

New records in non-native vascular plants of Russian Lapland

Mikhail Kozhin^{‡,§}, Alexander Sennikov^{1,¶}

[‡] Avrorin Polar-Alpine Botanical Garden-Institute, Apatity, Russia

[§] Kandalaksha Strict Nature Reserve, Kandalaksha, Russia

[|] University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

[¶] Komarov Botanical Institute, Saint-Petersburg, Russia

Corresponding author: Alexander Sennikov (alexander.sennikov@helsinki.fi)

Academic editor: Enrico Vito Perrino

Abstract

Background

The non-native vascular plants of Murmansk Region (European Russia) are under active investigation towards the compilation of the first complete checklist. This work is part of the project 'Flora of Russian Lapland', which ultimately aims at the complete inventory of the taxonomy, distribution and status of vascular plant species in Murmansk Region, based on the comprehensive database of herbarium specimens, field observations and literature.

New information

New territory-level records of non-native vascular plants emerged during our inventory of herbarium collections and recent fieldwork. Fourteen species (*Anthemis ruthenica*, *Aruncus dioicus*, *Bromus commutatus*, *Chaerophyllum hirsutum*, *Galega orientalis*, *Geum aleppicum*, *Leonurus quinquelobatus*, *Lepidium densiflorum*, *Levisticum officinale*, *Myrrhis odorata*, *Phleum phleoides*, *Prunus armeniaca*, *Rorippa sylvestris*, *Senecio vernalis*) are reported as new to Murmansk Region. The historical occurrences of alien plants appeared in the territory largely as contaminants (of seed or forage). In particular, *Rorippa sylvestris* and *Senecio vernalis* arrived with the forage imported during the Second World War. All recent occurrences originated by escape from confinement (ornamental purposes, horticulture, agriculture), reflecting a high diversity of the modern assortment of cultivated plants in commerce and private gardens. Regarding the invasion status, five alien species are considered casual and eight species are treated as locally established or persisting (for uncertain time). Only one species, *Galega orientalis*, is considered naturalised and capable of further spreading in the territory, although without invasive potential.

Keywords

alien species, human introduction, invasion status, Murmansk Region, naturalisation, Russia

Introduction

Non-native plants have become a serious issue at the global scale, disturbing native ecosystems, human well-being and economy (Simberloff et al. 2012). With the rising awareness about the negative impact caused by unwanted plant introductions, many European countries performed inventories of alien plants and their invasive status. Among such achievements of the latest decade, the checklists for Greece (Arianoutsou et al. 2010), Portugal (Domingues de Almeida and Freitas 2006, Domingues de Almeida and Freitas 2012), Albania (Barina et al. 2014), Turkey (Uludag et al. 2017), Italy (Galasso et al. 2018) and Belgium (Verloove et al. 2020) have been compiled or significantly updated. This progress has allowed for a new analysis of introduction pathways, gateways and time trends of alien plants in Europe (Arianoutsou et al. 2021).

The number and percentage of non-native vascular plants in Europe is overwhelming. According to the latest continent-scale inventory (Pyšek et al. 2009), there were 5,789 alien plant species in Europe, of which 2,843 were alien to Europe. The statistics published for individual territories or countries in Northern Europe (including northern European Russia) are also impressive: 558 alien plant species were recorded in the Russian European Arctic lowland (Wasowicz et al. 2019), 788 (44%) alien plant species were known in Russian Karelia (Kravchenko 2007), and 1828 (65%) alien plant species were counted in Finland (Kurto et al. 2019).

Murmansk Region is an administrative territory (top-level federal subject) situated in the north-western part of European Russia (Fig. 1). Its area constitutes 144,902 km², thus exceeding in size some European countries like Greece. Geographically, Murmansk Region occupies the Kola Peninsula with the neighbouring mainland, being surrounded by the Barents Sea in the north and the White Sea in the south and east. It is situated within the Subarctic Zone, almost completely north of the Arctic Circle, and is covered by the transition gradient from tundra along the northern coast, through forest tundra in the major part of the mainland, to northern taiga in the south (Chernov 1971). Two significant mountain ranges are present in the territory, Chibiny and Lovozero, with the maximum height reaching 1191 m.

The vascular plants of Murmansk Region have been in focus for over 150 years; this territory received its first (albeit very provisional) checklist already in 1831 (Fellman 1831), which was professionally updated in less than 40 years (Fellman 1869) and the whole flora was treated in a full-size, detailed, multivolume academic publication during 1953-1966 (Gorodkov 1953, Poyarkova 1954, Poyarkova 1956, Poyarkova 1959,

Poyarkova 1966). All species accounts in this book were accompanied by point distribution maps, which have been recently digitised and made available through GBIF (Kozhin et al. 2020a). This information was updated in Ramenskaya and Andreeva (1982) and Ramenskaya (1983). Rare and endangered native plants were studied and mapped for the Red Data Book of Murmansk Region, which has been recently updated (Konstantinova et al. 2014).

Despite this impressive progress in floristic studies, non-native vascular plants of Murmansk Region have never been completely inventoried. The last published synopsis of vascular plants of this territory (Ramenskaya 1983) included only 270 alien species, whereas the latest provisional count (Kozhin et al. 2020) suggested that the number of alien plant species in this territory may have reached 502 (less than 50% of the total flora). This means that the information on nearly 50% of non-native vascular plants registered in the territory is hidden in a number of minor paper publications that belong to the vast corpus of Russian grey botanical literature, which has never been indexed and remains poorly accessible even to experts in the field.

In course of preparation of the first checklist of non-native vascular plants in Murmansk Region (part of the project 'Flora of Russian Lapland'), we continued our fieldwork and survey of herbarium collections in order to enhance the database of occurrences of the vascular plants. While cataloguing the collections at the University of Helsinki (H), barcoding the collections at the Avrorin Polar-Alpine Botanical Garden-Institute (KPABG) and scanning the collections at the Komarov Botanical Institute (LE), we discovered a few specimens which had previously escaped the attention of botanists working with the flora of the region.

Among the unfiled collections kept at Avrorin Polar-Alpine Botanical Garden-Institute (KPABG), we have found a large set of herbarium specimens which provided the documentation to the inventory of weedy plants in Russian Lapland made by E.V. Shlyakova in the 1950s and the early 1960s. She extensively studied weeds in Murmansk Region (Shlyakova 1958, Shlyakova 1961) and other parts of the boreal zone of the European part of the USSR; this work culminated in a manual that summarised this knowledge (Shlyakova 1982). Although the collection was revised by the author, we have found some specimens misidentified or left unsorted without identifications, which contained a few weedy plants previously unrecognised in Murmansk Region. These records are also reported here.

The present contribution is a complement to Kozhin et al. (2020), with a greater focus on the historical information derived from herbarium collections and a smaller proportion of new records from the recent fieldwork. Since the checklist of non-native plants of Murmansk Region has not been compiled and the background data have not been analysed yet, we are not able to present a detailed analysis of the new data in a broader context. We believe that this analysis will follow in the nearest future.

Materials and methods

The new information on non-native vascular plants in Murmansk Region was collected by M.N. Kozhin in the field during his work on the project 'Flora of Russian Lapland' in 2018–2020 (Fig. 2). The towns of Apatity and Kirovsk, as well as some villages along the southern and northern coasts of the Kola Peninsula were surveyed for alien plants.

In compilation and verification of the first checklist of non-native vascular plants of Murmansk Region (in prep.), all available herbarium collections at H, KPABG and LE (acronyms according to Thiers 2021) were screened for occurrences in this territory. Some previously unpublished records were identified or verified by the authors and included in this list.

Species are treated according to the methodology and data structure similar to that employed by Sennikov and Lazkov (2021). The status of non-native plant species was determined following the definitions proposed by Richardson et al. (2000) and Pyšek et al. (2004). The historical information was examined to uncover the history and pathways of introduction of a certain plant species to a certain locality. The pathways of introduction were coded according to Hulme et al. (2008) and Harrower et al. (2018). The historical periodisation followed major events of the political history of the territory. The distributional information was largely derived from PoWO (2021) and various taxonomic authorities. Major reference sources were used to assess the distribution and status of non-native taxa in the neighbouring territories (Hämäl-Ahti et al. 1998, Tzvelev 2000, Kravchenko 2007). Life forms are briefly characterised according to the Raunkiaer system (Raunkiaer 1905, Raunkiaer 1937) and the Serebryakov system (Serebryakov 1962, Zhmylev et al. 2017).

