Edaphic and light conditions of sympatric plant morphotypes in western Amazonia

Julissa Roncal ‡

‡ Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Canada

Corresponding author:

Academic editor: Thomas L.P. Couvreur

Abstract

Here I present a dataset of edaphic and light conditions associated with the occurrence of sympatric morphotypes of *Geonoma macrostachys* (Arecaceae/Palmae), a candidate case study from Amazonia hypothesized to have evolved under ecological speciation. Transects were established in three lowland rainforests in Peru, and the abundance of each local morphotype of this species was recorded in a total area of 4.95 hectares. Composite soil samples and hemispherical photographs were taken along the transects were the species occurred to obtain information on soil nutrients, soil texture, and indirect measurements of light availability. The raw and summary tables disclose the characteristics of each study site and habitats within them, which could be useful to soil scientists, ecologists, and conservationists engaged in similar research activities or metaanalyses in Amazonia.

Keywords

Canopy openness, floodplain, *Geonoma macrostachys*, habitat differentiation, leaf area index, Peru, slope, soil texture, soil nutrients, terra firme, transmitted light, tropical rainforest

Introduction

It is well known that soil chemistry, texture, and topography can determine the plant community composition and species richness at different spatial scales (e.g. [Gentry 1981](#page-8-0), [Eiserhardt et al. 2011](#page-8-1)). For example, the turnover of community species composition along a soil fertility gradient has been documented at local and regional scales (e.g. [Poulsen et al. 2006](#page-9-0), [Andersen et al. 2010](#page-8-2), [Guèze et al. 2013](#page-9-1)). Plant species grow preferentially under different soil nutrient concentrations and textures (e.g. [John et al.](#page-9-2) [2007](#page-9-2), [Baribault et al. 2012\)](#page-8-3). Flooding versus good drainage also affects plant distribution (e.g. [Silvertown et al. 1999,](#page-10-0) [Duque et al. 2002](#page-8-4)). Soil texture is related to drainage, and it

characterizes the bulk density, surface area, and air space in between soil particles, affecting the water-holding capacity and hydraulic conductivity of soils ([Rawls et al. 1982](#page-9-3), [Sollins 1998,](#page-10-1) [Palm et al. 2007\)](#page-9-4). Topography also influences species distributions through its interaction with other environmental factors such as soil nutrients, hydrology, wind exposure, temperature and even biotic factors ([Trichon 1997,](#page-10-2) [Pausas and Austin 2001](#page-9-5), [Klinger and Rejmánek 2010\)](#page-9-6). Its effect on plant performance is thus indirect, difficult to interpret and often site specific ([Vormisto et al. 2004](#page-10-3)). Although less studied, the distributions of many plant species show strong associations with light availability (e.g. [Terborgh and Mathews 1999](#page-10-4)). The vertical distribution of foliage in a forest allows light to penetrate the understory through vertical and lateral gaps of different sizes, creating a vertical and horizontal light heterogeneity in the forest understory ([Oberbauer et al. 1989](#page-9-7), [Montgomery 2004](#page-9-8)) that could allow resource partitioning among species. These plant responses to abiotic conditions suggest an important role for habitat heterogeneity not only as a mechanism that facilitates the coexistance of high species diversity, but also as a speciation driver (e.g. Gentry 1989, [Haffer 1997](#page-9-9), [Nosil 2012\)](#page-9-10). Documentation of habitat heterogeneity should thus be an important component in biodiversity studies.

[Nosil \(2012\)](#page-9-10) defined ecological speciation as the process by which barriers to gene flow evolve between populations as a result of ecologically based divergent selection between environments. The interaction of individuals with their environment is thus a key agent of selection under this mode of speciation, making the documentation of habitat preferences between populations an important observation (yet not the only one) to empirically distinguish ecological speciation. The palm species complex, *Geonoma macrostachys* Mart. (Arecaceae), is a potential case study of ecological speciation in western Amazonia. Local morphotypes of this lowland forest palm differ in leaf shape, show a strong habitat differentiation, are reproductively isolated by differences in pollinator guild and flower phenology while genetic data suggest an independent evolution of the morphotypes in each forest site ([Listabarth 1993,](#page-9-11) Roncal 2005, [Roncal](#page-10-5) [2006](#page-10-5), [Roncal et al. 2007](#page-10-6)).

