# Expanding geographic distribution knowledge of *Galerina marginata* (Batsch) Kühner (Agaricales, Hymenogastraceae) with a novel Antarctic record

Fernando Augusto Bertazzo-Silva<sup>‡</sup>, Jair Putzke<sup>‡</sup>, Cassiane Furlan-Lopes<sup>‡</sup>, Maricia Fantinel D'ávila<sup>§</sup>, Alice Lemos Costa<sup>‡</sup>, Evelise Leis Carvalho<sup>‡</sup>, Ana Flavia Zorzi<sup>‡</sup>, Carlos Ernesto Gonçalves Reynaud Schaefer<sup>I</sup>

‡ Laboratório de Taxonomia de Fungos, Universidade Federal do Pampa (UNIPAMPA), São Gabriel, RS, Brazil

§ Universidade Federal do Pampa (UNIPAMPA), São Gabriel, RS, Brazil

| Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Departamento de Solos, Viçosa, MG, Brazil

Corresponding author: Fernando Augusto Bertazzo-Silva (fernandobertazzo@gmail.com)

Academic editor: Renan Barbosa

# Abstract

#### Background

The investigation of Agaricales diversity in the Antarctica is limited, with only seven genera reported for the region. Galerina stands out as the genus with the highest species diversity, including 12 species in Antarctica. This research reports the presence of G. marginata in the region, providing the first complete morphological description for the specimen developing in Antarctica. Sampling was conducted during the Austral summer of 2022/2023 as part of the XLI Brazilian Antarctic Operation in Point Smellie, Byers Peninsula, Livingston Island, South Shetland Archipelago, Antarctica. Phylogenetic relationships reconstructed by Maximum Likelihood demonstrate that G. marginata forms a monophyletic clade with over 60% bootstrap support in most branches. The isolate in this study was found to be internal to the main cluster. Evolutionary reconstructions using the Maximum Likelihood method indicate that the branches correspond to the Antarctic isolate being an internal clade within the *marginata* group. Recording fungal populations in polar regions offers information about their adaptation and survival in inhospitable environments. Understanding the species' distribution in Antarctica encourages future investigations into its ecology and interactions with other organisms. Here, data are presented to establish an initial foundation for monitoring the G. marginata population in Antarctica and assessing the potential impacts of climate change on its development and survival in the forthcoming years.

## New information

We report the third occurrence of *Galerina marginata* (Batsch) Kühner in Antarctica and provide, for the first time, a comprehensive morphological description of an individual of the species for the Antarctic continent, accompanied by phylogenetic analyses and comprehensive discussions regarding its diversity and global distribution.

## Introduction

The Antarctic continent is known as one of the most inhospitable places on the Planet due to its meteorological conditions and geographical isolation (Lecordier et al. 2023). It is divided into two primary sectors: Continental Antarctica, which includes ice-free regions such as the McMurdo Dry Valleys, Windmill Islands and inland nunataks; and Maritime Antarctica, which extends along the western coast of the Antarctic Peninsula and the archipelagos of the Scotia Arc, reaching northwards to South Georgia, which is designated as part of the sub-Antarctic region (Colesie et al. 2023).

The Antarctic Peninsula and adjacent islands in Maritime Antarctica experience distinct seasons influenced by the Southern Ocean. Summers are brief, with temperatures above freezing and extended daylight hours, significantly impacting annual precipitation. Conversely, winters are characterised by temperatures ranging from -10°C to -12°C, prolonged nights and the formation of sea ice (Yu et al. 2024). In Polar Regions, physical environmental conditions often exert a more pronounced influence than biological factors (Peck et al. 2006). This suggests that climate, soil, ice and other physical factors play a substantial role, often overriding biological conditions in determining the life and adaptation of organisms in these regions.

Due to the extreme conditions in Antarctica, research on its biodiversity is crucial for understanding the distribution and adaptive capabilities of extremophile organisms. Although more than 70 genera of Agaricales have been reported for the continent in biodiversity databases (GBIF.org 2024b), the diversity and distribution of Agaricales in Antarctica in scientific literature remain understudied. Reports indicate only seven genera in the region: *Arrhenia* Fr. (= *Leptoglossum* P. Karst.), *Galerina* Earle, *Lichenomphalia* Redhead, Lutzoni, Moncalvo and Vilgalys (= *Owingsia* I. Saar, Voitk and Thorn), *Omphalina* Quél., Pholiota (Fr.) P. Kumm., *Rimbachia* Pat. and *Simocybe* P. Karsten (Putzke et al. 2012, Palfner et al. 2020, MycoBank Database 2024). Amongst these, *Galerina* stands out as the genus with the highest species diversity, with 12 species thriving in the Antarctic Region, as indicated by Garrido-Benavent et al. (2023).

The genus *Galerina* comprises approximately 300 species and typically produces basidiomata commonly associated with living bryophytes, functioning as saprophytes or being confined to woody material and other plant remnants (Horak 1994, Gulden et al. 2005). Recent studies have shown that lethal mushrooms of the genus colonised

Antarctica as early as the Pleistocene, concurrent with the estimated colonisation of plants such as the grass *Deschampsia antarctica* Desv., mosses and some amphitropical lichens on the continent (Garrido-Benavent et al. 2023). Despite their presence on the Antarctic continent for thousands of years, studies related to the genus *Galerina* are still in their early stages, highlighting the need for more comprehensive research on their diversity in Antarctica to clarify distribution issues and provide consistent information on the impacts of climate change on *Galerina* spp. populations.