Data resources

The specimen information was deposited in the Flora of Russian Lapland Database (<http://laplandflora.ru/>). The herbarium specimens were deposited and partly imaged at H, INEP, KAND, KPABG, MW (<https://plant.depo.msu.ru/>) and LE (<http://en.herbariumle.ru/>). The new records were georeferenced and made available through GBIF within curatorial datasets (Kozhin and Sennikov 2020, Kozhin 2021, Kozhin and Sennikov 2021, Lampinen and Laiho 2021, Seregin 2021). This information is also available for download here (Suppl. material 1).

Taxon treatments

Anthemis ruthenica M.Bieb.

- IPNI [urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:177582-1](http://www.ipni.org/names/177582-1)
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#28334>

- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/3400181303>

Nomenclature

Anthemis ruthenica M.Bieb., Fl. Taur.-Caucas. 2: 330 (1808).

Native distribution: Europe (temperate), Mediterranean, Caucasus.

Secondary distribution: Europe (north), Asia.

Distribution in neighbouring territories: In Karelia, the species is known as casual on railways (Kravchenko 2007). In Finland, it is known as casual alien in many places in the south and seldom in the north, mostly introduced with transport (Kurtto 1998b).

New record: Russia. Murmansk Region. Kola District. Tuloma Village, state farm 'Tuloma', field no. 1, cultivated field of perennial grasses, 31.08.1953, E. Shlyakova #36 (KPABG 042732).

Pathways of introduction: Transport – Contaminant: Seed contaminant.

The species was found on fields, thus indicating its arrival with contaminated seed.

Period of introduction: USSR, after the Second World War (1945-1991).

This casual alien has not been known prior to the period of its first record and had hardly had a chance for longer survival in the agricultural habitats.

Invasion status: Historical casual occurrence. No new records, apparently extinct in the territory.

Ecology

Sands, rock outcrops, disturbed ground.

Biology

Annual. Therophyte with taproot.

Aruncus dioicus (Walter) Fernald

- IPNI <urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:20973-2>
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#19949>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/3400181306>

Nomenclature

Aruncus dioicus (Walter) Fernald, Rhodora 41: 423 (1939) - *Actaea dioica* Walter, Fl. Carol.: 152 (1788).

= *Aruncus sylvester* Kostel. ex Maxim., Trudy Imp. S.-Peterburgsk. Bot. Sada 6(1): 169 (1879).

= *Aruncus asiaticus* Pojark. in Juzepczuk, Fl. USSR 9: 491 (1939).

Native distribution: Europe (temperate), Caucasus, Northern Asia (south Siberia, east Mongolia), Himalayas, China, South-Eastern Asia.

Secondary distribution: Commonly cultivated for ornamental purposes and occasionally runs wild in Europe and North America.

Distribution in neighbouring territories: Seldom runs wild in North-Western European Russia (Tzvelev 2000).

New record: Russia. Murmansk Region. Kirovsk District. Highway Apatity - Kirovsk, abandoned airport 'Kirovsk', 33.58224°N, 67.57926°E, near buildings, 15.07.2020, *M. Kozhin* M-4412 (H, KPABG 46904, MW 1066862).

Pathways of introduction: Escape from confinement: Ornamental purpose other than horticulture.

This is a popular ornamental plant, which can survive for a long time after planting without further management.

Period of introduction: USSR, after the Second World War (1945-1991).

This is a popular garden plant of the Soviet times, which was known as capable to self-seed and persist in abandoned cultivation for a long time, but its subsponaneous occurrence has never been formally reported in floristic works in Murmansk Region.

Invasion status: The species was originally introduced in 1937 into the Polar-Alpine Botanical Garden and was known to self-seed around the places of original cultivation without spreading into other anthropogenic or native landscapes (Andreev and Zueva 1990).

Our record is a remnant of abandoned cultivation, similarly maintaining itself locally without expansion.

Ecology

Temperate forb forests.

Biology

Perennial polycarpic. Hemicryptophyte with short rhizome.

***Bromus commutatus* Schrad.**

- IPNI urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:393635-1
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#28335>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/3400181308>

Nomenclature

Bromus commutatus Schrad., Fl. Germ. 1: 353 (1806).

Native distribution: Mediterranean, western Asia, Caucasus, Iran.

Secondary distribution: Fully naturalised (archeophyte) in Atlantic and Temperate Europe. Casual in Northern Europe and Northern Asia; established in North and South America, Southern Africa, Australia.

Distribution in neighbouring territories: Rare casual in southern Finland (Hämet-Ahti 1998a), southern Karelia (Kravchenko 2007) and North-Western European Russia (Tzvelev 2000), most commonly found on railways or in places of discharge.

New record: Russia. Murmansk Region. Kandalaksha District. Kovda Village, collective farm 'Belomor', potato field in use of Demidov, solitary, 13.08.1953, *E. Shlyakova* #72 (KPABG 042581).

Pathways of introduction: Transport – Contaminant: Seed contaminant.

The species was found on fields, thus indicating its arrival with contaminated seed or planting material.

Period of introduction: USSR, after the Second World War (1945-1991).

This record is linked to the intensification of agriculture in the USSR after the war time. Its long-term survival in agricultural habitats is considered highly unlikely.

Invasion status: Historical casual occurrence. No new records, apparently extinct in the territory.

Ecology

Xerothermic meadows.

Biology

Annual. Therophyte with fibrous roots.

Notes

This record was misidentified by Shlyakova (1982) as *Bromus arvensis* L., but the collected specimen clearly differs from the latter species in the longer (up to 1 mm) pubescence on the leaf sheaths and the longer (5-8 mm) awns. Based on the compact racemes, the broadly angulate margin of lodicules and the larger (ca. 21 mm) spicules and (5-10 mm) lodicules, the specimen belongs to *B. commutatus* (Tzvelev 2000, Tzvelev and Probatova 2019).

One more taxon in this group, *B. secalinus* subsp. *decipiens* Bomble & H.Scholz or *B. commutatus* subsp. *decipiens* (Bomble & H.Scholz) H.Scholz, was recently separated in Central and Southern Europe (Bomble and Scholz 1999) and also reported from Sweden, Scandinavia (Valdés and Scholz 2009). This taxon is characterised by a less distinctly angulate margin of lodicules and does not correspond to our plant; so far, it has never been reported from Russia (Tzvelev and Probatova 2019).

The other specimens referred to *B. arvensis* by Shlyakova (1982) correctly belong to the species.

Chaerophyllum hirsutum L.

- IPNI [urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:840199-1](http://www.ipni.org/urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:840199-1)
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#28298>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/3400181309>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/3400181310>

Nomenclature

Chaerophyllum hirsutum L., Sp. Pl. 1: 258 (1753).

Native distribution: Europe (temperate, montane regions).

Secondary distribution: Northern Europe.

Distribution in neighbouring territories: Rare casual in southern Finland, apparently arrived with transport (Hämet-Ahti 1998b).

New record: Russia. Murmansk Region. Apatity Town. Northern part of Akademgorodok near the road along Kozlov Street, near the car depot, 33.39388°N, 67.57378°E, thickets of hogweed at the edge of the small-wooded willow, 23.06.2020, M. Kozhin & E. Borovichev M-4406 (H, KPABG 46898, KPABG 46899, MW 1066860, INEP).

Pathways of introduction: Escape from confinement: Ornamental purpose other than horticulture.

The species is a popular ornamental plant of recent times, cultivated in populated places as tall forb for flowers and foliage (Fig. 3).

Period of introduction: Russia (after 1991).

This introduction is firmly linked with the recent cultivation of this ornamental plant, which was not used in the USSR.

Invasion status: Persisting population in a man-made habitat (populated place).

Ecology

Riversides, moist forests.

Biology

Perennial polycarpic. Hemicyptophyte with caudex and short rhizome.

