

Monilochaetes pteridophytophila (Australiascaceae, Glomerellales), a new fungus from tree fern

Jingyi Zhang^{‡,§,||}, Rungtiwa Phookamsak^{||,#,□,«}, Ausana Mapook[§], Yongzhong Lu[‡], Menglan Lv[‡]

‡ School of Food and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Guizhou Institute of Technology, Guiyang, China

§ Center of Excellence in Fungal Research, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai, Thailand

| School of Science, Mae Fah Luang University, Chaing Rai, Thailand

|| East and Central Asia Regional Office, World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF), Kunming, China

Centre for Mountain Futures (CMF), Kunming Institute of Botany, Kunming, China

□ Research Center of Microbial Diversity and Sustainable Utilization, Faculty of Sciences, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand

« Honghe Center for Mountain Futures, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Honghe, China

Corresponding author: Yongzhong Lu (yzlu@git.edu.cn), Menglan Lv (lvmenglan@git.edu.cn)

Academic editor: Danny Haelewaters

Abstract

Background

During taxonomic and phylogenetic studies of fungi on pteridophytes in Thailand, *Monilochaetes pteridophytophila* sp. nov. was collected from the frond stalks of a tree fern (*Alsophila costularis*, Cyatheaceae). The new species is introduced, based on evidence from morphology and phylogenetic analyses of a concatenated dataset of LSU, ITS, SSU and RPB2 sequences.

New information

Monilochaetes pteridophytophila differs from extant species of *Monilochaetes* in having darker conidiophores with fewer septae (1–4-septate). *Monilochaetes pteridophytophila* forms a distinct clade, basal from other species of *Monilochaetes* in Australiascaceae. A detailed description and illustrations of the new species are provided. We also provided a synopsis of accepted species of *Monilochaetes*.

Keywords

one new taxon, Hyphomycetes, Pteridophytes, Sordariomycetes, taxonomy

Introduction

Studies on the diversity of fungi on pteridophytes have revealed many new taxa during the last decade (Mehlreter 2010, Braun et al. 2013, Kirschner and Liu 2014, Guatimosin et al. 2016, Kirschner et al. 2019). An estimated 670 species of fern occur in Thailand (Lindsay and Middleton 2009), making it a suitable area for studying the fungi associated with ferns. However, the study of fungi on ferns is in its infancy (Razikin et al. 2014, Kirschner et al. 2019). Cyatheaceae, a family of scaly tree ferns in Cyatheales, is widely distributed in tropical and subtropical areas (Lehnert 2011, Korall and Pryer 2014). Species of Cyatheaceae diverged ca. 150 (146–168) million years ago during the Late Jurassic period (Korall and Pryer 2014). Many taxa in this family are threatened species, including *Cyathea brunoniana*, *C. gigantea* and *C. henryi* (Balkrishna et al. 2020, Coritico and Amoroso 2020).

Monilochaetes Halst. ex Harter was introduced by Harter (1916) to accommodate a pathogenic fungus, *M. infuscans* Harter, that caused scurf disease of the sweet potato. *Monilochaetes infuscans* was first reported by Halsted (1890), but the species is considered invalid due to the lack of morphological description and illustrations. Réblová et al. (2011a) established the family Australiascaceae Réblová & W. Gams to accommodate *Australiasca* Sivan. & Alcorn (as a sexual morph) and *Monilochaetes* (as an asexual morph). Sivanesan and Alcorn (2002) introduced *Australiasca* with *A. queenslandica* Sivan. & Alcorn as the type species, which was linked to *Dischloridium camelliae* Alcorn & Sivan as an asexual morph. Réblová et al. (2011a) treated *Dischloridium* B. Sutton as the generic synonym of *Monilochaetes*, based on phylogenetic analysis of ITS and LSU sequences. Following the “One Fungus One Name” (1F1N) principle, *Australiasca* was synonymised under *Monilochaetes*, the latter being older (Réblová et al. 2016, Hyde et al. 2020a). Hyde et al. (2020a) and Wijayawardene et al. (2020) accepted Australiascaceae in Glomerellales with a single genus *Monilochaetes*. Index Fungorum (2021) lists nine species in *Monilochaetes*. These are *M. basicurvata* (Matsush.) Réblová & Seifert, *M. camelliae* (Alcorn & Sivan.) Réblová, W. Gams & Seifert, *M. dimorphospora* Réblová & W. Gams, *M. guadalcanalensis* (Matsush.) I.H. Rong & W. Gams, *M. infuscans*, *M. laeënsis* (Matsush.) Réblová, W. Gams & Seifert, *M. melastomae* Crous, *M. nothapodytis* S.X. Zhou, J.C. Kang & K.D. Hyde and *M. regenerans* (Bhat & W.B. Kendr.) Réblová & Seifert. Of those, seven species have molecular data in NCBI GenBank (Sivanesan and Alcorn 2002, Réblová et al. 2011a, Réblová et al. 2011b, Zhou et al. 2017, Crous et al. 2018).