This study presents a novel finding of *Galerina marginata* (Batsch) Kühner in Antarctica through the collection of Agaricales fungi in the South Shetland Islands. The primary contribution of this research lies in providing new insights into the morphology, phylogeny and distribution of *G. marginata* on the Antarctic Continent, offering novel and relevant information on the bionomy and ecology of this species in the region. This research aims to report, for the first time in scientific literature, the presence of *G. marginata* on the Byers Peninsula (Livingston Island, Antarctica), providing morphological and phylogenetic data on the species.

# Materials and methods

## Study site

The samplings were carried out in the austral summer of 2022/2023 as part of the XLI Brazilian Antarctic Operation at Point Smellie, Byers Peninsula, Livingston Island, South Shetland Archipelago, Antarctica (62°39'12.6"S, 61°08'44.6"W) (Fig. 1). The specimens were photographed and collected using tweezers, along with detailed documentation of the mushrooms' morphology, the geographical coordinates of their location and the substrate on which they were discovered. Subsequently, a fraction of the specimens underwent desiccation in a 40°C oven, while the remaining portion was preserved fresh for molecular analysis. All samples were transported to Brazil and stored frozen at -20°C.

## Morphological characterisation and classification

The morphological characterisation and examination of organism structures were initially conducted in the field in Antarctica and subsequently continued at the Laboratório de Taxonomia de Fungos (LATAF) at Universidade Federal do Pampa (UNIPAMPA), São Gabriel, Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil. Microscope slides were prepared using a 3% potassium hydroxide (KOH) solution and observed under the Axio Scope A1 Binocular Microscope. The microscopic characterisation involved measuring 25 specimens of each microscopic structure, with means followed by standard deviations presented and the maximum and minimum sizes of each structure included in parentheses. Following the analyses, samples of the material were dehydrated in an oven at 40°C and subsequently deposited in the Bruno Edgar Irgang Herbarium (HBEI 127).

For the verification of the current taxonomic classification and synonyms of *Galerina* marginata, the <u>Catalogue of Life</u> and <u>Index Fungorum</u> platforms were employed. To

analyse the worldwide geographical distribution of the species, specialised bibliographies, the <u>Global Biodiversity Information Facility</u> and <u>Barcode of Life Data</u> <u>System</u> platforms were consulted.

## DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing

Fungal DNA extraction utilised desiccated frozen tissue obtained from *G. marginata*. These extractions were performed using an E.Z.N.A.® Fungal DNA Mini Kit, Omega Biotek. DNA sequences of the ITS region (ITS1–5.8S–ITS2) were obtained by primers ITS1 (5'-CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA-3') and ITS4 (5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3') (Sappington and Taylor 1990, White et al. 1990). PCR was performed for a final volume of 25  $\mu$ l containing: 25 ng of genomic DNA (1  $\mu$ l), 20 mM of each primer (0.25  $\mu$ l) 10 mM of dNTP mix (2  $\mu$ l), 50 mM of MgCl<sub>2</sub> (0.75  $\mu$ l) 10 × PCR buffer (2.5  $\mu$ l), Taq polymerase at 5 U/ $\mu$ l (0.25  $\mu$ l) (Ludwig Biotecnologia) and Milli-Q water to complete the reaction. The PCR reaction was performed following White et al. (1990) adapted conditions: 94°C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 40 s and 72°C for 1 min and a final extension of 72°C for 1 min. The PCR product was purified using a column PCR Product Purification Kit (Ludwig Biotecnologia) and sequenced automatically in a sequencer (ABI 3500 XL Applied Biosystems).

## Phylogenetic analysis

For the phylogenetic analysis, the BLAST search was performed at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and closely related sequences were downloaded from GenBank. Evolutionary analysis using the Maximum Likelihood method was conducted to reconstruct the evolutionary history of G. marginata. The Tamura 3parameter model (Tamura and Nei 1993) was employed within the Maximum Likelihood framework. A bootstrap consensus tree was inferred from 10,000 replicates (Kumar et al. 2018). Branches in partitions reproduced in fewer than 50% of bootstrap replicates were collapsed (Felsenstein 1985). The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (10,000 replicates) is shown next to the branches. Initial trees for the heuristic search were automatically obtained by applying the Neighbour-Joining and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach, then selecting the topology with the highest log likelihood value. A discrete Gamma distribution was utilised to model evolutionary rate differences amongst sites (1,000 categories; G parameter = 0.2683). The analysis involved 51 taxa (nucleotide sequences), with 47 in the in-group and four in the out-group. (Table 1). There were a total of 1,303 positions included (1st + 2nd + 3rd + non-coding) in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted using RAxML v.8 (Stamatakis 2016).