Here, I present a dataset of edaphic and light properties that were used to determine the presence and degree of habitat differentiation between local morphotypes of *G. macrostachys* in three lowland moist forests in Peru (Roncal 2005, [Roncal 2006\)](#page-10-5). These publications did not make the raw data available. Following [Svenning \(1999\),](#page-10-7) I define habitat as the environmental conditions occurring at the scale of a floodplain or terra firme (i.e. more than one km^2). I refer to microhabitat as those characteristics within major habitat types that change at scales less than 10^3 m ([Svenning 1999\)](#page-10-7). This information could complement similar environmental studies spanning the distribution range of this palm species in order to test more rigorously the ecological speciation hypothesis in Amazonian plants. Finally, the environmental data available here could be useful to soil scientists, ecologists, and conservationists who seek detailed environmental information at the habitat and microhabitat scales for this part of the Amazon basin.

Project description

Title: Habitat differentiation of sympatric *Geonoma macrostachys* (Arecaceae) morphotypes in Peruvian lowland forests

Personnel: Julissa Roncal

Study area description: Fieldwork was carried out at three sites. The Amazon Conservatory of Tropical Studies (ACTS) is situated adjacent to the Sucusari, a small tributary to the Napo River in northeast Peru. ACTS is located within the Explornapo Reserve, a 1,725 ha of mostly primary forest, property of Explorama Tours ([Vasquez 1997](#page-10-8)). Soils in the reserve belong to the Pebas formation, which dates back to the Middle Miocene [\(Hoorn 1994\)](#page-9-12), and gave rise to clay and silty clay soils with a higher than average nutrient content ([Vasquez 1997,](#page-10-8) [Vormisto et al. 2004](#page-10-3)). Most of the reserve is covered by terra firme forest but the area adjacent to the Sucusari was classified as Igapo or floodplain. For a detailed description of the floristic composition of the area see ([Vasquez 1997\)](#page-10-8). The Loma Linda Native Reserve (LLNR) is a 332.16 ha protected area located adjacent to the Palcazu River in central Peru. No information on the geology or soil type of the reserve has been published. Two main habitat types were visually recognized in the field: a topographically irregular red-soil habitat, and a flat white-soil habitat. Finally, the 1,000 ha study area of Cocha Cashu biological station (EBCC) is located within the lowlands of the 1,532,000 ha of Manu National Park in southeastern Peru (Terborgh 1990). Soils at EBCC within the 6 km-wide meander belt of the Manu River (floodplain forest) are composed of young alluvial silt and clay carried from the Andes. Soils in the uplands (terra firme) of EBCC, dissected by numerous streams, are sandy (Terborgh 1990). Foster (1990) described the floristic composition of the Manu river floodplain forests. Table [1,](#page-13-0) Fig. [1.](#page-11-0)

Funding: The Marina Riley Scholarship Program of Duke University, the International Palm Society, the South Florida Palm Society, the Karling graduate student award of the Botanical Society of America, the Tropical Biology Program of Florida International University.

Sampling methods

Sampling description: At each site, transects of 10 m wide and 290 m long were established on each main habitat described in the 'study site' section, and separated from one another by at least 200 m. Eleven, twelve, and fourteen transects were established at EBCC, LLNR, and ACTS, respectively. Transects were divided into plots of 10 m × 10 m and all *G. macrostachys* adult individuals having the minimum reproductive height were recorded in every other plot to avoid spatial autocorrelation (Suppl. material [1\)](#page-20-0). The position of transects are disclosed in Table [2.](#page-14-0) The total area sampled in this study was 4.95 hectares. A map of the trail system at ACTS can be found in Suppl. material [2](#page-20-1), and a LANDSAT map, as well as the trail system at EBCC can be found in http:// cochacashu.sandiegozooglobal.org/researchers/maps/.