The sexual morph of *Monilochaetes* is characterised by superficial, dark brown, obpyriform perithecia with or without setae, with periphysate ostioles; hyaline, branching, septate paraphyses; 8-spored, unitunicate, cylindrical-clavate, short-pedicellate asci; and hyaline, ellipsoidal to ovoid, 0–3-septate ascospores (Sivanesan and Alcorn 2002, Réblová et al. 2011a). The asexual morph of *Monilochaetes* is characterised by solitary, erect, sometimes curved or geniculate, septate, pale brown to dark brown conidiophores; phialidic, terminal, hyaline to pale brown, ampulliform to cylindrical

conidiogenous cells with a shallow collarette; and hyaline, aseptate or rarely septate, oval conidia (Harter 1916, Bhat and Kendrick 1993, Réblová et al. 2011a, Réblová et al. 2011b, Zhou et al. 2017, Crous et al. 2018).

In this study, a new species of *Monilochaetes*, *M. pteridophytophila*, is described, illustrated and compared with closely-related taxa. Morphological study and multilocus phylogenetic analyses confirm the identity of the new species and confirm its placement in *Monilochaetes*.

Materials and methods

Sample collection, isolation and conservation

Fronde stalks of *Alsophila costularis* (tree fern) were collected in a disturbed forest near the roadside in Tak Province, Thailand. Specimens were packed into a plastic bag for transportation to the laboratory and the associated metadata were noted (date, locality and host). Fungal colonies on the host surface were observed and examined using a stereomicroscope (Leica EZ4, Leica Microsystems AG, Singapore). Micro-morphological characters were documented with a Nikon DS-Ri2 digital camera fitted to a Nikon ECLIPSE Ni compound microscope (Nikon, Japan). Measurements of morphological structures (conidiophores, conidiogenous cells and conidia) were made with the Tarosoft (R) Image Frame Work. Figures were processed and combined with Adobe Illustrator CS6 (Adobe Systems, USA).

Single spore isolation was carried out to obtain a pure culture, following the method described by Dai et al. (2017). Germinated conidia were aseptically transferred to potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates and incubated at 25°C. Cultures were grown for 2 weeks and culture characteristics, such as size, shape, colour and texture, were recorded. The holotype specimen and ex-type living culture are deposited in the Herbarium of Mae Fah Luang University (MFLU) and Mae Fah Luang University Culture Collection (MFLUCC), Chiang Rai, Thailand, respectively. An isotype specimen is deposited at the Herbarium of Guizhou Academy of Agricultural Sciences (GZAAS), Guiyang, China.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing

Fresh fungal mycelium grown on PDA at 25°C for 2 weeks was used to extract DNA. Genomic DNA was extracted by using the Biospin Fungus Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (BioFlux, China), following the manufacturer's instructions. We amplified the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region, the small and large subunits of the ribosomal RNA gene (SSU, LSU) and the second largest subunit of RNA polymerase II (RPB2). Primer pairs and PCR thermal cycle conditions are listed in Table 1. The quality of PCR products was checked on 1% agarose gel electrophoresis stained with ethidium bromide. Successful PCR products were sent to Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China) for purification and sequencing. Forward and reverse sequence reads were assembled using SeqMan v. 7.0.0 (DNASTAR, Madison, WI). Consensus sequences were submitted to NCBI GenBank (Table 2).

DNA sequence alignments and phylogenetic analysis

Closely-related taxa were selected for phylogenetic analyses, based on BLASTn searches in NCBI GenBank (<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>), as well as recent publications (Réblová et al. 2011a, Hongsanan et al. 2017, Zhou et al. 2017, Crous et al. 2018, Dissanayake et al. 2020, Table 2). Sequences of each locus were aligned using the online multiple alignment programme MAFFT version 7 (<https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/>, Katoh et al. 2019) and then manually adjusted in BioEdit 7.1.3.0 (Hall 1999). Phylogenetic relationships were inferred, based on a combined LSU–ITS–SSU–RPB2 dataset. Sequences of each locus were combined to form a concatenated super matrix using SequenceMatrix 1.7.8 and analysed with Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) criteria.