## Taxon treatment

## Galerina marginata (Batsch) Kühner

IndexFungorum 253217

#### Nomenclature

- = Agaricus autumnalis Peck, Ann. Rep. Reg. N.Y. St. Mus. 23: 92 (1872) [1870]
- = Agaricus caudicinus var. denudatus Pers., Syn. meth. fung. (Göttingen) 2: 272 (1801)
- = Agaricus marginatus Batsch, Elench. fung., cont. sec. (Halle): 207 (1789)

= Agaricus mutabilis var. marginatus (Batsch) Fr., Hymenomyc. eur. (Upsaliae): 225 (1874)

- = Agaricus unicolor Vahl, Fl. Danic. 6:7 (1792)
- = Dryophila marginata (Batsch) Quél., Enchir. fung. (Paris): 69 (1886)
- = Dryophila unicolor (Vahl) Quél., Enchir. fung. (Paris): 69 (1886)
- = Flammula marginata (Batsch) Fayod, AnnIs Sci. Nat., Bot., sér. 7 9: 361 (1889)
- = Galera marginata (Batsch) P. Kumm., Führ. Pilzk. (Zerbst): 74 (1871)
- = Galera unicolor (Vahl) Ricken, Die Blätterpilze: Pl. 56, figs 4, 7 (1912)
- = Galerina autumnalis (Peck) A.H. Sm. and Singer, Monogr. Galerina: 246 (1964)
- = Galerina autumnalis f. robusta Thiers, Mycologia 51(4): 534 (1960) [1959]
- = Galerina autumnalis var. angusticystis A.H. Sm., Monogr. Galerina: 249 (1964)

= *Galerina autumnalis* var. *robusta* Thiers, Beitr. Naturk. Forsch. Südwestdeutschl.: 249 (1964)

- = Galerina unicolor (Vahl) Singer, Beih. Botan. Centralbl., Abt. 2 56: 170 (1936)
- = Galerina unicolor f. fibrillosa Arnolds, Biblthca Mycol. 90: 379 (1982)
- = Galerina unicolor f. paucicystidiata Arnolds, Biblthca Mycol. 90: 378 (1982)
- = Galerula marginata (Batsch) Kühner, Bull. trimest. Soc. mycol. Fr. 50: 78 (1934)
- = Galerula unicolor (Vahl) Kühner, Bull. trimest. Soc. mycol. Fr. 50: 78 (1934)

- = Gymnopilus autumnalis (Peck) Murrill, N. Amer. Fl. (New York) 10(3): 200 (1917)
- = Naucoria autumnalis (Peck) Sacc., Syll. fung. (Abellini) 5: 834 (1887)
- = Pholiota autumnalis (Peck) Peck, Bull. N.Y. St. Mus. 122: 156 (1908)

= *Pholiota marginata* (Batsch) Quél., Mém. Soc. Émul. Montbéliard, Sér. 2 5: 127 (1872)

= *Pholiota marginata* subsp. *mustelina* (Quél.) P. Karst., Bidr. Känn. Finl. Nat. Folk 32: 305 (1879)

- = Pholiota marginata var. tremulae Pilát, Stud. Bot. Čechoslov. 11: 166 (1950)
- = Pholiota unicolor (Vahl) Gillet, Hyménomycètes (Alençon): 436 (1876) [1878]
- = Ryssospora marginata (Batsch) Fayod, Annls Sci. Nat., Bot., sér. 7 9: 361 (1889)

#### Material

a. taxonomicStatus: accepted; scientificNameID: Galerina marginata; kingdom: Fungi; phylum: Basidiomycota; class: Agaricomycetes; order: Agaricales; family: Hymenogastraceae; genus: Galerina; specificEpithet: marginata; scientificNameAuthorship: (Batsch) Kühner; continent: Antarctica; islandGroup: South Shetland Islands; island: Livingston Island; locality: Byers Peninsula, Point Smellie.; verbatimLatitude: 62°39'12.6"S; verbatimLongitude: 61°08'44.6"W; decimalLatitude: -62.653500; decimalLongitude: -61.145723; year: 2023; month: 01; day: 24; habitat: Growing in a coastal moss field.; fieldNotes: Basidiomata are scattered in an area with vegetation predominantly composed of Sanionia uncinata (Hedw.) Loeske; associatedSequences: GenBank: PP346498.1; identificationID: Galerina marginata; identifiedBy: Fernando Augusto Bertazzo-Silva, Jair Putzke; dateIdentified: 2024; institutionCode: HBEI127; collectionCode: Fungi; basisOfRecord: PreservedSpecimen; occurrenceID: 7209E6AB-A5FE-5819-A500-5CE116BBC10D

#### Description

Pileus 7–31 mm diameter, initially campanulate to hemispherical and then flat-convex to convex (Fig. 2A). Margin involute to revolute as the basidiome develops. Hymenophore with lamellae adnate to slightly emarginate. Stipe 2–8 x 4–17 mm, central, cylindrical. Basal mycelium is absent. Spore print not obtained (Fig. 2A). Basidiospores (9.3) 11.4  $\pm$  1.4 (13.3) x (5.5) 6.4  $\pm$  0.4 (7.2) µm, Q = (1.29) 1.78 (2.42), ellipsoid to amygdaliform, moderately rugulose to verrucose, heavily pigmented (Fig. 2B). Basidia (24.0) 29.3  $\pm$  2.6 (34.3) x (6.9) 9.3  $\pm$  1.6 (12.82) x (3.6) 5.8  $\pm$  1.2 (8.47) µm, clavate, hyaline, four-spored (Fig. 2C). Pleurocystidia (18.4) 34.3  $\pm$  9 (48.8) x (7.6) 10.0  $\pm$  2.1 (14.1) x (3.6) 6.1  $\pm$  1.9 (9.4), utriform to slightly lageniform, hyaline (Fig. 2D). Cheilocystidia (29.0) 37.8  $\pm$  12.6 (57.79) x (7.7) 10.5  $\pm$  1.9 (12.2) x (4.8) 5.1  $\pm$  0.2 (5.3) x (5.2) 5.8  $\pm$  0.4 (6.3) µm, lageniform to ventricose-fusoid and slightly fusiform, hyaline (Fig. 2E, F). Caulocystidia (59) 63.9  $\pm$  7.9 (78.1) x (11.2) 12.7  $\pm$  0.7 (13), hyphoid to utriform, hyaline to slightly pigmented (Fig. 2G). Pileocystidia were

not observed. Regular lamellar context. Pileipellis is composed of prostrate to periclinal hyphae, encrusted with pigments (Fig. 2I, J). Clamp connections were not observed.