The inclination of every other plot along each transect was measured with a clinometer (PM5/360PC, Suunto®, Finland) in the middle of the plot. Soil samples for laboratory analyses were taken from 78, 76, and 87 plots from ACTS, LLNR, and EBCC, respectively (241 soil samples in total). Plots were randomly chosen along transects so that at least 40 soil samples per morphotype at each site were collected with no more than nine soil samples per transect. Since at EBCC fewer than 40 plots were recorded to have the *acaulis* morphotype, 17 additional soil samples were collected from haphazard *acaulis* individuals in the forest. For the same reason, nine soil samples from haphazardly chosen *large morphotype* individuals were collected at LLNR. At each plot, the top 20 cm of soil profile (Ah horizon) was sampled at three points within a 0.5 m radius of the palm(s), using a 2.5 cm diameter x 30 cm high metallic cylinder, and mixed to obtain a composite soil sample. This procedure was also followed for plots where the two varieties were found, collecting only one composite sample.

Soil texture was quantified using a hydrometer, which calculates the proportional distribution of sand (particle size of 0.05 mm and larger), silt (0.002-0.05 mm) and clay (<0.002 mm) in the soil through the application of the Stoke's law of mineral particle separation by size, based on the settling rate in suspension ([Thein and Graveel 2002](#page-10-9)). Soils were further assigned to one of the 12 textural classes using the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) textural triangle [\(Thein and Graveel 2002\)](#page-10-9). Soil chemical analyses included pH using an electrode in a 1:1 solution of soil and water, and the following extractable cations: Ca, Mg, P, K, Zn, Mn, Cu, B, and Na, using the Mehlich 1 extractant and an Inductively Coupled Plasma (TJA 61E, Thermo Electron Corporation, Florida). These analyses were conducted at the Agricultural Service Laboratory of Clemson University. Suppl. material [3](#page-20-2) presents the raw data. Table [3](#page-16-0) is a summary table showing mean values and standard deviations for each main habitat within the study sites. Table [4](#page-17-0) is another summary showing only the significantly different edaphic variables between morphotypes. Soil textural classes were also different between habitats at each site (Fig. [2](#page-12-0)). Clay and clay loam soils characterize the floodplain of EBCC and ACTS, while sandy soils characterize the terra firme at these sites. The white soil habitat at LLNR presents sand, loamy sand, and sandy loam, while the red soil habitat is mostly composed of sandy clay loam, clay loam and clay Fig. [2.](#page-12-0)

Hemispherical photographs were used to obtain an indirect measure of light availability for 40 palm individuals of each morphotype at each study site. Hemispherical photography is a technique used to estimate forest light conditions in the subcanopy and understory since light measurements obtained from this method correlated highly with direct measurements of photosynthetic photon flux density [\(Chazdon and Field 1987](#page-8-5), [Roxburgh and Kelly 1995,](#page-10-10) [Machado and Reich 1999,](#page-9-13) [Engelbrecht and Herz 2001](#page-8-6)). Individuals selected for this purpose were the same as those selected for soil analyses. I used a Nikon 8 mm fisheye lens (180° field of view) mounted on a Nikon COOLPIX 995 digital camera. Photographs were taken under uniformly overcast conditions (usually at dawn) to avoid reflection. The camera was oriented with a hand-held compass to ensure

that a light emitting diode attached to the fisheye lens pointed the north, the camera was also leveled in a tripod before each photograph. Hemispheric photographs were analyzed with Gap Light Analyzer (GLA) software version 2.0 ([Frazer et al. 1999](#page-8-7), [http://](http://www.rem.sfu.ca/forestry/gla/) [www.rem.sfu.ca/forestry/gla/\)](http://www.rem.sfu.ca/forestry/gla/), which calculates the proportions of direct and diffuse radiation beneath the canopy relative to those above the canopy. The output of GLA includes the following light variables (definitions taken from software manual, [Frazer et al.](#page-8-7) [1999](#page-8-7)):