Taxon discussion

This species is represented by a cultivated variety with pink flowers, *Chaerophyllum hirsutum* 'Roseum'. Its garden origin is, therefore, beyond doubt.

***Galega orientalis* Lam.**

- IPNI urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:495682-1
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#21395>
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#28300>
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#28301>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/3400181311>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/3400181305>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/3400181301>

Nomenclature

Galega orientalis Lam., Encycl. 2(2): 596 (1788).

Native distribution: Caucasus (Russia, Georgia, Armenia, Azerbaijan).

Secondary distribution: Information incomplete due to the recent time of invasion. Reported as commonly running wild and established in, for example, Finland (Niemi-Lahhti 2012) and European Russia (Majorov et al. 2013).

Distribution in neighbouring territories: In Finland, this species commonly runs wild in the whole country, up to its northern part (LUOMUS 2021). It is considered a noxious weed and listed as a dangerous invasive species (Niemi-Lahhti 2012). Sometimes it runs wild in central and southern Karelia (Kravchenko 2007) and North-Western European Russia (Tzvelev 2000).

New record: Russia. Murmansk Region. Apatity Town:

Polar Experimental Station of Institute of Plant Industry, 33.37094°N, 67.54942°E, field overgrown with dandelions, cereals and bedstraw, 23.06.2020, *M. Kozhin & E. Borovichev* M-4405 (H, KPABG 046897, MW 1066861).

Fields of the state farm 'Industry' at the entrance to Apatity Town, 33.32479°N, 67.57402°E, roadside between willow stands separating the fields, 500 m south-west of the road, 13.07.2020, *M. Kozhin* M-4408 (H, KPABG 046901, MW 1066864, INEP).

Fields of the state farm 'Industry' at the entrance to Apatity Town, 33.32641°N, 67.5765°E, road between fields, 13.07.2020, *M. Kozhin* M-4409 (H, KPABG 046902, MW 1066865, INEP).

Pathways of introduction: Escape from confinement: Agriculture. Escape from confinement: Research.

The species was cultivated as a forage plant and subsequently escaped from cultivation. In Murmansk Region, it was originally introduced into experimental cultivation (laboratory) in the Polar-Alpine Botanical Garden in 1939 (Andreev and Zueva 1990). By 1990, these plants were commonly found reproducing by seed around former cultivation places in the Botanical Garden.

Since 1990, the experimental cultivation of *Galega* was carried out at the Polar Experimental Station of the Institute of Plant Industry and the new variety "Zapolarnyi" was bred. This variety was recommended for commercial cultivation in the northern agricultural regions of Russia (Mikhailova et al. 2011). The present record originated from the fields on which *Galega* was cultivated. Nowadays, the species occurs as extensive stands along abandoned fields and roadsides (Fig. 4).

Period of introduction: Russia (after 1991).

The species started to escape during the period of its commercial cultivation for forage, which became common in the latest 20 years.

Invasion status: Established alien, naturalised in anthropogenic habitats. Potentially invasive but not expanding into natural habitats.

Ecology

Tall forb of mountain meadows.

Biology

Perennial polycarpic. Hemicryptophyte with caudex and root sprouts.

***Geum aleppicum* Jacq.**

- IPNI [urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:30094401-2](http://www.ipni.org/names/30094401-2)
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#28329>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/2028619743>

Nomenclature

Geum aleppicum Jacq., Collectanea 1: 88, t. 127 (1787).

Native distribution: Eastern Europe (southern boreal and temperate), Northern Asia, North America.

Secondary distribution: Central and Northern Europe.

Distribution in neighbouring territories: In Finland, this species occurs as an established neophyte in the southern part of the country (Vuokko and Hämet-Ahti 1998). In Karelia, the species was found in scattered localities in the southern part and rarely in the northern part of the territory (Kravchenko 2007).

New record: Russia. Murmansk Region. Lovozero District. Revda Village (SW part), close to the museum buildings, 02.08.2011, *M. Piirainen* 6061 (H 827871).

Pathways of introduction: Transport – Stowaway: People and their luggage/equipment.

This zoochorous species is a ruderal plant commonly found along pedestrian paths. Revda is a large village with many people employed in mining, and with tourist attractions as, for example, a museum of local studies, near which the species has been found. We, therefore, assume that the plant was transported to the place of occurrence on people visiting the village.

Period of introduction: Russia (after 1991).

Considering the capability of this species to establish and spread further, we assume that its introduction was very recent.

Invasion status: According to the collector's notes, a sparse population of the species was observed. The species was considered as a locally established neophyte.

Ecology

Forest margins and meadows.

Biology

Perennial polycarpic. Hemicryptophyte with short rhizome.

***Leonurus quinquelobatus* Gilib.**

- IPNI [urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:449227-1](http://www.ipni.org/urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:449227-1)
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#16291>
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#16292>
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#16291>
- Herbarium MW <https://plant.depo.msu.ru/open/public/item/MW1058418>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/2907937106>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/2876127028>

Nomenclature

Leonurus quinquelobatus Gilib. in Usteri, Delect. Opusc. Bot. 2: 321 (1793).

= *Leonurus villosus* Desf. ex d'Urv., Enum. Pl. Ponti-Eux.: 325 (1822) - *Leonurus cardiaca* subsp. *villosus* (Desf. ex d'Urv.) Hyl., Uppsala Univ. Årsskr. 1945(7): 273 (1945).

- *Leonurus cardiaca* auct.

Diagnosis

The species differs from *Leonurus cardiaca* L. s. str. by its calyces and stems with abundant long hairs throughout (vs. glabrous or sparsely pubescent along ribs) and lower cauline leaves deeply divided into narrow lobes (vs. dissected into broad lobes) (Gladkova and Menitsky 1978).

Native distribution: Crimea, Caucasus, Iran.

Secondary distribution: Europe, Asia.

Distribution in neighbouring territories: Locally established neophyte in southern Finland (Kurtto 1998a) and southern Karelia (Kravchenko 2007).

New record: Russia. Murmansk Region. Terskii District. Kuzreka Village, near Botaminskaya fishing station, 66.598067°N, 34.834799°E, on a seashore meadow in a holiday village, 05.07.2018, *M. Kozhin* M-4036 (H, MW 1058418, KAND 10122).

Pathways of introduction: Escape from confinement: Ornamental purpose other than horticulture.

The species has been traditionally cultivated as folk medicine, although nowadays it practically fell into disuse and can seldom be found in cultivation.

Period of introduction: Russia (after 1991).

The record originated from a well-explored area, from which the species has not been known in the previous times. Its introduction is therefore considered recent.

Invasion status: Locally established neophyte, persisting but not spreading far from the original place of introduction.

Ecology

Mountain forests and shrublands.

Biology

Perennial polycarpic. Hemicryptophyte with short rhizome.

Notes

Kozhin (2014) reported the first occurrence of *Leonurus quinquelobatus* in Murmansk Region, which was based on a specimen collected from Umba Village. That plant was a misnamed specimen of *L. cardiaca* L. s.str.

***Lepidium densiflorum* Schrad.**

- IPNI [urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:286137-1](http://www.ipni.org/urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:286137-1)
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#28328>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/1948467413>

Nomenclature

Lepidium densiflorum Schrad., Index Seminum Horti Göttingen. 1832: 4 (1832).

Description

The species differs from the other species of *Lepidium* by the absence or near absence of petals, the absence of smell, larger fruits (ca. 3–3.5 mm long) in dense racemes (Tzvelev 2000), as well as stems and pedicels with very short capitate pubescence (D. German, pers. comm.).

Native distribution: North America.

Secondary distribution: Europe, Asia, South America.

Distribution in neighbouring territories: Common and fully naturalised in southern Finland, rare casual in northern Finland (Suominen 1998). Rather rare but established in southern Karelia (Kravchenko 2007). Common and fully naturalised in North-Western European Russia (Tzvelev 2000), included in the list of most invasive plants in Russia (Vinogradova et al. 2018).

New record: Russia. Murmansk Region. Kandalaksha Town. SE side of the crossing of Ulitsa Gor'kogo and Ul. Pronina, surroundings of a gas station, 32.40583°N, 67.15888°E, sandy railway bank, 5.08.2011, *P. Uotila* 49222 (H 824080).