Maximum Likelihood (ML) analysis was performed using IQ-TREE (Nguyen et al. 2015, Chernomor et al. 2016) under partitioned models. The optimal nucleotide substitution model for each locus was selected under the corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc) using jModelTest2 (Darriba et al. 2012) on XSEDE via the CIPRES Science Gateway 3.3 (<https://www.phylo.org/portal2/home.action>, Miller et al. 2010). The TIM3+I+G model (-lnL = 3601.7319) was selected for LSU, GTR+I+G (-lnL = 4351.9427) for ITS, TIM1+G (-lnL = 2071.9778) for SSU and TIM2+I+G (-lnL = 7734.2580) for RPB2. A non-parametric bootstrap (BS) analysis was implemented with 1000 replicates (Hoang et al. 2018).

The aligned fasta file was converted to nexus file format for BI analyses using AliView. BI analyses were performed in CIPRES (Miller et al. 2010) with MrBayes on XSEDE 3.2.7a (Ronquist et al. 2012). The best-fit evolutionary model for BI analysis was determined using MrModeltest v.2 (Nylander 2004). For the LSU, ITS and RPB2 datasets, GTR+I+G was selected, whereas GTR+G was selected for SSU. Bayesian posterior probabilities (PP) (Rannala and Yang 1996) were evaluated, based on Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling. Four simultaneous Markov chains were run for 10,000,000 generations and trees were sampled every 1,000th generation (yielding 10,000 total trees). The first 2,500 trees, which represented the burn-in phase of the analysis, were discarded. The remaining 7,500 trees were used to calculate PP in the majority rule consensus tree.

Phylogenetic trees were visualised using FigTree v. 1.4.0 (Rambaut and Drummond 2008) and edited using Microsoft Office PowerPoint 2010 and Adobe Illustrator CS6 (Adobe Systems, USA). The final alignments and trees were deposited in TreeBASE (<http://www.treebase.org/>, accession number: 27987).

Taxon treatment

Monilochaetes pteridophytophila J.Y. Zhang, K.D. Hyde & Y.Z. Lu, sp. nov.

- IndexFungorum [IF558296](#)
- Species-ID [Facesoffungi number: FoF 09708](#)

Materials

Holotype:

- a. scientificName: *Monilochaetes pteridophytophila*; phylum: Ascomycota; class: Sordariomycetes; order: Glomerellales; family: Australiascaceae; locationRemarks: THAILAND, Tak Province, Umphang District, Mo Kro Subdistrict, 16°12'11"N 98°52'5"E, 21 August 2019; habitat: Terrestrial; fieldNotes: on dead frond stalks of *Alsophila costularis* Baker (Cyatheaceae) in a disturbed forest nearby the roadside; recordedBy: Jing Yi Zhang; collectionID: MFLU 21–0023; collectionCode: Y26; occurrenceID: 00522595-4639-57C4-A42F-F78FA6816154

Isotype:

- a. collectionID: GZAAS 21-0015; occurrenceID: 9ABF58CA-5434-56D1-8139-510301D92C64

Description

Saprobic on dead frond stalks of *Alsophila costularis*. **Sexual morph:** Undetermined. **Asexual morph:** Hyphomycetous (Fig. 1), colonies on natural substrate superficial, effuse, gregarious, white. Conidiophores (268–)360–565 µm high (\bar{x} = 465 µm, n = 15), 9–14.5 µm wide (\bar{x} = 12 µm, n = 15) near the base, macronematous, unbranched, solitary, erect, straight or slightly flexuous, monophialidic, subcylindrical, thick-walled, 1–4-septate, dark brown to black, darker near the base, becoming paler brown towards the apex. Conidiogenous cells 25–54 × 7–11.5 µm (\bar{x} = 38 × 9.5 µm, n = 20), enteroblastic, monophialidic, terminal, swollen, with a shallow collarete, subcylindrical with apical taper to truncate apex, pale brown, rough. Conidia 20–24 × 10–12 µm (\bar{x} = 22 × 11.7 µm, n = 30), oblong to obovoid or ellipsoidal, occasionally with a median or submedian constriction, thick-walled, hyaline, aseptate, rough-walled.

Culture characteristics: Conidia germinating on PDA within 12 hours at 25°C, with hyaline germ tube germinating from the base of conidia. Colonies growing on PDA at 25°C, circular, flat surface, planar, thin, dark brown, reaching 2 cm diam. in 7 days, edge entire, emission at margin, dark brown to pale brown in reverse from the centre to margin of the colony.