"Percentage of canopy openness is the percentage of open sky seen from beneath a forest canopy. This measure is computed from the hemispherical photograph only, and does not take into account the influence of the surrounding topography"

"Leaf area index 4Ring is the effective leaf area index integrated over the zenith angles 0 to 60°"

"Leaf area index 5Ring is the effective leaf area index integrated over the zenith angles 0 to 75°"

"Transmitted direct is the amount of direct solar radiation transmitted by the canopy in mol m^{-2} d⁻¹"

"Transmitted diffuse is the amount of diffuse solar radiation transmitted by the canopy in mol m $^{-2}$ d $^{-1}$ "

"Transmitted total is the sum of transmitted direct and transmitted diffuse"

"Percentage transmitted direct is the ratio of transmitted direct to above direct mask (defined as the amount of direct radiation incident on a horizontal or tilted surface) multiplied by 100%"

"Percentage transmitted diffuse is the ratio of transmitted diffuse to above diffuse mask (defined as the amount of diffuse radiation incident on a horizontal or tilted surface) multiplied by 100%"

"Percentage transmitted total is the ratio transmitted total to above total mask (defined as the sum of above direct mask and above diffuse mask) multiplied by 100%"

Photographs were analyzed twice so that threshold values were averaged before running the program. To document the light environment of the forest, 40 photographs were taken at random points on each habitat type at each site, these represent the control points in Suppl. material [4.](#page-20-3) Random numbers were used to select the location along the trail systems and the camera was located at the average *G. macrostachys* crown height (approximately 90cm). Control points were not taken at LLNR since the lack of a trail system made this task impractical. Suppl. material [4](#page-20-3) presents the raw data, while Table [5](#page-19-0) is a summary table showing mean values and standard deviations for three representative light measurements. Only the leaf area index was significantly different between local morphotypes at ACTS.

Geographic coverage

Description: See Fig. [1](#page-11-0)

Taxonomic coverage

Description: *Geonoma macrostachys* Mart. belongs to tribe Geonomateae within the Arecaceae family. It has been described as a species complex with several varieties, subspecies or morphotypes. Synonyms include: *G. acaulis*, *G. acaulis* subsp. *tapajotensis*, *Taenianthera oligosticha*, *G. tamandua*, *G. supracostata*, *G. atrovirens*, *G.ecuadoriensis*, and *G. poiteuana* [\(Henderson 2011\)](#page-9-14).

Temporal coverage

Notes: Fieldwork was conducted between January and August 2003. Soil texture and nutrient analyses in the laboratory were conducted between September and December 2003.

Usage licence

Usage licence: Creative Commons Public Domain Waiver (CC-Zero)

IP rights notes: This dataset can be freely used provided it is cited.

Data resources

Data package title: Edaphic and light conditions for Geonoma macrostachys

Resource link: http://julissaroncal.wordpress.com/data-resources/

Number of data sets: 2

Data set name: Soil

Data format: .xls

Description: Soil data for three Peruvian tropical forests where *G. macrostachys* occurs. Samples taken from outside the transect are labeled by the trail and meters from its starting point.

Column label Column description

Data set name: Light

Data format: .xls

Description: Light conditions associated with the occurrence of *G. macrostachys* at three Peruvian forests.

Acknowledgements

Special thanks to Ines Angulo, Christine Bacon, Celso Narino, and Fernando Vasquez for assistance in the field. Soil analyses were performed at Dr. Jayachandran's soil laboratory at Florida International University, and at the Agricultural Service Laboratory at Clemson University. Rommel Montufar and an anonymous reviewer provided suggestions to improve the quality of this manuscript.