Pathways of introduction: Transport – Stowaway: Vehicles (car, train).

Period of introduction: Russia (after 1991).

This species was recorded from the place with intense transport activity, in current use. Its very recent introduction is therefore beyond doubt.

Invasion status: Only a few individuals were observed. The collector's notes suggested a casual occurrence.

Ecology

Open places, river sands, disturbed grasslands.

Biology

Annual (or overwintering biennial). Therophyte with taproot.

***Levisticum officinale* W.D.J.Koch**

- IPNI urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:844187-1
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#19923>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/3400181302>

Nomenclature

Levisticum officinale W.D.J.Koch, Nova Acta Phys.-Med. Acad. Caes. Leop.-Carol. Nat. Cur. 12(1): 101 (1824) - *Ligusticum levisticum* L., Sp. Pl. 1: 250 (1753).

Native distribution: Iran.

Secondary distribution: Europe, China, North America, South America.

Distribution in neighbouring territories: Established alien in southern Finland (Hämet-Ahti 1998b). Casual alien in Karelia, including the northern part (Kravchenko 2007).

New record: Russia. Murmansk Region. Kirovsk District. Highway Apatity - Kirovsk, 9th km, 33.55772°N, 67.58224°E, birch grass forest near the spring, 15.07.2020, *M. Kozhin* M-4410 (H, KPABG 046903, MW 1066866).

Pathways of introduction: Escape from confinement: Agriculture.

Frequently cultivated as a salad herb, a vegetable or a spice (lovage). This particular occurrence may be of secondary origin (arrived with relocated waste).

Period of introduction: Russia (after 1991).

It is uncertain how long-persisting this population is. The bad habit of placing garden and household waste along roadsides is relatively new in the Russian North, so we linked this record with the recent decades.

Invasion status: Locally established alien, introduced into natural habitats.

Ecology

Riversides.

Biology

Perennial polycarpic. Hemicryptophyte with caudex and short rhizome.

***Myrrhis odorata* (L.) Scop.**

- IPNI [urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:845120-1](http://www.ipni.org/names/845120-1)
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#28299>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/3400181304>

Nomenclature

Myrrhis odorata (L.) Scop., Fl. Carniol., ed. 2. 1: 207 (1771) - *Scandix odorata* L., Sp. Pl. 1: 257 (1753).

Native distribution: Europe (temperate), Mediterranean.

Secondary distribution: Europe, North America.

Distribution in neighbouring territories: Naturalised in south-western Finland, casual in central Finland and southern Karelia (Hämet-Ahti 1998b, Kravchenko 2007).

New record: Russia. Murmansk Region. Apatity Town. Northern part of Akademgorodok near the road along Kozlov Street, near the car depot, 33.39388°N, 67.57378°E, thickets of hogweed at the side of a small-wooded willow, 23.06.2020, *M. Kozhin & E. Borovichev* M-4407 (H, KPABG 046900, MW 1066863).

Pathways of introduction: Escape from confinement: Ornamental purpose other than horticulture.

Cultivated as an ornamental plant for flowers and foliage.

Period of introduction: Russia (after 1991).

This is a place of recent cultivation of this ornamental plant, same as for *Chaerophyllum hirsutum*.

Invasion status: Persisting population in a man-made habitat (populated place).

Ecology

Mountain forb forest.

Biology

Perennial polycarpic. Hemicryptophyte with caudex.

Notes

The species forms large stands (Fig. 5).

***Phleum phleoides* (L.) H.Karst.**

- IPNI [urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:415866-1](http://www.ipni.org/names/415866-1)
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#28333>
- Herbarium LE <http://rr.herbariumle.ru/01128388>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/3400241301>

Nomenclature

Phleum phleoides (L.) H.Karst., Deutsche Fl. 4: 374 (1881) - *Phalaris phleoides* L., Sp. Pl. 1: 55 (1753).

= *Phleum boehmeri* Wibel, Prim. Fl. Werth.: 125 (1799).

Native distribution: Central and Southern Europe, Mediterranean, Eastern Europe (temperate), Northern Asia (temperate), Central Asia.

Secondary distribution: Northern Europe, northern part of Northern Asia (established), North America (casual).

Distribution in neighbouring territories: Archeophyte in south-western Finland, neophyte in south-eastern Finland (Hämet-Ahti 1998a). In Karelia, the species was recorded as a rare casual in ruderal or waste places since the Second World War up to the northern part of the territory (Kravchenko 2007). In the north-western part of Eastern Europe, the northern limit of its native distribution is situated in Pskov Region (Tzvelev and Probatova 2019).

New record: Russia. Murmansk Region. Khibiny Mts., vicinity of Khibinogorsk [Kirovsk] Town, wasteland on the north slope of Takhtarvumchorr Ridge, by the way

from the bank of Malyi Vud'yavr Lake to Molybdenum Mine, 14.07.1934, O. Polyanskaya (LE 01128388).

Pathways of introduction: Transport – Contaminant: Contaminated bait.

The species was found in disturbed places along the road before the Second World War, thus indicating its possible import with hay.

Period of introduction: USSR, before the Second World War (1918-1941).

This occurrence is strictly casual and can be linked with the period of recording, when imported hay was still commonly used for local horse transportation.

Invasion status: This is a historical record of early casual occurrence. No new records, apparently extinct in the territory.

Ecology

This species is native to the steppe biome and occurs in grasslands.

Biology

Perennial polycarpic. Hemicryptophyte, laxly cespitose.

Notes

Although this specimen was deposited in a public collection and revised by all experts, it was not taken into account by the Flora of Murmansk Region (Kuzeneva 1953) or taxonomic reference books (e.g. Tzvelev and Probatova 2019).

The specimen was originally identified as *Phleum boehmeri* Wibel, which is a synonym of *P. phleoides* (Valdés and Scholz 2009).

***Prunus armeniaca* L.**

- IPNI [urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:729463-1](http://www.ipni.org/urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:729463-1)
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#28331>
- Herbarium LE <http://rr.herbariumle.ru/01127282>
- Herbarium MW <https://plant.depo.msu.ru/open/public/item/MW0384230>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/3400711301>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/1697533293>

Nomenclature

Prunus armeniaca L., Sp. Pl. 1: 474 (1753) – *Armeniaca vulgaris* Lam., Encycl. 1(1): 2 (1783).

Native distribution: Central Asia, China.

Secondary distribution: Europe (temperate), Mediterranean, Asia Minor, Caucasus, Iran, Australia.

Distribution in neighbouring territories: Previously, this species was frequently found as casual (young seedlings) along railway tracks in Karelia (Gusev 1971) and North-Western European Russia (Tzvelev 2000; Sennikov, pers. obs.).

New record: Russia. Murmansk Region.

Apatity Railway Station, northern outskirts, on a railroad track, 30.07.1970, Yu. D. Gusev (LE01127282);

Kandalaksha District. Poyakonda Railway Station, along the railway track, 24.08.1993, A. Notov & D. Sokolov (MW 0384230).

Pathways of introduction: Transport – Contaminant: Food contaminant.

The species has repeatedly arrived to the territory through waste from human consumption.

Period of introduction: USSR, after the Second World War (1945-1991); Russia (after 1991).

The species has been introduced many times and in many places through human waste. Its recording period corresponds to the times of the high availability and popularity of dried apricots.

Invasion status: Strictly casual, ephemeral. Juvenile individuals have been observed.

Ecology

Semi-arid mountain forest.

Biology

Tree. Phanerophyte.

Notes

The specimen collected by Gusev in 1970 had not been taken into account in a timely manner and was not included in Gusev (1971) or any subsequent publication.

***Rorippa sylvestris* (L.) Besser**

- IPNI [urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:288692-1](http://www.ipni.org/names/288692-1)
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#28332>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/3400181307>

Nomenclature

Rorippa sylvestris (L.) Besser, Enum. Pl.: 27 (1822) – *Sisymbrium sylvestre* L., Sp. Pl. 2: 657 (1753).

Native distribution: Europe (boreal and temperate), Caucasus.