Material: ex-type living culture, MFLUCC 21–0022.

Etymology

Referring to the host, which is a pteridophyte.

Notes

Monilochaetes pteridophytophila formed a distinct phylogenetic clade, which clustered with other species of *Monilochaetes* (Fig. 2). Following BLASTn searches, the closest matches of *M. pteridophytophila* are *M. melastomae* (LSU, NG_068601, 98.21% shared identity; ITS, NR_161124, 84.5%), *M. laeensis* (SSU, GU180610, 99.4%) and *M. infuscans* (RPB2, GU180658, 80.64%). *Monilochaetes pteridophytophila* is most similar to *M. regenerans* in the shape of conidiophores, conidiogenous cells and conidia (Bhat and Kendrick 1993). However, *M. pteridophytophila* has darker and longer conidiophores [(268–)360–565 µm vs. 300 µm high], shorter conidiogenous cells (25–54 µm vs. 70–100 µm) and smaller conidia (20–24 × 10–12 µm vs. 25–38 × 12–16 µm). Therefore, we introduce *M. pteridophytophila* as a new species, based on both phylogenetic and morphological evidence.

Analysis

Analysis I: Phylogenetic reconstruction of a combined LSU, ITS, SSU and RPB2 sequence dataset

The aligned, concatenated sequence matrix comprised sequence data for 39 taxa from seven families of the following loci: LSU (853 bp), ITS (489 bp), SSU (1,014 bp) and RPB2 (1,061 bp). Included sequences represented taxa of Glomerellales and three outgroup taxa, *Collariella bostrychodes* (CBS 586.83), *Corynascus fumimontanus* (CBS 137294) and *Leptosillia pistaciae* (CBS 128196). The sequence matrix comprised 3,417 characters (including gaps), of which 2,317 characters were constant, 185 variable characters were parsimony-uninformative and 915 characters were parsimony-informative. The matrix had 1,188 distinct alignment patterns, with 40.80% undetermined characters or gaps. The ML and BI analyses of the concatenated LSU–ITS–SSU–RPB2 dataset resulted in similar tree topologies (Fig. 2).

The phylogenetic tree shows that all strains of *Monilochaetes* clustered within Australiascaceae. The new species *M. pteridophytophila* forms a distinct clade, basal to other species of *Monilochaetes* with BS = 98% MLBS and PP = 1.00 (Fig. 2).

Discussion

Monilochaetes is a widespread genus, with species occurring as endophytes, pathogens or saprobes on various plants in terrestrial environments (Rashmi et al. 2019, Table 3). All currently-described species of *Monilochaetes* have hyphomycetous asexual morphs.

Only *M. camelliae*, *M. dimorphospora* and *M. nothapodytis* have dimorphic hyphomycetous asexual forms (Réblová et al. 2011a, Réblová et al. 2011b, Zhou et al. 2017). *Monilochaetes camelliae* and *M. laeensis* are represented also by sexual morphs (Sivanesan and Alcorn 2002, Réblová et al. 2011a).

Monilochaetes pteridophytophila is the second species found on a tree fern; *M. laeensis* occurs on tree ferns in Australia and the UK (Kirk 1986, Réblová et al. 2011a). *Monilochaetes pteridophytophila* forms a distinct clade with *M. laeensis*, basal to other *Monilochaetes* species. However, *M. pteridophytophila* differs from *M. laeensis* in having darker and longer conidiophores [(268–)360–565 µm vs. 40–160(–280) µm]. Hyde et al. (2018) and Hyde et al. (2020b) showed high fungal diversity in Thailand and suggested that studies on new hosts and new areas would lead to discovery of further new fungal species. Further studies of fungi on pteridophytes are likely expected to reveal more novel species.

Glomerellales was proposed by Réblová et al. (2011a) to accommodate three families, based on morphology and multilocus phylogenetic data: Australiascaceae, Glomerellaceae and Reticulascaceae. Later, Maharachchikumbura et al. (2016) accepted Plectosphaerellaceae in Glomerellales, based on the analysis of a combined LSU–SSU–TEF1–RPB2 dataset. Malaysiascaceae was added to Glomerallales by Tibpromma et al. (2018), based on a combined ribosomal DNA dataset (SSU, ITS, LSU). Our phylogenetic study confirms Glomerellales as a robust clade (ML = 100, PP = 1.00) comprising five lineages: Australiascaceae (ML = 98, PP = 1.00), Glomerellaceae (ML = 95, PP = 1.00), Malaysiascaceae (ML = 100, PP = 1.00), Plectosphaerellaceae (ML = 100, PP = 1.00) and Reticulascaceae (ML = 99, PP = 1.00). The phylogenetic relationships of families in Glomerellales are in agreement with Tibpromma et al. (2018) and Hyde et al. (2020a).