References

- • Andersen K, Turner B, Dalling J (2010) Soil-based habitat partitioning in understorey palms in lower montane tropical forests. Journal of Biogeography 37 (2): 278‑292. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2009.02192.x) doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2009.02192.x
- • Anonymous (1990) Manejo de bosques naturales de la Selva Central del Perú. Estudio de caso del valle del Palcazú. Instituto Nacional de Desarrollo, Apoyo para el Desarrollo de Selva Alta, USAID, Ronco Consulting Corporation y Centro Científico Tropical., Lima, 233 pp. [In Spanish].
- • Baribault T, Kobe R, Finley A (2012) Tropical tree growth is correlated with soil phosphorus, potassium, and calcium, though not for legumes. Ecological Monographs 82 (2): 189‑203.<https://doi.org/10.1890/11-1013.1>
- • Chazdon RL, Field CB (1987) Photographic estimation of photosynthetically active radiation: evaluation of a computerized technique. Oecologia 73 (4): 525-532. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00379411) doi.org/10.1007/BF00379411
- • Duque A, Sánchez M, Cavelier J, Duivenvoorden J (2002) Different floristic patterns of woody understorey and canopy plants in Colombian Amazonia. Journal of Tropical Ecology 18 (4): 499‑525.<https://doi.org/10.1017/s0266467402002341>
- • Eiserhardt WL, Svenning J-, Kissling WD, Balslev H (2011) Geographical ecology of the palms (Arecaceae): determinants of diversity and distributions across spatial scales. Annals of Botany 108 (8): 1391-1416. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcr146>
- • Engelbrecht BM, Herz HM (2001) Evaluation of different methods to estimate understorey light conditions in tropical forests. Journal of Tropical Ecology 17 (2): 207-224. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266467401001146) doi.org/10.1017/S0266467401001146
- Foster R, Gentry AH (1990) The floristic composition of the rio Manu floodplain forest. Four neotropical rainforests. Yale University Press, New Haven, 627 pp. [In English]. [ISBN 9780300054484].
- • Frazer GW, Canham CD, Lertzman KP (1999) *Gap Light Analyzer, version 2.0*: Imaging software to extract canopy structure and gap light transmission indices from true-colour fisheye photographs, users manual and program documentation. 2.0. Simon Fraser University and the Institute of Ecosystem Studies.
- • Gentry AH (1981) Distributional patterns and an additional species of the *Passiflora vitifolia* complex: Amazonian species diversity due to edaphically differentiated communities. Plant Systematics and Evolution 137: 95‑105. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00983208) [BF00983208](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00983208)
- Gentry AH, Holm-Nielsen LB, Nielsen IC, Balslev H (1989) Speciation in tropical forests. Tropical forests: Botanical dynamics, speciation and diversity. Academic Press, London, 113-134 pp.
- • Guèze M, Paneque-Gálvez J, Luz A, Pino J, Orta-Martínez M, Reyes-García V, Macía M (2013) Determinants of tree species turnover in a southern Amazonian rain forest. Journal of Vegetation Science 24 (2): 284‑295.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2012.01461.x>
- • Haffer J (1997) Alternative models of vertebrate speciation in Amazonia: An overview. Biodiversity and Conservation 6 (3): 451‑476.<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018320925954>
- • Henderson A (2011) A revision of Geonoma (Arecaceae). Phytotaxa 17: 1‑271.
- • Hoorn C (1994) An environmental reconstruction of the palaeo-Amazon River system (Middle–Late Miocene, NW Amazonia). Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 112 (3): 187‑238. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-0182\(94\)90074-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-0182(94)90074-4)
- • John R, Dalling JW, Harms KE, Yavitt JB, Stallard RF, Mirabello M, Hubbell SP, Valencia R, Navarrete H, Vallejo M, Foster RB (2007) Soil nutrients influence spatial distributions of tropical tree species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 104 (3): 864‑869.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0604666104>
- • Klinger R, Rejmánek M (2010) A strong conditional mutualism limits and enhances seed dispersal and germination of a tropical palm. Oecologia 162 (4): 951‑963. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-009-1542-3) [10.1007/s00442-009-1542-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-009-1542-3)
- • Listabarth C (1993) Pollination in *Geonoma macrostachys* and three congeners, *G.acaulis*, *G. gracilis*, and *G. interrupta*. Botanica Acta 106: 496‑506. [In English]. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.1993.tb00779.x) doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.1993.tb00779.x
- • Machado J, Reich P (1999) Evaluation of several measures of canopy openness as predictors of photosynthetic photon flux density in deeply shaded conifer-dominated forest understory. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 29 (9): 1438-1444. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-29-9-1438) [10.1139/cjfr-29-9-1438](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-29-9-1438)