Secondary distribution: Europe (boreal), Mediterranean, Central Asia, Siberia, Russian Far East, North America.

Distribution in neighbouring territories: This species is naturalised in southern Finland (Suominen 1998) and North-Western European Russia (Tzvelev 2000). It is included in the list of harmful alien plants in Finland (Niemi-Laitinen 2012). In Karelia, the species is known from a few scattered localities in populated places up to Kem Town in the north (Kravchenko 2007), where it probably persisted for a while.

Kotov (1979) reported this species from the Russian European Arctic, but his record was questioned by Dorofeev (2012). Wasowicz et al. (2019) omitted this publication as having no background literature or herbarium record.

New record: Russia. Murmansk Region. Kandalaksha District. Vicinity of Alakurtti Village, left bank of Tumcha River, along the shore of the stream, 25.07.1957, O. Kuzeneva & A. Dryakhlova 282 (KPABG 024968).

Pathways of introduction: Transport – Contaminant: Contaminated bait.

The species has arrived with forage transported to the place of occurrence by the German army during the Second World War.

Period of introduction: Second World War (1941-1945).

The period of introduction is established through the pathways.

Invasion status: Naturalised, locally established in native habitats. Historical occurrence.

The local population of this perennial colonist species persisted for at least 13 years by the time of its discovery. Its current state is unknown.

Ecology

Riversides, floodplains.

Biology

Perennial polycarpic. Hemicryptophyte with root sprouts.

Notes

In northern Finland (Kuusamo), *Rorippa sylvestris* was recorded as locally established in a former German military camp, where it arrived to the territory during the Second World War with forage supply (hay) (Ahti and Hämet-Ahti 1971). We assume the same origin for the species occurrence in Murmansk Region, since the territory of Alakurtti Village was an important airfield and camping place for German military troops in the period of the German occupation in August 1941 - September 1944.

***Senecio vernalis* Waldst. & Kit.**

- IPNI [urn:lsid:ipni.org:names:248179-1](http://www.ipni.org/names/248179-1)
- Flora of Russian Lapland <https://laplandflora.ru/#28330>
- GBIF <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/1948436060>

Nomenclature

Senecio vernalis Waldst. & Kit., Descr. Icon. Pl. Hung. 1: 23, t. 24 (1800) - *Senecio leucanthemifolius* subsp. *vernalis* (Waldst. & Kit.) Greuter, Willdenowia 33(2): 247 (2003).

Native distribution: Europe (temperate), Mediterranean, Caucasus, Iran.

Secondary distribution: Europe (boreal, Atlantic), sometimes elsewhere in the world.

Distribution in neighbouring territories: Rare casual in Karelia, likely introduced during the Second World War (Kravchenko 2007). Casual alien in the whole of Finland (Kurtto 1998b).

New record: Russia. Murmansk Region. Pechenga District. 'Laponia Petsamoensis, Jäniskoski, ent. leirialue', 22.08.1957, C. E. Sonck 6061 (H 761596).

Pathways of introduction: Transport – Contaminant: Contaminated bait.

The species has arrived with forage transported to the place of occurrence by the German army during the Second World War.

Period of introduction: Second World War (1941-1945).

The period of introduction is established through the pathways.

Invasion status: Locally established, persisting. Historical occurrence.

The local population of this annual species persisted for at least 13 years by the time of its discovery. Its current state is unknown, but presumably extinct.

Ecology

Open ground, disturbed ground.

Biology

Annual (or overwintering biennial). Therophyte with taproot.

Notes

The Jäniskoski-Niskakoski area was a territory in Inari Lapland, northern Finland, which was sold to the USSR in 1947 in order to establish a complex of hydropower electric plants for the needs of Soviet nickel mining projects in Petsamo District. The Finnish enterprise *Imatran voima* was contracted to construct these electric plants and operated a few villages of Finnish construction workers in the territory, including Jäniskoski. Carl Erik Sonck, at that time a medical doctor and amateur botanist, served for the business and collected in this territory in the 1950s (Kravchenko 2020).

Senecio vernalis in Jäniskoski was collected in the place of a former German military camp, where it was introduced during the Second World War by German military troops in the period of the German occupation in August 1941 - September 1944. Other alien plant species of the same origin were collected in the same place, for example, *Erodium cicutarium* (L.) L'Hér. (Mäkinen et al. 2019).

Discussion

One half of the new records presented here are derived from historical collections, which are kept at major academic institutions in Helsinki (H), Apatity (KPABG), Moscow (MW) and Saint-Petersburg (LE). Although these collections have already been screened for overlooked occurrences (e.g. Kozhin et al. 2018), there is no surprise that further important specimens are being constantly unearthed as long as the comprehensive database of herbarium collections from Murmansk Region remains unfinished. During our data collection activity, aiming at the comprehensive databasing of plant specimens collected from Murmansk Region, we have recently found important records in the genus *Rosa* (specimens kept at H and S), which were overlooked during the data collection for the pan-European project *Atlas Florae Europaeae* (Khapugin et al. 2021). In that case, Russian specimens kept in the foreign collections were neglected because such collections have not been the focus of the foreign researchers, although these specimens can contribute significantly to floristic studies despite their small amount. Even more important omissions may occur when historical collections, kept remotely outside the country of origin, contain type specimens of local endemic taxa, which may go unnoticed and subsequently redescribed by the local botanists (e.g. Sennikov 2021, Lazkov and Sennikov 2021).

The historical occurrences of alien plants, newly reported here, appeared in the territory largely as contaminants (of seed or forage). Seed contamination had been declining already in the second half of the 20th century (Suominen 1970, Shlyakova 1982), now being largely of historical importance. Forage contamination (arrival with imported hay) is a historical pathway, which was highly active when horses were commonly employed as transport power. This observation reflects a common trend of significant decline, both in frequency and diversity, of arable weeds (Fried et al. 2009), including archaeophytes (Preston et al. 2004).

Two historical records are of special importance, *Rorippa sylvestris* and *Senecio vernalis*. Both species are currently known as widely distributed garden weeds or ruderal plants, whereas their occurrence in Murmansk Region is limited to the territory which was impacted by the Second World War. Such plants, called polemochores (Mannerkorpi 1944), typically arrived to Northern Europe and the north-western European part of Russia with hay imported by military troops (e.g. Ahti and Hämet-Ahti 1971, Kravchenko 2007, Sennikov 2012). In Murmansk Region, this type of historical introduction has been commonly neglected, but recent studies revealed the presence of such plants in the territory (e.g. Kostolomov 1984, Piirainen and Alm 2001, Kozhin et al. 2019, Kozhin et al. 2020b).

The remaining records reflect our field activities which aim at documenting the current process of plant invasions in the Russian North. All these recent occurrences originated by escape from confinement (ornamental purposes, horticulture, agriculture), reflecting the high diversity of modern cultivation practices in commerce and private gardens. Ornamental cultivation has been constantly increasing its role in global plant invasions (van Kleunen et al. 2018), whereas this factor and escape from confinement as a whole are major pathways in the history of European plant invasions (Pergl et al. 2020). Among the three species of ornamental plants reported here, one (*Aruncus dioicus*) is a highly popular and common ornamental since the late Soviet times, which should have technically been listed earlier. Our records of the other species (*Chaerophyllum hirsutum*, *Myrrhis odorata*) reflect the recent developments in Russian horticulture, which are apparently connected with the economic uprising and the corresponding development of trade and more sophisticated greening of urban areas and private gardens; the same phenomenon has been previously noted in Britain by Dehnen-Schmutz et al. (2007). So far, such records are strictly casual and do not indicate any potential for invasion, merely contributing to the list of alien plants without any noticeable harm to the environment (e.g. Thomas and Palmer 2015).

Among the occurrences reported here, five alien species are considered casual and eight species are treated as locally established or persisting (for uncertain time). Only one species, *Galega orientalis*, is considered fully established and capable of further spreading in the territory, although without invasive potential.

Due to unresolved uncertainties in the background material, we cannot provide the exact number of non-native vascular plants in Murmansk Region yet. So far, we estimate that it slightly exceeds 500 species, including archeophytes and the most recent neophytes.