The tree topologies resulting from the phylogenetic reconstruction of a combined LSU–ITS dataset (analysis II, Suppl. material 1) and the concatenated LSU–ITS–SSU–RPB2 dataset (analysis I, Fig. 2) were overall similar and not significantly different. A comparison of phylogenetic analysis I and II with the analysis by Hyde et al. (2020a) showed negligible variation in tree topologies in Glomerellales, even with the inclusion of SSU and RPB2 data. The phylogeny in the current study suggests that LSU and ITS sequences can resolve interspecific relationships within *Monilochaetes*, as well as interfamilial relationships within Glomerellales.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC 32060013) and Youth Science and Technology Talent Development Project from Guizhou Provincial Department of Education (QJHKYZ[2021]263). Jing-Yi Zhang would like to thank Shaun Pennycook, De-Ping Wei, and Rong-Ju Xu for their help. Rungtiwa Phookamsak thanks CAS President's International Fellowship Initiative (PIFI) for Young Staff (grant no. Y9215811Q1), the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC) project

code 31850410489 (grant no. Y81I982211) and Chiang Mai University for their partial support of this research.

References

- Balkrishna A, Arya V, Kushwaha AK (2020) Population structure, regeneration status and conservation measures of threatened *Cyathea* spp. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 32 (4). <https://doi.org/10.26525/jtfs2020.32.4.414>
- Bhat DJ, Sutton BC (1985) Some phialidic Hyphomycetes from Ethiopia. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* 84 (4).
- Bhat DJ, Kendrick B (1993) Twenty-five new conidial fungi from the Western Ghats and the Andaman Islands (India). *Mycotaxon* 49 (1).
- Braun U, Nakashima C, Crous PW (2013) Cercosporoid fungi (Mycosphaerellaceae) 1. Species on other fungi, *Pteridophyta* and *Gymnospermae*. *IMA Fungus* 4 (2). <https://doi.org/10.5598/imafungus.2013.04.02.12>
- Chernomor O, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ (2016) Terrace aware data structure for phylogenomic inference from supermatrices. *Systematic Biology* 65 (6). <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syw037>
- Coritico F, Amoroso V (2020) Threatened lycophytes and ferns in four protected areas of Mindanao, Philippines. *Nature Conservation Research* 5 (4). <https://doi.org/10.24189/ncr.2020.061>
- Crous PW, Luangsa-Ard JJ, Wingfield MJ, Carnegie AJ, Hernández-Restrepo M, Lombard L, Roux J, Barreto RW, Baseia IG, Cano-Lira JF, Martín MP, Morozova OV, Stchigel AM, Summerell BA, Brandrud TE, Dima B, García D, Giraldo A, Guarro J, Gusmão LFP, Khamsuntorn P, Noordeloos ME, Nuankaew S, Pinruan U, Rodríguez-Andrade E, Souza-Motta CM, Thangavel R, van Iperen AL, Abreu VP, Accioly T, Alves JL, Andrade JP, Bahram M, Baral HO, Barbier E, Barnes CW, Bendixsen E, Bernard E, Bezerra JDP, Bezerra JL, Bizio E, Blair JE, Bulyonkova TM, Cabral TS, Caiafa MV, Cantillo T, Colmán AA, Conceição LB, Cruz S, Cunha AOB, Darveaux BA, da Silva AL, da Silva GA, da Silva GM, da Silva RMF, de Oliveira RJV, Oliveira RL, De Souza JT, Dueñas M, Evans HC, Epifani F, Felipe MTC, Fernández-López J, Ferreira BW, Figueiredo CN, Filippova NV, Flores JA, Gené J, Ghorbani G, Gibertoni TB, Glushakova AM, Healy R, Huhndorf SM, Iturrieta-González I, Javan-Nikkhah M, Juciano RF, Jurjević Ž, Kachalkin AV, Keochanpheng K, Krisai-Greilhuber I, Li YC, Lima AA, Machado AR, Madrid H, Magalhães OMC, Marbach PAS, Melanda GCS, Miller AN, Mongkolsamrit S, Nascimento RP, Oliveira TGL, Ordoñez ME, Orzes R, Palma MA, Pearce CJ, Pereira OL, Perrone G, Peterson SW, Pham THG, Piontelli E, Pordel A, Quijada L, Raja HA, Rosas de Paz E, Ryvarden L, Saitta A, Salcedo SS, Sandoval-Denis M, Santos TAB, Seifert KA, Silva BDB, Smith ME, Soares AM, Sommai S, Sousa JO, Suetrong S, Susca A, Tedersoo L, Telleria MT, Thanakitpipattana D, Valenzuela-Lopez N, Visagie CM, Zapata M, Groenewald JZ (2018) Fungal Planet description sheets: 785–867. *Persoonia* 41 <https://doi.org/10.3767/persoonia.2018.41.12>
- Dai DQ, Phookamsak R, Wijayawardene NN, Li WJ, Bhat DJ, Xu JC, Taylor JE, Hyde KD, Chukeatirote E (2017) Bambusicolous fungi. *Fungal Diversity* 82 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13052-016-0286-z>

- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D (2012) jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* 9 (8). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2109>
- Dissanayake AJ, Bhunjun C, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Liu JK (2020) Applied aspects of methods to infer phylogenetic relationships amongst fungi. *Mycosphere* 11 (1). <https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/11/1/18>
- Guatimosim E, Schwartsburd PB, Barreto RW, Crous PW (2016) Novel fungi from an ancient niche: cercosporoid and related sexual morphs on ferns. *Persoonia* 37 <https://doi.org/10.3767/003158516X690934>
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. 41. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series*. [London]: Information Retrieval Ltd., c1979-c2000.
- Halsted BD (1890) Some fungus diseases of the sweet potato. *New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin* (76).
- Harter LL (1916) Sweet-potato scurf. *Journal of Agricultural Research* 5.
- Hoang DT, Chernomor O, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS (2018) UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35 (2): 518-522. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx281>
- Hongsanan S, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Hyde KD, Samarakoon MC, Jeewon R, Zhao Q, Al-Sadi AM, Bahkali AH (2017) An updated phylogeny of Sordariomycetes based on phylogenetic and molecular clock evidence. *Fungal Diversity* 84 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-017-0384-2>
- Hopple JS (1994) Phylogenetic investigations in the genus *Coprinus* based on morphological and molecular characters. PhD thesis, Duke University, Durham, NC
- Hyde KD, Norphanphoun C, Chen J, Dissanayake AJ, Doilom M, Hongsanan S, Jayawardena RS, Jeewon R, Perera RH, Thongbai B, Wanasinghe DN, Wisitrasameewong K, Tibpromma S, Stadler M (2018) Thailand's amazing diversity: up to 96% of fungi in northern Thailand may be novel. *Fungal Diversity* 93 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-018-0415-7>
- Hyde KD, Norphanphoun C, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Bhat DJ, Jones EBG, Bundhun D, Chen YJ, Bao DF, Boonmee S, Calabon MS (2020a) Refined families of Sordariomycetes. *Mycosphere* 11 (1). <https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/11/1/7>
- Hyde KD, Jeewon R, Chen YJ, Bhunjun CS, Calabon MS, Jiang HB, Lin CG, Norphanphoun C, Sysouphanthong P, Pem D, Tibpromma S, Zhang Q, Doilom MK, Jayawardena RS, Liu JK, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Phukhamsakda C, Phookamsak R, Al-Sadi AM, Thongklang N, Wang Y, Gaforov Y, Jones EBG, Lumyong S (2020b) The numbers of fungi: is the descriptive curve flattening? *Fungal Diversity* 103 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-020-00458-2>
- Index Fungorum (2021) <http://www.indexfungorum.org> [accessed 16 July 2021]
- Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD (2019) MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 20 (4). <https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx108>
- Kirk PM (1986) New or interesting microfungi: XV. Miscellaneous hyphomycetes from the British Isles. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* 86 (3). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536\(86\)80185-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536(86)80185-1)