- • Montgomery R (2004) Effects of Understory Foliage on Patterns of Light Attenuation near the Forest Floor. Biotropica 36 (1): 33‑39. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2004.tb00293.x) [1744-7429.2004.tb00293.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2004.tb00293.x)
- • Nosil P (2012) Ecological Speciation. Oxford University Press Inc, New York, 271 pp. [In English].
- • Oberbauer SF, Clark DA, Clark DB, Quesada M (1989) Comparative analysis of photosynthetic light environments within the crowns of juvenile rain forest trees. Tree Physiology 5 (1): 13‑23. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/5.1.13>
- • Palm C, Sanchez P, Ahamed S, Awiti A (2007) Soils: A Contemporary Perspective. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 32 (1): 99‑129. [https://doi.org/10.1146/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.energy.31.020105.100307) [annurev.energy.31.020105.100307](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.energy.31.020105.100307)
- • Pausas J, Austin M (2001) Patterns of plant species richness in relation to different environments: An appraisal. Journal of Vegetation Science 12 (2): 153-166. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.2307/3236601) [10.2307/3236601](https://doi.org/10.2307/3236601)
- • Poulsen AD, Tuomisto H, Balslev H (2006) Edaphic and Floristic Variation within a 1-ha Plot of Lowland Amazonian Rain Forest. Biotropica 38 (4): 468‑478. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2006.00168.x) [10.1111/j.1744-7429.2006.00168.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2006.00168.x)
- Rawls WJ, Brakensiek DL, Saxtonn KE (1982) Estimation of Soil Water Properties. Transactions of the ASAE 25 (5): 1316‑1320.<https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.33720>
- Roncal J (2005) Molecular phylogenetics of the palm tribe Geonomeae, and differentiation of *Geonoma macrostachys* Western Amazonian varieties. Ph.D. dissertation. Florida International University, Miami, 130 pp.
- • Roncal J (2006) Habitat differentiation of sympatric Geonoma macrostachys (Arecaceae) varieties in Peruvian lowland forests. Journal of Tropical Ecology 22 (4): 483. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266467406003270) doi.org/10.1017/S0266467406003270
- • Roncal J, Francisco-Ortega J, Lewis CE (2007) An evaluation of the taxonomic distinctness of two Geonoma macrostachys (Arecaceae) varieties based on intersimple sequence repeat (ISSR) variation. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 153 (4): 381‑392.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2007.00619.x>
- • Roxburgh JR, Kelly D (1995) Uses and limitations of hemispherical photography for estimating forest light environments. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 19 (2): 213-217.
- • Silvertown J, Dodd M, Gowing DG, Mountford JO (1999) Hydrologically defined niches reveal a basis for species richness in plant communities. Nature 400 (6739): 61-63. <https://doi.org/10.1038/21877>
- • Sollins P (1998) Factors Influencing Species Composition in Tropical Lowland Rain Forest: Does Soil Matter? Ecology 79 (1): 23‑30. <https://doi.org/10.2307/176861>
- • Svenning J (1999) Microhabitat specialization in a species-rich palm community in Amazonian Ecuador. Journal of Ecology 87 (1): 55-65. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.1999.00329.x) [1365-2745.1999.00329.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.1999.00329.x)
- Terborgh J, Gentry AH (1990) An overview of research at Cocha Cashu Biological Station. Four Neotropical Rain Forests. Yale University Press, New Haven, 627 pp. [In English]. [ISBN 9780300054484].
- • Terborgh J, Mathews J (1999) Partitioning of the Understorey Light Environment by Two Amazonian Treelets. Journal of Tropical Ecology 15 (6): 751-763. [https://doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266467499001157) [S0266467499001157](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266467499001157)
- • Thein SJ, Graveel JG (2002) *Laboratory manual for soil science*. Agricultural and environmental principles. 8th. Mc Graw-Hill Science/Engineering/Math, 232 pp. [In English].
- • Trichon V (1997) Hétérogénéité spatiale d'une forêt tropicale humide de Sumatra: effet de la topographie sur la structure floristique. Annales des Sciences Forestières 54 (5): 431‑446.<https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:19970502>
- • Vasquez R (1997) Florula de las reservas biologicas de Iquitos, Peru: Allpahuayo-Mishana, Explornapo Camp, Explorama Lodge. Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis, 1046 pp. [In Spanish]. [ISBN 0915279487]
- • Vormisto J, Tuomisto H, Oksanen J (2004) Palm distribution patterns in Amazonian rainforests: What is the role of topographic variation? Journal of Vegetation Science 15 (4): 485‑494.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2004.tb02287.x>