#### Ecology

Growing in a coastal moss field. Basidiomata are scattered in an area with vegetation predominantly composed of *Sanionia uncinata* (Hedw.) Loeske.

# Analysis

## Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic relationships reconstructed by Maximum Likelihood demonstrate that *Galerina marginata* forms a monophyletic clade with over 60% bootstrap support in most branches (Fig. 3). The isolate in this study was found to be internal to the main cluster. Evolutionary reconstructions using the Maximum Likelihood method indicate that the branches correspond to the Antarctic isolate being an internal clade within the *marginata* group. The same species, OQ569484.1, found in Antarctica (South Shetland Islands, Livingston Island, Punta Hannah) and OP795715.1, also in Antarctica (Norsel Point, Amsler Island), exhibited behaviour as a sister clade with over 60% bootstrap support (Fig. 3).

# Discussion

The findings presented in this study mark the third documented occurrence of *Galerina marginata* in Antarctica and, notably, it represents the first comprehensive morphological description of an individual of this species developing on the Antarctic Continent. Previously, Arenz et al. (2014) reported the presence of *G. marginata* on Amsler Island, while Garrido-Benavent et al. (2023) conducted a phylogenetic study focusing on specimens collected in Punta Hannah, Livingston Island, to understand the evolution of this species.

The morphological characteristics, particularly the size and shape of the pileus, as well as the size and form of the spores described by Garrido-Benavent et al. (2023), closely correspond to those observed in this study, indicating similarity amongst individuals of the species found on the Antarctic Continent. However, the absence of descriptions of other structures of *G. marginata* limits more comprehensive comparisons.

In comparison with collections worldwide, the specimen collected in Antarctica and detailed in this study exhibits variations and similarities in its macroscopic characteristics (Table 2). The size of the pileus in the described specimen ranged from 7 to 31 mm, while other individuals had pilei ranging from 5 to 45 mm. Across all samples, the pileus shapes displayed remarkable similarity, with the majority of individuals initially possessing campanulate to hemispherical pilei that later became convex as the fungus

developed. Nevertheless, other forms such as umbonate, depressed, flattened and bellshaped were also documented. The attachment of the lamellae to the stem varied from adnate, subdecurrent or adnexed to slightly emarginate or decurrent. Notably, our sample was the only one displaying an emarginate shape during its development. Moreover, our specimen stands out as the individual with the smallest stipe. While stipe sizes ranged from 20 to 60 mm in other specimens, our sample exhibited a size ranging from 4 to 17 mm (Cho et al. 2016, Gulden and Hallgrimsson 2000, Akata et al. 2020, Prydiuk 2020). The aforementioned differences may be influenced by the development substrate and possible extreme climate conditions that could impact the species' growth process. However, more comprehensive studies focused on the bionomics and distribution of the species on the continent are needed for more robust confirmations (Table 2).

The microscopic morphology of the specimen examined in this study reveals both similarities and differences compared to other samples described in various countries (Table 2). Our sample exhibits spores that are relatively larger than those found in other individuals, although the ellipsoidal to amygdaliform shape is consistent across all specimens. Basidia sizes vary, ranging from 17  $\mu$ m to 35.3  $\mu$ m (Cho et al. 2016, Prydiuk 2020), with the basidia of our specimen falling within the average size range. Notably, basidia containing two spores were not observed in our sample, despite reports of bisporic and tetrasporic basidia found in this species (Prydiuk 2020). The cheilocystidia in our sample are similar in size to the structures described by Prydiuk (2020) and Akata et al. (2020), albeit smaller compared to the maximum sizes described, which can reach up to 65  $\mu$ m (Gulden and Hallgrimsson 2000). Similarly, the pleurocystidia also demonstrate smaller sizes, differing from the maximum values described in literature, which can reach up to 77.2  $\mu$ m (Cho et al. 2016) (Table 2).

Another notable characteristic observed in the individual under study is also evident in other specimens of the species collected in Antarctica (Garrido-Benavent et al. 2023). While individuals from other continents display pileus colours ranging from ochre to reddish-brown (Akata et al. 2020, Prydiuk 2020), *G. marginata* developing in Antarctica exhibits pileus colours leaning towards dark red, with rare yellow tones in its composition. These data corroborate the findings of Krah et al. (2019), who observed that mushroom assemblages in colder areas, based on the assessment of mushrooms in Europe, tend to be significantly darker. The dark colouration of *G. marginata* in the Antarctic Continent may play a determining role in its growth and development, as it can regulate temperature and, thus, contribute to its survival. Furthermore, pigmented fungi tolerate high UV radiation better than non-pigmented fungi due to their melanised cell wall, which serves as a radiation shield, aiding their survival in extreme conditions (Pulschen et al. 2015, Venil et al. 2020, Kreusch and Duarte 2021, Cavalcante et al. 2023).