- Kirschner R, Liu LC (2014) Mycosphaerellaceous fungi and new species of *Venustosynnema* and *Zasmidium* on ferns and fern allies in Taiwan. *Phytotaxa* 176 (1). <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.176.1.29>
- Kirschner R, Lee PH, Huang Y (2019) Diversity of fungi on Taiwanese fern plants: review and new discoveries. *Taiwania* 64 (2). <https://doi.org/10.6165/tai.2019.64.163>
- Korall P, Pryer KM (2014) Global biogeography of scaly tree ferns (Cyatheaceae): evidence for Gondwanan vicariance and limited transoceanic dispersal. *Journal of Biogeography* 41 (2). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12222>
- Lawrence GW, Moyer JW, Van Dyke CG (1981) Histopathology of sweet potato roots infected with *Monilochaetes infuscans*. *Phytopathology* 71 (3). <https://doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-71-312>
- Lehnert M (2011) The Cyatheaceae (Polypodiopsida) of Peru. *Brittonia* 63 (1).
- Lindsay S, Middleton DJ (2009) Development of a multi-access key to the ferns of Thailand. *Thai Forest Bulletin (Botany)* (37).
- Liu YJ, Whelen S, Hall BD (1999) Phylogenetic relationships among ascomycetes: evidence from an RNA polymerase II subunit. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 16 (12).
- Lu YZ, Boonmee S, Dai DQ, Liu JK, Hyde KD, Bhat DJ, Ariyawansa H, Kang JC (2017) Four new species of *Tubeufia* (Tubeufiaceae, Tubeufiales) from Thailand. *Mycological Progress* 16 (4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-017-1280-6>
- Maharachchikumbura SSN, Hyde KD, Jones EBG, McKenzie EHC, Bhat JD, Dayaratne MC, Huang SK, Norphanphoun C, Senanayake IC, Perera RH, Shang QJ, Xiao Y, D'souza MJ, Hongsanan S, Jayawardena RS, Daranagama DA, Konta S, Goonasekara ID, Zhuang WY, Jeewon R, Phillips AJL, Abdel-Wahab MA, Al-Sadi AM, Bahkali AH, Boonmee S, Boonyuen N, Cheewangkoon R, Dissanayake AJ, Kang J, Li QR, Liu JK, Liu XZ, Liu ZY, Luangsa-ard JJ, Pang KL, Phookamsak R, Promputtha I, Suetrong S, Stadler M, Wen TC, Wijayawardene NN (2016) Families of Sordariomycetes. *Fungal Diversity* 79 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-016-0369-6>
- Matsushima T (1995) Matsushima mycological memoirs no. 8. Matsushima Fungus Collection, Kobe, Japan.
- Mehlreter K (2010) Interactions of ferns with fungi and animals. *Fern Ecology* <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511844898.008>
- Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T (2010) "Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees" in Proceedings of the Gateway. Computing Environments Workshop (GCE). New Orleans <https://doi.org/10.1109/GCE.2010.5676129>
- Nguyen L, Schmidt HA, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ (2015) IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 32 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300>
- Nylander JAA (2004) MrModeltest Version 2. Program distributed by the author. (Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden).
- Rambaut A, Drummond A (2008) FigTree: Tree figure drawing tool, version 1.2. 2. Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh.
- Rannala B, Yang ZH (1996) Probability distribution of molecular evolutionary trees: a new method of phylogenetic inference. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 43 (3). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02338839>
- Rashmi M, Kushveer JS, Sarma VV (2019) A worldwide list of endophytic fungi with notes on ecology and diversity. *Mycosphere* 10 (1). <https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/10/1/19>