Acknowledgements

We are pleased to acknowledge Evgeny A. Borovichev (Institute of North Industrial Ecology Problems, Apatity) and Ekaterina I. Kopeina (Avrorin Polar-Alpine Botanical Garden-Institute, Kirovsk) for participation in our fieldwork. We are grateful to Denis G. Mel'nikov and Marina V. Legchenko (Komarov Botanical Institute, Saint-Petersburg) for providing images of herbarium specimens kept at LE. Dmitry A. German (South-Siberian Botanical Garden, Barnaul) kindly confirmed our identifications in Brassicaceae. Natalia Kirillova (Apatity) is thanked for the communication of some specimen data from KPABG. The work of M. Kozhin was supported by the institutional research project of the Avrorin Polar-Alpine Botanical Garden-Institute AAAA-A18-118050490088-0. Open Access publication was funded by the Library of the University of Helsinki.

References

- Ahti T, Hämet-Ahti L (1971) Hemerophilous flora of the Kuusamo District, northeast Finland, and the adjacent part of Karelia, and its origin. *Annales Botanici Fennici* 8 (1): 1-91.
- Andreev GN, Zueva GA (1990) Naturalization of introduced plants on the Kola North. Kola Science Centre, Apatity, 122 pp. [In Russian].
- Arianoutsou M, Bazos I, Delipetrou P, Kokkoris Y (2010) The alien flora of Greece: taxonomy, life traits and habitat preferences. *Biological Invasions* 12 (10): 3525-3549. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-010-9749-0>
- Arianoutsou M, Bazos I, Christopoulou A, Kokkoris Y, Zikos A, Zervou S, Delipetrou P, Cardoso AC, Deriu I, Gervasini E, Tsiamis K (2021) Alien plants of Europe: introduction pathways, gateways and time trends. *PeerJ* 9 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11270>
- Barina Z, Rakaj M, Somogyi G, Erős-Honti Z, Pifkó D (2014) The alien flora of Albania: history, current status and future trends. *Weed Research* 54 (2): 196-215. <https://doi.org/10.1111/wre.12061>
- Bomble W, Scholz H (1999) Eine neue Unterart des *Bromus secalinus* (Gramineae) — ein Sekundäres Unkraut. *Feddes Repertorium* 110 (5-6): 425-438. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fedr.19991100514>
- Chernov E (1971) Vegetation map. In: Durov AG (Ed.) Atlas of Murmansk Region. Main Department of Geodesy and Cartography, Geographical and Economic Research Institute of the Leningrad State University, Moscow, 17 pp. [In Russian].
- Dehnen-Schmutz K, Touza J, Perrings C, Williamson M (2007) A century of the ornamental plant trade and its impact on invasion success. *Diversity and Distributions* 13 (5): 527-534. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2007.00359.x>
- Domingues de Almeida J, Freitas H (2012) Exotic flora of continental Portugal - a new assessment. *Bocconea* 24: 231-237.
- Domingues de Almeida J, Freitas H (2006) Exotic naturalized flora of continental Portugal - A reassessment. *Botanica Complutensis* 30: 117-130.

- Dorofeev VI (2012) Brassicaceae. In: Geltman DV (Ed.) Synopsis of the flora of Eastern Europe. Vol. 1. KMK Scientific Press, Moscow & Saint-Petersburg, 364-437 pp. [In Russian].
- Fellman J (1831) Index plantarum phanerogamarum in territorio Kolaënsi lectarum. Bulletin de la Société Imperiale des Naturalistes de Moscou 3: 299-328.
- Fellman NI (1869) Plantae vasculares in Lapponia Orientali sponte nascentes. Notiser ur Sällskapetets pro Fauna et Flora Fennica Förhandlingar 8: 1-99.
- Fried G, Petit S, Dessaint F, Reboud X (2009) Arable weed decline in Northern France: Crop edges as refugia for weed conservation? Biological Conservation 142 (1): 238-243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2008.09.029>
- Galasso G, Conti F, Peruzzi L, Ardenghi NM, Banfi E, Celesti-Grapow L, Albano A, Alessandrini A, Bacchetta G, Ballelli S, Bandini Mazzanti M, Barberis G, Bernardo L, Blasi C, Bouvet D, Bovio M, Cecchi L, Del Guacchio E, Domina G, Fascetti S, Gallo L, Gubellini L, Guiggi A, Iamónico D, Iberite M, Jiménez-Mejías P, Lattanzi E, Marchetti D, Martinetto E, Masin RR, Medagli P, Passalacqua NG, Peccenini S, Pennesi R, Pierini B, Podda L, Poldini L, Prosser F, Raimondo FM, Roma-Marzio F, Rosati L, Santangelo A, Scoppola A, Scortegagna S, Selvaggi A, Selvi F, Soldano A, Stinca A, Wagensommer RP, Wilhelm T, Bartolucci F (2018) An updated checklist of the vascular flora alien to Italy. Plant Biosystems 152 (3): 556-592. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2018.1441197>
- Gladkova VN, Menitsky YL (1978) *Leonurus* L. In: Fedorov AA (Ed.) Flora of the European part of the USSR. Vol. 3. Science Publishers, Leningrad, 164-166 pp. [In Russian].
- Gorodkov BN (Ed.) (1953) Flora of Murmansk Region. Vol. 1. Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow & Leningrad, 254+51+IX pp. [In Russian].
- Gusev YD (1971) Distribution of plants along railways in the north-western part of European Russia. Botanicheskii Zhurnal (Moscow & Leningrad) 56 (3): 347-360. [In Russian].
- Hämet-Ahti L (1998a) Poaceae. In: Hämet-Ahti L, Suominen J, Ulvinen T, Uotila P (Eds) Retkeilykasvio. Ed. 4. Luonnontieteellinen museo, Helsinki, 576-619 pp. [ISBN 951-45-8166-0].
- Hämet-Ahti L (1998b) Apiaceae. In: Hämet-Ahti L, Suominen J, Ulvinen T, Uotila P (Eds) Retkeilykasvio. Ed. 4. Luonnontieteellinen keskusmuseo, Helsinki, 313-326 pp. [ISBN 951-45-8166-0].
- Hämet-Ahti L, Suominen J, Ulvinen T, Uotila P (Eds) (1998) Retkeilykasvio. Ed. 4. Luonnontieteellinen keskusmuseo, Helsinki. [ISBN 951-45-8166-0]
- Harrower CA, Scalera R, Pagad S, Schönrogge K, Roy HE (2018) Guidance for interpretation of CBD categories on introduction pathways. European Commission, 100 pp. URL: [URL: http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/519129](http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/519129)
- Hulme PE, Bacher S, Kenis M, Klotz S, Kühn I, Minchin D, Nentwig W, Olenin S, Panov V, Pergl J, Pyšek P, Roques A, Sol D, Solarz W, Vilà M (2008) Grasping at the routes of biological invasions: a framework for integrating pathways into policy. Journal of Applied Ecology 45 (2): 403-414. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2007.01442.x>
- Khapugin AA, Softys-Lelek A, Fedoronchuk NM, Muldashev AA, Agafonov VA, Kazmina ES, Vasjukov VM, Baranova OG, Buzunova IO, Teteryuk LV, Dubovik DV, Gudžinskas Z, Kukuk T, Kravchenko AV, Yena AV, Kozhin MN, Sennikov AN (2021) Taxon-level assessment of the data collection quality in Atlas Florae Europaeae: insights from the