In the phylogenetic reconstructions with *G. marginata* (isolate PP346498.1), a relationship within the monophyletic clade of the genus was observed and it behaved as a sister clade to other *G. marginata* found in gelid regions. Additionally, *G. marginata* showed the behaviour of a most derived clade, compared to the other internal clades of *Galerina* analysed. In other studies involving isolates of species collected in alpine

regions of North America, close relationships were presented (Garrido-Benavent et al. 2023). For the Antarctic isolates, this grouping phenomenon is curiously repeated. However, the behaviour of isolates from the Polar Regions, which diversified relationships in this reconstruction, does not show a clearly defined internal unique clade. This suggests that the ancestry of *G. marginata* in this region occurred through more than one demographic expansion event during the Pleistocene. (Garrido-Benavent et al. 2023). This fact converges with our findings, as the isolate in this study, despite belonging to the *marginata* clade, did not form a monophyletic internal clade with the other *G. marginata* from Antarctica, with its branches collapsing only as sister clades.

The differences observed in the described specimens may reflect both environmental reactions and the development of functional traits to ensure the survival of these organisms in extreme regions. *G. marginata* exhibits a cosmopolitan distribution and further studies should be conducted to assess its bionomy and provide a comprehensive morphological description of these organisms worldwide. Searching on the <u>Global</u> <u>Biodiversity Information Facility</u> (GBIF.org 2024a) yielded 22,397 occurrences of *G. marginata* worldwide (Fig. 4). Amongst these, 80.30% represented human observations and 17.30% were occurrences with specimens preserved in herbaria and research institutions, totalling 17,678 georeferenced records (Fig. 4). Searches on the <u>Barcode of Life Data System</u> (Ratnasingham and Hebert 2007) resulted in 29 records of *G. marginata* worldwide, distributed across Norway, the United States and Canada (Fig. 4). Despite the significant disparity in the number of records between the systems, both indicate North America and Europe as the continents with the highest distribution of *G. marginata* globally.

However, it is important to consider that the distribution observed in the USA and Europe (Fig. 4) may be influenced by sampling effort and could reflect a bias in the number of reports. This suggests that regions with more active recording and research efforts might show higher occurrence numbers, potentially overlooking areas with less extensive biodiversity documentation. Therefore, it is crucial to conduct research focused on documenting new species records. Such efforts are essential for providing a more comprehensive understanding of global biodiversity, identifying regions of high conservation priority and ensuring that lesser-studied areas are not neglected. Expanding our understanding of biodiversity can facilitate a more accurate and comprehensive representation of species distributions worldwide.

## Conclusion

In this study, we present the third documented occurrence of *Galerina marginata* in Antarctica, providing the first comprehensive morphological description of an individual of this species developing on the Antarctic Continent. Our findings contribute to the understanding of the geographic distribution and morphological variability of *G. marginata*, exploring its adaptations to extreme environments.

The morphological characterisation revealed both similarities and differences between the Antarctic specimen and those from other regions. While certain macroscopic characteristics, such as pileus shape, show remarkable similarity across specimens globally, variations in size and attachment of lamellae were observed. Additionally, distinctive dark colouration is observed in Antarctic specimens, which may signify an adaptation to extreme conditions, potentially assisting in temperature regulation and UV protection in the harsh polar environment. Microscopically, it differs in spore size and lacks bisporic basidia. However, fundamental traits like spore shape and basidia size remain consistent. Cheilocystidia and pleurocystidia are smaller, but still adhere to the species description.

These characteristics may be attributed to a combination of environmental and genetic factors that influence the development and morphology of fungi in the Antarctic Region. However, more comprehensive studies on the bionomics and distribution of the species on the continent are needed to corroborate these observations and better understand fungal diversity in Antarctica.

Additionally, the phylogenetic relationship observed, with Antarctic isolates forming a sister clade to other specimens from cold regions, implies a shared evolutionary history amongst cold-adapted populations of *G. marginata*. This relationship suggests the possibility of historical demographic events during the Pleistocene that influenced the dispersal and diversification of the species.

Thus, the data presented in this study serve as an initial foundation for subsequent monitoring of the *G. marginata* population in Antarctica. The analysis of the individuals described in this research enables the assessment of the potential impacts of climate change on the development and survival of these organisms in the coming years. This study not only fosters, but also points towards future research dedicated to the bionomics, phylogeny and distribution of *G. marginata* in Antarctica.

# Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Professor Dr. Andrés Delgado Canedo for generously providing his laboratory space and equipment for molecular biology tests. To the funding support provided by the BiCIKL project, Grant No 101007492. The Marinha do Brasil for its pivotal role in providing vital logistical support for the fieldwork carried out during OPERANTAR XLI (41st Brazilian Antarctic Operation). The Brazilian Antarctic Program (PROANTAR) for its support. The Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for the funding. This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – Brasil (CAPES) – Finance Code 001.

# Author contributions

FABS, JP, CFL, MFD, ELC, ALC, AFZ and CEGRS designed and conducted the study. FABS and JP conducted the fieldwork. FABS, CFL, MFD, ALC and AFZ performed the laboratory work. JP and CEGRS secured funding. FABS and ALC wrote the original draft. JP supervised the study. All authors participated in revising and editing all versions of the manuscript.

