bowerman E, Whatman C, Harris N, Bradshaw E, Karin J (2014) Are maturation, growth and lower extremity alignment associated with overuse injury in elite adolescent ballet dancers? *Physical Therapy in Sport* 15 (4): 234-241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2013.12.014>
- Braun V, Clarke V (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 3 (2): 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Brenner, J. S., Council on Sports Medicine and Fitness (2007) Overuse Injuries, Overtraining, and Burnout in Child and Adolescent Athletes. *PEDIATRICS* 119 (6): 1242-1245. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2007-0887>
- Clarsen B, Myklebust G, Bahr R (2012) Development and validation of a new method for the registration of overuse injuries in sports injury epidemiology: the Oslo Sports Trauma Research Centre (OSTRC) Overuse Injury Questionnaire. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 47 (8): 495-502. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2012-091524>
- Clarsen B, Rønsen O, Myklebust G, Flørenes TW, Bahr R (2013) The Oslo Sports Trauma Research Center questionnaire on health problems: a new approach to prospective monitoring of illness and injury in elite athletes. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 48 (9): 754-760. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2012-092087>
- Côté J, Strachen L, Fraser-Thomas J (2008) Participation, personal development, and performance through youth sport. In: Holt NL (Ed.) *Positive Youth Development Through Sport*. 13 pp.
- der Sluis Av, Elferink-Gemser M, Coelho-e-Silva M, Nijboer J, Brink M, Visscher C (2013) Sport Injuries Aligned to Peak Height Velocity in Talented Pubertal Soccer Players. *International Journal of Sports Medicine* 35 (04): 351-355. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0033-1349874>
- DiFiori J (2010) Evaluation of Overuse Injuries in Children and Adolescents. *Current Sports Medicine Reports* 9 (6): 372-378. <https://doi.org/10.1249/jsr.0b013e3181fdba58>
- e Silva LC, Fragoso MI, Teles J (2017) Physical Activity–Related Injury Profile in Children and Adolescents According to Their Age, Maturation, and Level of Sports Participation. *Sports Health: A Multidisciplinary Approach* 9 (2): 118-125. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1941738116686964>
- Flyvbjerg B (2006) Five Misunderstandings About Case-Study Research. *Qualitative Inquiry* 12 (2): 219-245. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800405284363>
- Franklin C, Weiss J (2012) Stopping sports injuries in kids. *Current Opinion in Pediatrics* 24 (1): 64-67. <https://doi.org/10.1097/mop.0b013e32834ec618>

- Fraser-Thomas J, Côté J, Deakin J (2008) Understanding dropout and prolonged engagement in adolescent competitive sport. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* 9 (5): 645-662. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2007.08.003>
- Frohm A, Heijne A, Kowalski J, Svensson P, Myklebust G (2011) A nine-test screening battery for athletes: a reliability study. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports* 22 (3): 306-315. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2010.01267.x>
- Fuller C, Ekstrand J, Junge A, Andersen T, Bahr R, Dvorak J, H??gglund M, McCrory P, Meeuwisse W (2006) Consensus Statement on Injury Definitions and Data Collection Procedures in Studies of Football (Soccer) Injuries. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine* 16 (2): 97-106. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00042752-200603000-00003>
- Grau S, Krauss I, Maiwald C, Axmann D, Horstmann T, Best R (2011) Kinematic classification of iliotibial band syndrome in runners. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports* 21 (2): 184-189. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.01045.x>
- Häggglund M, Waldén M (2015) Risk factors for acute knee injury in female youth football. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy* 24 (3): 737-746. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-015-3922-z>
- Hedstrom R, Gould D (2004) Research in youth sports: Critical issues status. White Paper Summaries of Existing Literature
- Jacobsson J, Timpka T, Kowalski J, Nilsson S, Ekberg J, Dahlström Ö, Renström PA (2013) Injury patterns in Swedish elite athletics: annual incidence, injury types and risk factors. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 47 (15): 941-952. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2012-091651>
- Jayanthi N, LaBella C, Fischer D, Pasulka J, Dugas L (2015) Sports-Specialized Intensive Training and the Risk of Injury in Young Athletes. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine* 43 (4): 794-801. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546514567298>
- Junge A, Chomiak J, Dvorak J (2000) Incidence of Football Injuries in Youth Players. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine* 28: 47-50. https://doi.org/10.1177/28.suppl_5.s-47
- Junge A (2004) Injuries in youth amateur soccer and rugby players--comparison of incidence and characteristics. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 38 (2): 168-172. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjism.2002.003020>
- Kerr G, Stirling A (2012) Parents' Reflections on their Child's Experiences of Emotionally Abusive Coaching Practices. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology* 24 (2): 191-206. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2011.608413>
- Koutures CG, Gregory AJM, AND FITNESS TCOSM (2010) Injuries in Youth Soccer. *PEDIATRICS* 125 (2): 410-414. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-3009>
- Lafrenière M, Jowett S, Vallerand R, Donahue E, Lorimer R (2008) Passion in Sport: On the Quality of the Coach–Athlete Relationship. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology* 30 (5): 541-560. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.30.5.541>
- Lang M (2010) Intensive Training in Youth Sports: A New Abuse of Power? In: Vanhoutte KK, Fairbairn G, Lang M (Eds) *Bullying and the Abuse of Power*.
- Maffulli N, Caine D (2005) The Epidemiology of Children's Team Sports Injuries. *Medicine and Sport Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000085330>
- Maffulli N, Longo UG, Gougoulas N, Loppini M, Denaro V (2009) Long-term health outcomes of youth sports injuries. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 44 (1): 21-25. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjism.2009.069526>
- Maffulli N, Longo UG, Spiezia F, Denaro V (2010) Aetiology and Prevention of Injuries in Elite Young Athletes. *Medicine and Sport Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000321078>