- case of *Rosa* (Rosaceae) in Eastern Europe. *Nordic Journal of Botany* 39 (9). <https://doi.org/10.1111/njb.03289>
- Konstantinova NA, Koryakin AS, Makarova OA, Bianki VV (Eds) (2014) *Red Data Book of Murmansk Region*. 2 ed.. Asia-Print, Kemerovo, 584 pp. [In Russian]. [ISBN 978-5-85905-446-6]
 - Kostolomov MN (1984) To the military flora of the Murmansk region. *Vestnik Leningradskogo Universiteta. Ser. Biol.* 1984 (4): 89-92. [In Russian].
 - Kotov MI (1979) Brassicaceae. In: Fedorov AA (Ed.) *Flora of the European part of the USSR*. Vol. 4. Science Publishers, Leningrad, 30-148 pp. [In Russian].
 - Kozhin MN (2014) New and rare vascular plants in Murmansk Region. *Byulleten' Moskovskogo Obshchestva Ispytatelei Prirody. Otdel Biologicheskii* 119 (1): 67-71. [In Russian].
 - Kozhin MN, Golovina EO, Kopeina EI, Kutenkov SA, Sennikov AN (2018) Additions and corrections to the records of rare and red-listed vascular plants in Lapponia Ponojensis, Murmansk Region. *Transactions of Karelian Research Centre of Russian Academy of Sciences* 2018 (1): 33-50. [In Russian].
 - Kozhin MN, Golovina EO, Kopeina EI, Kutenkov SA, Sennikov AN (2019) The flora and vegetation of Sosnovets Island, the White Sea. *Memoranda Societatis pro Fauna et Flora Fennica* 95: 1-35.
 - Kozhin MN, Sennikov AN (2020) Vascular Plant Herbarium at the Kandalaksha Strict Nature Reserve (KAND), Russia. *Kandalaksha State Nature Reserve*. URL: <https://doi.org/10.15468/vebcs3>
 - Kozhin MN, Lommi S, Sennikov AN (2020a) Mobilisation of distributional data for vascular plants of Murmansk Region, Russia: Digital representation of the Flora of Murmansk Region. *Biodiversity Data Journal* 8 <https://doi.org/10.3897/bdj.8.e59456>
 - Kozhin MN, Borovichev EA, Kravchenko AV, Popova KB, Razumovskaya AV (2020b) Addition to the non-native flora of Murmansk Region. *Turczaninowia* 23 (4): 111-126. [In Russian]. <https://doi.org/10.14258/turczaninowia.23.4.1>
 - Kozhin MN (2021) Vascular Plants of Murmansk Region at the Polar-Alpine Botanical Garden-Institute (KPABG), Apatity, Russia. 1.4. Polar-Alpine Botanical Garden-Institute of N.A. Avrorin KSC RAS. URL: doi.org/10.15468/be5re3
 - Kozhin MN, Sennikov AN (2021) Vascular Plants of Murmansk Region at the Komarov Botanical Institute (LE), St. Petersburg, Russia. 1.2. Komarov Botanical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg. URL: <https://doi.org/10.15468/pd8wty>
 - Kravchenko AV (2007) A compendium of the Karelian flora (vascular plants). Karelian Research Centre, Petrozavodsk, 403 pp. [In Russian]. [ISBN 978-5-9274-0324-0]
 - Kravchenko AV (2020) Vascular plants of the Pasvik Nature Reserve and neighbouring territories. Karelian Science Centre, Petrozavodsk, 281 pp. [In Russian]. [ISBN 978-5-9274-0886-3]
 - Kurtto A (1998a) Lamiaceae. In: Hämet-Ahti L, Suominen J, Ulvinen T, Uotila P (Eds) *Retkeilykasvio*. Ed. 4. Luonnontieteellinen keskusmuseo, Helsinki, 356-370 pp. [ISBN 951-45-8166-0].
 - Kurtto A (1998b) Asteraceae. In: Hämet-Ahti L, Suominen J, Ulvinen T, Uotila P (Eds) *Retkeilykasvio*. Ed. 4. Luonnontieteellinen keskusmuseo, Helsinki, 405-444 pp. [ISBN 951-45-8166-0].
 - Kurtto A, Lampinen R, Piirainen M, Uotila P (2019) Checklist of the vascular plants of Finland. *Norrlinia* 34: 1-206.

- Kuzeneva OI (1953) *Phleum* L. In: Gorodkov BN (Ed.) Flora of Murmansk Region. Vol. 1. Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow & Leningrad, 145-148 pp. [In Russian].
- Lampinen R, Laiho E (2021) Finnish Floristic Database (Finnish Museum of Natural History Collections). 1.41. Finnish Biodiversity Information Facility. URL: <https://doi.org/10.15468/kasmwk>
- Lazkov GA, Sennikov AN (2021) Taxonomic assessment of three species of *Silene* (Caryophyllaceae) described by Boris K. Schischkin from Turkey. *Annales Botanici Fennici* 58 <https://doi.org/10.5735/085.058.0403>
- LUOMUS (Ed.) (2021) Finnish Biodiversity Information Facility. <https://laji.fi/en>. Accessed on: 2021-4-30.
- Majorov SR, Vinogradova YK, Bochkin VD (2013) An illustrated catalogue, escaping from cultivation in botanical gardens of Moscow. *Phyton XXI*, Moscow, 160 pp. [In Russian]. [ISBN 978-5-906171-45-0]
- Mäkinen Y, Piirainen M, Laine U, Nurmi J, Heino S, Iso-livari L (2019) Vascular flora of Inari Lapland. 9. Reports from the Kevo Subarctic Research Station 25: 3-164.
- Mannerkorpi P (1944) Uhtuan taistelurintamalla saapuneista tulokaskasveista. *Annales Botanici Societatis Zoologicae-Botanicae Fennicae "Vanamo"* 20: 39-51.
- Mikhailova IV, Dzyubenko NI, Dzyubenko EA, Rakovskaya NV (2011) Introduction of the eastern goat's rue *Galega orientalis* Lam. on the Kola Peninsula. In: Gontar OB (Ed.) Botanical gardens and sustainable development of the northern regions: materials of reports of the All-Russian scientific conference with international participation, dedicated to the 80th anniversary of the PABSI KSC RAS, Apatity-Kirovsk, August 25-28, 2011. K&M, Apatity, 144-147 pp. [In Russian].
- Niemivuo-Lahti J (Ed.) (2012) Kansallinen vieraslajistrategia. [Finland's National Strategy on Invasive Alien Species]. Juvenes Print [In Finnish]. [ISBN 978-952-453-726-1]
- Pergl J, Brundu G, Harrower CA, Cardoso AC, Genovesi P, Katsanevakis S, Lozano V, Perglová I, Rabitsch W, Richards G, Roques A, Rorke SL, Scalera R, Schönrogge K, Stewart A, Tricarico E, Tsiamis K, Vannini A, Vilà M, Zenetos A, Roy HE (2020) Applying the Convention on Biological Diversity Pathway Classification to alien species in Europe. *NeoBiota* 62: 333-363. <https://doi.org/10.3897/neobiota.62.53796>
- Piirainen M, Alm T (2001) Syvhornmarikäpe *Alchemilla heptagona* Juz. og månemarikäpe *A. semilunaris* Alechin i Sør-Varanger, Finnmark – to nye arter for Norge. *Blyttia* 59: 152-161.
- PoWO (2021) Plants of the World online. <http://powo.science.kew.org>. Accessed on: 2021-1-15.
- Poyarkova AI (Ed.) (1954) Flora of Murmansk Region. Vol. 2. Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow & Leningrad, 289 pp. [In Russian].
- Poyarkova AI (Ed.) (1956) Flora of Murmansk Region. Vol. 3. Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow & Leningrad, 450 pp. [In Russian].
- Poyarkova AI (Ed.) (1959) Flora of Murmansk Region. Vol. 4. Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow & Leningrad, 394 pp. [In Russian].
- Poyarkova AI (Ed.) (1966) Flora of Murmansk Region. Vol. 5. Science Publishers, Moscow & Leningrad, 549 pp. [In Russian].
- Preston CD, Pearman DA, Hall AR (2004) Archaeophytes in Britain. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 145 (3): 257-294. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2004.00284.x>