# References

- Akata I, Yilmaz I, Kaya E, C C, Donmez M (2020) Toxin components and toxicological importance of *Galerina marginata* from Turkey. Toxicon 187: 29-34. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.toxicon.2020.08.017</u>
- Arenz BE, Blanchette RA, Farrell RL (2014) Fungal diversity in Antarctic soils. In: Cowan DA (Ed.) Antarctic terrestrial microbiology. Vol. 1. Springer, Berlin, 328 pp. [ISBN 978-3-642-45212-3]. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-45213-0\_3
- Cavalcante SB, Biscaino CS, Kreusch GB, Silva AF, Duarte RT, Robl D (2023) The hidden rainbow: the extensive biotechnological potential of Antarctic fungi pigments. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology1-13.
- Cho HJ, Lee H, Park JY, Park MS, Kim NK, Eimes JA, Lim YW (2016) Seven new recorded species in five genera of the Strophariaceae in Korea. Mycobiology 44 (3): 137-145. https://doi.org/10.5941/MYCO.2016.44.3.137
- Colesie C, Walshaw CV, Sancho LG, Davey MP, Gray A (2023) Antarctica's vegetation in a changing climate. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change 14 (1). <u>https:// doi.org/10.1002/wcc.810</u>
- Felsenstein J (1985) Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39: 783-791. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/2408678</u>
- Garrido-Benavent I, Blanchette RA, De Los Ríos A (2023) Deadly mushrooms of the genus *Galerina* found in Antarctica colonized the continent as early as the Pleistocene. Antarctic Science 35 (5): 345-358. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102023000196</u>
- GBIF.org (2024a) GBIF Occurrence Download. <u>https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.9tgdax</u>. Accessed on: 2024-2-28.
- GBIF.org (2024b) GBIF Occurrence Download. <u>https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.qttmed</u>. Accessed on: 2024-5-15.
- Gulden G, Hallgrimsson H (2000) The genera *Galerina* Earle and *Phaeogalera* Kiihner (Basidiomycetes, Agaricales) in Iceland. Acta Botanica Islandica 13: 3-54.
- Gulden G, Stensrud O, Shalchian-Tabrizi K, Kauserud H (2005) Galerina Earle: A polyphyletic genus in the consortium of dark-spored agarics. Mycologia 97 (4): 823-837. https://doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2006.11832774
- Horak E (1994) Addenda ad Galerinam. 1. *Galerina robertii* sp. n., eine neue Art aus den französischen Alpen. Zeitschr Mykologie 60: 85-90.
- Krah FS, Büntgen U, Schaefer H, Müller J, Andrew C, Boddy L, Diez J, Egli S, Freckleton R, Gange AC, Halvorsen R, Heegaard E, Heideroth A, Heibl C, Heilmann-Clausen J, Høiland K, Kar R, Kauserud H, Kirk PM, Kuyper TW, Krisai-Greilhuber I, Norden J, Papastefanou P, Senn-Irlet B, Bässler C (2019) European mushroom assemblages are darker in cold climates. Nature Communications 28;10(1): 2890. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10767-z.</u>
- Kreusch MG, Duarte RTD (2021) Photoprotective compounds and radioresistance in pigmented and non-pigmented yeasts. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 105 (9): 3521-3532. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-021-11271-5</u>

- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across computing platforms. Molecular Biology and Evolution 35: 1547-1549. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096</u>
- Lecordier M, Tissot C, Bonnardot L, Hitier M (2023) Surgical training strategies for physicians practicing in an isolated environment: an example from Antarctica. International survey of 13 countries with active winter stations. International Journal of Circumpolar Health 82 (1): 2236761. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/22423982.2023.2236761</u>
- MycoBank Database (2024) Simple searching on MycoBank. <u>https://www.mycobank.org/</u> <u>Simple%20names%20search.</u> Accessed on: 2024-2-08.
- Palfner G, Binimelis-Salazar J, Alarcón ST, Torres-Mellado G, Gallegos G, Pea-Cortés F, Casanova-Katny A (2020) Do new records of macrofungi indicate warming of their habitats in terrestrial Antarctic ecosystems? Czech Polar Reports 10 (2): 281-296. <u>https://doi.org/10.5817/CPR2020-2-21</u>
- Peck LS, Convey P, Barnes DKA (2006) Environmental constraints on life histories in Antarctic ecosystems: tempos, timings and predictability. Biological reviews 81 (1): 75-109. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S1464793105006871</u>
- Prydiuk MP (2020) Representatives of the genus Galerina (Hymenogastraceae) with pleurocystidia in Ukraine. Ukrainian Botanical Journal 77 (4): 270-282. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.15407/ukrbotj77.04.270</u>
- Pulschen AA, Rodrigues F, Duarte RT, Araujo GG, Santiago IF, Paulino-Lima IG, Galante D (2015) UV-resistant yeasts isolated from a high-altitude volcanic area on the Atacama Desert as eukaryotic models for astrobiology. Microbiologyopen 4 (4): 574-588. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.262</u>
- Putzke J, Putzke MTL, Pereira AB, Albuquerque MP (2012) Agaricales (Basidiomycota) fungi in the South Shetland Islands, Antarctica. INCT-APA Annual Activity Report 71-74. <u>https://doi.org/10.4322/apa.2014.065</u>
- Ratnasingham S, Hebert PD (2007) bold: The Barcode of Life Data System (http:// www.barcodinglife.org). Molecular Ecology Notes 1;7(3): 355-364. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01678.x.</u>
- Sappington TW, Taylor OR (1990) Disruptive sexual selection in *Colias eurytheme* butterflies. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 87 (16): 6132-6135. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.87.16.6132</u>
- Stamatakis A (2016) The RAxML v8. 2. X Manual. Heidleberg Institute for Theoretical Studies 845.
- Tamura K, Nei M (1993) Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Molecular Biology and Evolution 10: 512-526.
- Venil CK, Velmurugan P, Dufossé L, Devi PR, Ravi AV (2020) Fungal pigments: Potential coloring compounds for wide ranging applications in textile dyeing. Journal of fungi 6 (2): 68. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/jof6020068">https://doi.org/10.3390/jof6020068</a>
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (Eds) PCR Protocols: a Guide to Methods and Applications. Academic Press, New York, 482 pp.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1</u>
- Yu J, Lee H, Cho SM, Lee Y, Kim D, Hong SG, Lee J (2024) Life under the snow: A yearround transcriptome analysis of Antarctic mosses in natural habitats provides insight into

the molecular adaptation of plants under extreme environment. Plant, Cell, Environment 47: 976-991. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.14793</u>