Figure 1.

Map of the three study sites in Peru where soil and light conditions were measured. Locality acronyms are the same as in Table [1](#page-13-0).

Figure 2.

Distribution of soil textural classes at the three study sites following the USDA textural triangle system ([Thein and Graveel 2002\)](#page-10-9). Plots sampled from the main habitat types are distinguished on each case. Data used for these figures were obtained from Suppl. material [3](#page-20-2).

Table 1.

Geographic location of study sites.

Table 2.

Transect location where edaphic and light conditions were measured. GPS coordinates and trail system (trail number: meters from its origin) indicate the start of each transect. No trail system was available at LLNR. Locality acronyms as in Table [1.](#page-13-0)

Table 3.

Mean values and standard deviation (in parenthesis) for 13 edaphic variables describing the two main habitats found at each study site. Locality acronyms as in Table [1.](#page-13-0) FP=floodplain, TF=terra firme, WS=white soil, RS=red soil, n=number of 10×10 m plots sampled.

Table 4.

Mean values, standard deviations, and T-test statistics between local morphotypes for only significantly different edaphic variables, arranged by study site. * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001.

Table 5.

Mean values, standard deviation, and test statistics for *G. macrostachys* morphotypes and habitats for three light variables measured using hemispherical photography. F values given for ACTS and EBCC are from one-way ANOVA tests, and T values for LLNR are from independent samples ttests. Morphotypes and habitats sharing the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 level after Bonferroni pairwise comparisons of means. n=number of hemispherical photos, ns=non significant, *P<0.05.

Supplementary materials

Suppl. material 1: Occurence data for Geonoma macrostachys Mart. morphotypes on transects at three Peruvian forests

Authors: Julissa Roncal, Christine Bacon, Ines Angulo, Celso Narino **Data type:** occurrences **Brief description:** Raw data of morphotype numbers along each of the 38 transects established in Peru. **Filename:** densities.xls - [Download file](https://arpha.pensoft.net/getfile.php?filename=oo_5751.xls) (94.00 kb)

Suppl. material 2: Trail system at The Amazon Conservatory of Tropical Studies, Loreto, Peru

Authors: Julissa Roncal and Ines Angulo **Data type:** trail map **Brief description:** As of March 2003. **Filename:** Slide1.jpg - [Download file](https://arpha.pensoft.net/getfile.php?filename=oo_5778.jpg) (99.73 kb)

Suppl. material 3: Soil data for three Peruvian tropical forests where G. macrostachys occurs

Authors: Julissa Roncal **Data type:** ecological **Brief description:** Raw soil data. Samples taken from outside the transect are labeled by the trail followed by the meters from its starting point. Locality acronyms as in Table 1. **Filename:** soil.xls - [Download file](https://arpha.pensoft.net/getfile.php?filename=oo_5793.xls) (158.00 kb)

Suppl. material 4: Light conditions associated with the occurrence of G. macrostachys at three Peruvian forests

Authors: Julissa Roncal **Data type:** ecological **Brief description:** Locality acronyms as in Table 1. **Filename:** light.xls - [Download file](https://arpha.pensoft.net/getfile.php?filename=oo_5795.xls) (91.00 kb)