- McMahon J, Penney D (2013) Body pedagogies, coaching and culture: three Australian swimmers' lived experiences. *Physical Education & Sport Pedagogy* 18 (3): 317-335. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17408989.2012.666786>
- Mechelen Wv, Hlobil H, Kemper HG (1992) Incidence, Severity, Aetiology and Prevention of Sports Injuries. *Sports Medicine* 14 (2): 82-99. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-199214020-00002>
- Meeuwisse W (1994) Assessing Causation in Sport Injury. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine* 4 (3): 166-170. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00042752-199407000-00004>
- Micheli LJ, Klein JD (1991) Sports injuries in children and adolescents. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 25 (1): 6-9. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjism.25.1.6>
- MIRWALD R, BAXTER-JONES AG, BAILEY D, BEUNEN G (2002) An assessment of maturity from anthropometric measurements. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 34 (4): 689-694. <https://doi.org/10.1249/00005768-200204000-00020>
- Murray MP, Gardner GM, Mollinger LA, Sepic SB (1980) Strength of Isometric and Isokinetic Contractions. *Physical Therapy* 60 (4): 412-419. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/60.4.412>
- Muthén LK, Muthén BO (2017) *Mplus User's Guide*. Eighth Edition. Muthén & Muthén, Los Angeles.
- Rosen Pv, M. Heijne A-, Frohm A (2016) Injuries and Associated Risk Factors Among Adolescent Elite Orienteers: A 26-Week Prospective Registration Study. *Journal of Athletic Training* 51 (4): 321-328. <https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-51.5.01>
- Rosen Pv, Frohm A, Kottorp A, Fridén C, Heijne A (2017) Multiple factors explain injury risk in adolescent elite athletes: Applying a biopsychosocial perspective. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports* 27 (12): 2059-2069. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12855>
- Schmidt-Olsen S, Bunemann LK, Lade V, Brasso JO (1985) Soccer injuries of youth. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 19 (3): 161-164. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjism.19.3.161>
- Schubring A, Thiel A (2013) Growth problems in youth elite sports. Social conditions, athletes' experiences and sustainability consequences1. *Reflective Practice* 15 (1): 78-91. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2013.868793>
- Schubring A, Bub E, Thiel A (2015) "How Much Is Too Much?" The Social Construction of Elite Youth Athlete Exercise Tolerances From the Coaches' Perspective. *Universitätsbibliothek Tübingen* <https://doi.org/10.15496/PUBLIKATION-6391>
- Soprano J (2005) Musculoskeletal Injuries in the Pediatric and Adolescent Athlete. *Current Sports Medicine Reports* 4 (6): 329-334. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.csmr.0000306295.49707.1f>
- Watson A, Brickson S, Brooks A, Dunn W (2016) Subjective well-being and training load predict in-season injury and illness risk in female youth soccer players. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 51 (3): 194-199. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096584>
- Wiese-Bjornstal DM (2010) Psychology and socioculture affect injury risk, response, and recovery in high-intensity athletes: a consensus statement. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports* 20: 103-111. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2010.01195.x>
- Wu G, Siegler S, Allard P, Kirtley C, Leardini A, Rosenbaum D, Whittle M, D'Lima DD, Cristofolini L, Witte H, Schmid O, Stokes I (2002) ISB recommendation on definitions of joint coordinate system of various joints for the reporting of human joint motion—part I:

ankle, hip, and spine. *Journal of Biomechanics* 35 (4): 543-548. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9290\(01\)00222-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9290(01)00222-6)

- Yin RK (2014) *Case study research: design and methods*. Sage, London.




Figure 1.
FIT project player recruitment procedure.




Figure 2.
FIT project timeline.