## Figure 1.

Location map of the collection area. Antarctica, Antarctic Peninsula, Livingston Island, Byers Peninsula, Point Smellie. Created in QGIS 3.32.0 software and designed in PhotoFiltre Studio X 10.12.1 software.



#### Figure 2.

*Galerina marginata.* **A** Basidiome in Laboratory; **B** Spores; **C** Basidia; **D** Pleurocystidia; **E**, **F** Cheilocystidia; **G** Caulocystidia; **H** Lamellar trama; **I**, **J** Pileipellis. Scale bar in B, C, D, E, F, G, H and J - 10 µm. In I - 100 µm.



#### Figure 3.

Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic tree, based on 1,303 nucleotide positions within the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region. The specimen isolated in this study is highlighted in bold. Antarctic specimens are highlighted in a red box. The yellow box highlights the out-group and the grey box represents the in-group. The *Galerina* lineages are divided by colours according to Guldem et al (2005): *Naucoriopsis*: black. *Galerina*: green. *Mycenopsis*: brown. *Tubariopsis*: blue. Values alongside the branches indicate bootstrap support greater than 60%. The scale bar at the bottom of the topology indicates substitutions per site, with a value of 0.05.



## Figure 4.

Global distribution of *Galerina marginata*, based on records from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) and the Barcode of Life Data System (BOLD Systems).

## Table 1.

Species and GenBank accession numbers of sequences used in this study (newly-generated sequence are indicated in bold).

Species	Strain/Voucher	Location	GenBank Accession No.
Galerina allospora	O 73460	United Kingdom	AJ585452.1
Galerina arctica	O 50535	Norway	AJ585441.1
Galerina atkinsoniana	O 73459	United Kingdom	AJ585479.1
Galerina calyptrata	O 73449	Germany	<u>AJ585465.1</u>
Galerina cephalotricha	O 154146	Norway	<u>AJ585462.1</u>
Galerina chionophila	O 73463	Switzerland	<u>AJ585506.1</u>
Galerina clavata	O 72166	Denmark	<u>AJ585436.1</u>
Galerina fallax	O 154355	Norway	<u>AJ585451.1</u>
Galerina fibrillosa	MICH 40850	USA	<u>AJ585473.1</u>
Galerina harrisonii	O 50711	Norway AJ585463.1	
Galerina hybrida	O 73458-2	Germany	<u>AJ585445.1</u>
Galerina hypnorum	MICH 46302	USA	<u>AJ585470.1</u>
Galerina jaapii	O 154387	Finland	<u>AJ585505.1</u>
Galerina laevis	O 70903	Norway	<u>AJ585439.1</u>
Galerina lubrica	O 154034	Norway	<u>AJ585471.1</u>
Galerina luteolosperma	O 154076	Norway	<u>AJ585453.1</u>
Galerina marginata	O 72427	USA	<u>AJ585500.1</u>
Galerina marginata	O 300011	USA	<u>AJ585497.1</u>
Galerina marginata	O 72507	USA	<u>AJ585496.1</u>
Galerina marginata	UBC F32027	Canada	<u>KX236118.1</u>
Galerina marginata	LFGM 2401	Antarctica	PP346498.1
Galerina marginata	ARIOS_GalMar	Antarctica	<u>OQ569484.1</u>
Galerina marginata	SAT-21-248-01	USA	<u>ON787723.1</u>
Galerina marginata	Amsler-2012	Antarctica OP795715.1	
Galerina marginata	ZR4	Iran	<u>OR504254.1</u>
Galerina marginata	UBC F32036	Canada	<u>KX236132.1</u>
Galerina marginata	191	Finland	PP152355.1
Galerina marginata	LE-BIN 2479	Russia	<u>KY327296.1</u>
Galerina minima	O 154480	Norway	<u>AJ585486.1</u>
Galerina mniophila	O 60574	Norway	<u>AJ585459.1</u>
Galerina nana	O 153723	Norway	<u>AJ585490.1</u>
Galerina paludosa	O 73462	Estonia	AJ585447.1

Galerina pruinatipes	O 73438	France	<u>AJ585510.1</u>
Galerina pseudobadipes	O 154252	Norway	<u>AJ585474.1</u>
Galerina pseudocamerina	O 73471	Germany	<u>AJ585507.1</u>
Galerina pseudocerina	O 153998	Norway	<u>AJ585431.1</u>
Galerina pseudomycenopsis	O 50526	Norway	<u>AJ585501.1</u>
Galerina pumila	O 73440	Germany	<u>AJ585477.1</u>
Galerina salicicola	K 99448	United Kingdom	<u>AJ585493.1</u>
Galerina sphagnicola	O 73441	Estonia	<u>AJ585464.1</u>
Galerina sphagnorum	O 70913	Norway	<u>AJ585454.1</u>
Galerina stordalii	O 154169	Norway	<u>AJ585435.1</u>
Galerina stylifera	UBC F-25666	Canada	<u>MF954881.1</u>
Galerina tibiicystis	O 72930	Norway	<u>AJ585443.1</u>
Galerina triscopa	O 73453	France	<u>AJ585491.1</u>
Galerina vittiformis	O 154565	Norway	<u>AJ585487.1</u>
Hebeloma mesophaeum	NYS:NYS-F-001411	USA	<u>MN006662.2</u>
Hebeloma smithii	MICH:MICH 10730	USA	<u>MK280985.1</u>
Psathyloma leucocarpum	JAC12071	New Zealand	<u>KT591551.1</u>
Psathyloma leucocarpum	K. Soop KS-BR185	New Zealand	<u>KT591553.1</u>