- Pyšek P, Richardson D, Rejmánek M, Webster G, Williamson M, Kirschner J (2004) Alien plants in checklists and floras: towards better communication between taxonomists and ecologists. *Taxon* 53 (1): 131-143. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4135498>
- Pyšek P, Lambdon PW, Arianoutsou M, Kühn I, Pino J, Winter M (2009) Alien Vascular Plants of Europe. In: DAISIE (Ed.) *Handbook of Alien Species in Europe*. Springer, Berlin, 43-61 pp. [ISBN 9781402082795]. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8280-1_4
- Ramenskaya ML, Andreeva VN (1982) *Field guide to higher plants of Murmansk Region and Karelia*. Science Publishers, Leningrad, 435 pp. [In Russian].
- Ramenskaya ML (1983) *Analysis of the flora of Murmansk Region and Republic of Karelia*. Science Publishers, Leningrad, 216 pp. [In Russian].
- Raunkiaer C (1905) *Types biologiques pour la géographie botanique*. *Forhandlinger Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskabs* 5: 347-437.
- Raunkiaer C (1937) *Plant life forms*. Clarendon press, Oxford, 104 pp.
- Richardson D, Pyšek P, Rejmánek M, Barbour M, Panetta FD, West C (2000) Naturalization and invasion of alien plants: concepts and definitions. *Diversity and Distributions* 6 (2): 93-107. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-4642.2000.00083.x>
- Sennikov A, Lazkov G (2021) The first checklist of alien vascular plants of Kyrgyzstan, with new records and critical evaluation of earlier data. *Contribution 1. Biodiversity Data Journal* 9 <https://doi.org/10.3897/bdj.9.e75590>
- Sennikov AN (2012) Memories of war times: War-time plant immigrants (polemochores) in East Fennoscandia and North-West Russia. In: Baranova OG, Puzyrev AN (Eds) *Studies on alien and synanthropic flora of Russia and adjacent countries: Proceedings of the 4th international conference (Izhevsk, 4–7 December 2012)*. Institute of Computer Sciences, Izhevsk, 182–185 pp. [In Russian].
- Sennikov AN (2021) Botanical expeditions of Boris K. Schischkin and Vasily V. Sapozhnikov in Turkey. *Annales Botanici Fennici* 58 <https://doi.org/10.5735/085.058.0119>
- Serebryakov IG (1962) *Ecological morphology of plants: life forms of angiosperms and conifers*. Higher School, Moscow. [In Russian].
- Seregin AP (2021) *Moscow University Herbarium (MW)*. 1.205. Lomonosov Moscow State University. URL: <https://doi.org/10.15468/cpnhcc>
- Shlyakova EV (1958) Species composition of crop weeds in Murmansk Region. *Izvestiya Karelskogo i Kolskogo Filialov AN SSSR* 1958 (4): 131-137. [In Russian].
- Shlyakova EV (1961) Occurrence of weedy plants in crops of Murmansk Region. *Botanicheskii Zhurnal (Moscow & Leningrad)* 46 (6): 854-860. [In Russian].
- Shlyakova EV (1982) *Catalogue of weeds in Murmansk Region*. Kola Scientific Centre, Apatity, 66 pp. [In Russian].
- Simberloff D, Martin JL, Genovesi P, Maris V, Wardle DA, Aronson J, Courchamp F, Galil B, García-Berthou E, Pascal M, Pyšek P, Sousa R, Tabacchi E, Vilà M (2012) Impacts of biological invasions: what's what and the way forward. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 28 (1): 58-66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.07.013>
- Suominen J (1970) The grain immigrant flora of Finland. *Acta Botanica Fennica* 111: 1-108.
- Suominen J (1998) Brassicaceae. In: Hämet-Ahti L, Suominen J, Ulvinen T, Uotila P (Eds) *Retkeilykasvio*. Ed. 4. Luonnontieteellinen keskusmuseo, Helsinki, 163-192 pp. [ISBN 951-45-8166-0].
- Thiers B (2021) *Index Herbariorum: A Global Directory of Public Herbaria*. <http://sweetgum.nybg.org/ih>

- Thomas CD, Palmer G (2015) Non-native plants add to the British flora without negative consequences for native diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112 (14): 4387-4392. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1423995112>
- Tzvelev NN (2000) *Manual of vascular plants of North-Western Russia (Leningrad, Pskov and Novgorod Regions)*. Saint-Petersburg Chemical-Pharmaceutical Academy, Saint-Petersburg. [In Russian]. [ISBN 5-8085-0077-X]
- Tzvelev NN, Probatova NS (2019) *Grasses of Russia*. KMK Scientific Press, Moscow, 646 pp. [In Russian]. [ISBN 978-5-907213-41-8]
- Uludag A, Aksoy N, Yazlık A, Arslan ZF, Yazmış E, Uremis I, Cossu TA, Groom Q, Pergl J, Pyšek P, Brundu G (2017) Alien flora of Turkey: checklist, taxonomic composition and ecological attributes. *NeoBiota* 35: 61-85. <https://doi.org/10.3897/neobiota.35.12460>
- Valdés B, Scholz H (2009) Poaceae (pro parte majore). In: von Raab-Straube E (Ed.) *Euro+Med Plantbase - the information resource for Euro-Mediterranean plant diversity*. Freie Universität Berlin URL: <http://www2.bgbm.org/EuroPlusMed/PTaxonDetail.asp?NameId=136237&PTRefFk=7100000>
- van Kleunen M, Essl F, Pergl J, Brundu G, Carboni M, Dullinger S, Early R, González-Moreno P, Groom QJ, Hulme PE, Kueffer C, Kühn I, Máguas C, Maurel N, Novoa A, Parepa M, Pyšek P, Seebens H, Tanner R, Touza J, Verbrugge L, Weber E, Dawson W, Kreft H, Weigelt P, Winter M, Klöner G, Talluto MV, Dehnen-Schmutz K (2018) The changing role of ornamental horticulture in alien plant invasions. *Biological Reviews* 93 (3): 1421-1437. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12402>
- Verloove F, Groom Q, Brosens D, Desmet P, Reyserhove L (2020) *Manual of the Alien Plants of Belgium*. Botanic Garden Meise <https://doi.org/10.15468/wtda1m>
- Vinogradova Y, Pergl J, Essl F, Hejda M, van Kleunen M, REGIONAL CONTRIBUTORS, Pyšek P (2018) Invasive alien plants of Russia: insights from regional inventories. *Biological Invasions* 20 (8): 1931-1943. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-018-1686-3>
- Vuokko S, Hämet-Ahti L (1998) Rosaceae. In: Hämet-Ahti L, Suominen J, Ulvinen T, Uotila P (Eds) *Retkeilykasvio*. Ed. 4. Luonnontieteellinen keskusmuseo, Helsinki, 238-270 pp. [ISBN 951-45-8166-0].
- Wasowicz P, Sennikov AN, Westergaard KB, Spellman K, Carlson M, Gillespie LJ, Saarela JM, Seefeldt SS, Bennett B, Bay C, Ickert-Bond S, Väre H (2019) Non-native vascular flora of the Arctic: Taxonomic richness, distribution and pathways. *Ambio* 49 (3): 693-703. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-019-01296-6>
- Zhmylev PY, Alekseev YE, Morozova OV (2017) Diversity of plant life forms in Moscow Region. *Dubna State University, Dubna*, 325 pp. [In Russian].



Figure 1.
Study area: Murmansk Region, Russia.




Figure 2.

Collection localities of newly recorded alien plants in Murmansk Region, Russia. 1 — *Anthemis ruthenica*, 2 — *Aruncus dioicus*, 3 — *Bromus commutatus*, 4 — *Chaerophyllum hirsutum*, 5 — *Galega orientalis*, 6 — *Geum aleppicum*, 7 — *Leonurus quinquelobatus*, 8 — *Lepidium densiflorum*, 9 — *Levisticum officinale*, 10 — *Myrrhis odorata*, 11 — *Phleum phleoides*, 12 — *Prunus armeniaca*, 13 — *Rorippa sylvestris*, 14 — *Senecio vernalis*.



Figure 3.

Chaerophyllum hirsutum in Apatity Town, Murmansk Region. 23.06.2020. Photo by E. Kopeina.



Figure 4.

A dense stand of *Galega orientalis* established in the vicinity of Apatity Town, Murmansk Region. 13.07.2020. Photo by M. Kozhin.



Figure 5.

Myrrhis odorata in Apatity Town, Murmansk Region. 23.06.2020. Photo by M. Kozhin.

Supplementary material

Suppl. material 1: New records of non-native vascular plants in Murmansk Region, Russia

Authors: Mikhail N. Kozhin, Alexander N. Sennikov

Data type: occurrences

[Download file](#) (17.14 kb)