## Table 2.

Morphological characterisation of Galerina marginata.

	This study	Gulden and Hallgrimsson (2000)	Cho et al. (2016)	Prydiuk (2020)	Akata et al. (2020)
	Antartica	Iceland	Korea	Ukraine	Turkey
Pileus	7–31 mm, initially campanulate to hemispherical and then flat-convex to convex	10-40 mm, conic, ± umbonate or even papillate, becoming convex to plane, sometimes also slightly depressed, moist ± sticky to viscid	15–35 mm, convex or conical when young, then expanded, piano-convex or flattened when mature	5-30 mm, initially hemispherical, bell-shaped or convex, later convex-spreading to spreading, often with a low bump in the centre	20–45 mm, broad, hemispherical at first and then became convex to plano-convex with an obtuse umbo
Lamellae	Adnate to slightly emarginate	Adnate to slightly decurrent	Subdecurrent	Adnate	Adnexed to slightly decurrent
Stipe	4–17 x 2–8 mm	20–60 x 2–5 mm	20–40 × 4–8 mm	20–55 mm x 2–5 mm	22–40 mm × 2–5 mm
Spore	(9.3) 11.4 (13.3) x (5.5) 6.4 (7.2) μm, Q = (1.29) 1.78 (2.42), ellipsoid to amygdaliform, moderately rugulose to verrucose	$\begin{array}{l} (8.5-)9-10.5 \\ x \ 5.5-6.5 \ \mu\text{m}, \ Av \\ (40/4) = 9.7 \ x \ 5.9 \\ \mu\text{m}, \ Q = 1.5-1.8, \\ Q./30) = 1.6, \\ amygdaliform \ to \\ ellipsoid, \ rugulose \\ verruculose \end{array}$	(9.4) 9.7 (10.2) × (5.6) 6.1 (6.7) μm, Q = (1.47) 1.60 (1.72), ellipsoidal to oval	$\begin{array}{l} (7,5-)8,0-9.5(-\\ 11.0)\times5.0-6.5\\ \mu\text{m}, Q=1,36-\\ 1.83; \text{ av. } L=8.9\pm\\ 0.71\ \mu\text{m}, \text{ av. } B=\\ 5.7\pm0.37\ \mu\text{m}, \text{ av. } Q=1.57\pm0.09,\\ \text{rugulose to}\\ \text{verrucose} \end{array}$	8–10 × 5–6 μm, elliptical to amygdaliform, moderately verrucose
Basidia	(24.0) 29.3 (34.3) x (6.9) 9.3 (12.82) x (3.6) 5.8 (8.47), clavate, four- spored	22.5–32 x 7.5–8 μm, constricted, four-spored	27.7–35.3 × 7.8–10.4 μm, clavate, four- spored	$17.0-25.0 \times 7.0-$ 8.5 µm, club- shaped, two- and four-spored	25–30 × 7–8 μm, cylindrical to clavate, hyaline, four-spored
Cheilocystidia	(29.0) 37.8 (57.79) x (7.7) 10.5 (12.2) x (4.8) 5.1 (5.3) x (5.2) 5.8 (6.3) μm, lageniform to ventricose-fusoid	40–65 x 9–14.5 x 3–5 x 3–7 μm, ventricose- (sub)capitate, head often ellipsoid to spearhead-shaped, rarely tip not inflated	51.3–62.2 × 8.2–9.1 μm, fusiform- ventricose to obclavate, abundant	35.0–50.0 × 7.0– 14.5 μm, spindle- shaped, apex rounded or slightly thickened	35–55 × 10–15 μm, lageniform to fusiform
Pleurocystidia	(18.4) 34.3 (48.8) x (7.6) 10.0 (14.1) x (3.6) 6.1 (9.4), Utriform to slightly lageniform	Scattered, often few, similar to cheilocystidia	52.4–77.2 × 11.4–13.9 μm, fusiform- ventricose to obclavate	40.0–75.0 × 13.0– 17.0 μm, spindle- shaped, apex rounded or slightly thickened	Similar to cheilocystidia

Caulocystidia	(59) 63.9 (78.1) x (11.2) 12.7 (13), hyphoid to utriform, hyaline to slightly pigmented	Rather few, similar to the cheilocystidia	Two types: a) 50.0–85.0 × 9.5– 17.0 μm, spindle- shaped, apex rounded or slightly thickened; b)20.0–31.0 × 6.5–8.0 μm, club-shaped	-
Pileipellis	Prostrate to periclinal hyphae, encrusted with pigments	-	Hyphae 2.5–6.0 µm thick, somewhat mucilaginous in the upper layers, with a light granular pigment encrustation	Periclinal hyphae, hyaline to light brownish, 3–5 broad and had some septa with clamps