- Razikin MZM, Nagao H, Zakaria R (2014) First report of pteridocolous discomycetes, *Lachnum lanariceps* and *L. oncospermatum*, on decayed tree fern in Bukit Bendera (Penang Hill), Pulau Pinang, Malaysia. *Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology* 36 (4).
- Réblová M, Gams W, Seifert KA (2011a) *Monilochaetes* and allied genera of the Glomerellales, and a reconsideration of families in the Microascales. *Studies in Mycology* 68 <https://doi.org/10.3114/sim.2011.68.07>
- Réblová M, Gams W, Štěpánek V (2011b) The new hyphomycete genera *Brachyalaria* and *Infundichalara*, the similar *Exochalara* and species of '*Phialophora* sect. *Catenulatae*' (Leotiomyces). *Fungal Diversity* 46 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-010-0077-6>
- Réblová M, Miller AN, Rossman AY, Seifert KA, Crous PW, Hawksworth DL, Abdel-Wahab MA, Cannon PF, Daranagama DA, De Beer ZW (2016) Recommendations for competing sexual-asexually typified generic names in Sordariomycetes (except Diaporthales, Hypocreales, and Magnaporthales). *IMA Fungus* 7 (1). <https://doi.org/10.5598/imafungus.2016.07.01.08>
- Rong I, Gams W (2000) The Hyphomycete genera *Exochalara* and *Monilochaetes*. *Mycotaxon* 76 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-016-0369-6>
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Van Der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology* 61 (3). <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029>
- Sivanesan A, Alcorn JL (2002) *Australiasca queenslandica* gen. et sp. nov. (Chaetosphaeriaceae: Ascomycota) and its anamorph *Dischloridium camelliae* sp. nov. from Australia. *Australian Systematic Botany* 15 (5). <https://doi.org/10.1071/SB01049>
- Tibpromma S, Hyde KD, McKenzie EHC, Bhat DJ, Phillips AJL, Wanasinghe DN, Samarakoon MC, Jayawardena RS, Dissanayake AJ, Tennakoon DS, Doilom M, Phookamsak R, Tang AMC, Xu JC, Mortimer PE, Promputtha I, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Khan S, Karunarathna SC (2018) Fungal diversity notes 840–928: micro-fungi associated with Pandanaceae. *Fungal Diversity* 93 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-018-0408-6>
- Vilgalys R, Hester M (1990) Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several *Cryptococcus* species. *Journal of Bacteriology* 172 (8). <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.172.8.4238-4246.1990>
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. *PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications* 18 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1>
- Wijayawardene NN, Hyde KD, Al-Ani LKT, Tedersoo L, Haelewaters D, Rajeshkumar KC, Zhao RL, Aptroot A, Leontyev V, Saxena D, RK, Tokarev YS, Dai DQ, Letcher PM, Stephenson SL, Ertz D, Lumbsch HT, Kukwa M, V Issi I, Madrid H, Phillips AJL, Selbmann L, Pfliegler WP, Horvath E, Bensch K, Kirk PM, Kolarikova K, Raja HA, Radek R, Papp V, Dima B, Ma J, Malosso E, Takamatsu S, Rambold G, Gannibal PB, Triebel D, Gautam AK, Avasthi S, Suetrong S, Timdal E, Fryar SC, Delgado G, Reblova M, Doilom M, Dolatabadi S, Pawlowska JZ, Humber RA, Kodsueb R, Sanchez-Castro I, A. Silva BTGDK, de Souza FA, Oehl FR, da Silva GA, Silva IR, Blaszkowski J, Jobim K, Maia LC, Barbosa FR, Fiuza PO, Divakar PK, Shenoy BD, Castaneda-Ruiz RF, Somrithipol S, Lateef AA, Karunarathna SC, Tibpromma S, Mortimer PE, Wanasinghe DN, Phookamsak R, Xu JC, Wang Y, Tian F, Alvarado P, Li DW, Kusan I, Matocec N, Masic A, Tkalcic Z,

Maharachchikumbura SSN, Papizadeh M, Heredia G, M. Bakhshi FW, Boehm E, Youssef N, Hustad VP, Lawrey JD, A. Santiago ALCM, Bezerra JDP, Souza-Motta CM, Firmino AL, Tian Q, Houbraken J, Hongsanan S, Tanaka K, Dissanayake AJ, Monteiro JS, P. Mungai HPGASGWJEATVV, Damm U, Li QR, Zhang H, Boonmee S, Lu YZ, Becerra AG, Kendrick B, Brearley FQ, Motiejunaite J, Sharma B, Khare R, Gaikwad S, Wijesundara DSA, Tang LZ, He MQ, Flakus A, Rodriguez-Flakus P, Zhurbenko MP, McKenzie EHC, Stadler M, Bhat DJ, Liu JK, Raza M, Jeewon R, Nasonova ES, Prieto M, Jayalal RGU, Erdogdu M, N. Shchepin AYMSO, Novozhilov YK, Silva-Filho AGS, Gentekaki E, Liu P, Cavender JC, Kang Y, Mohammad S, Zhang LF, Xu RF, Li YM, Dayarathne MC, Ekanayaka AH, Wen TC, Deng CY, Pereira OL, Navathe S, Hawksworth DL, Fan XL, Dissanayake LS, Kuhnert E, Thines M (2020) Outline of Fungi and fungus-like taxa. *Mycosphere* 11 (1). <https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/11/1/8>

- Zhou SX, Qiao LJ, Kang JC, Hyde KD, Ma XY (2017) A new species of *Monilochaetes* from *Nothapodytes pittosporoides*. *Phytotaxa* 326 (2). <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.326.2.4>




Figure 1.

Monilochaetes pteridophytophila (MFLU 21-0023, holotype). **a.** The host tree fern (*Alsophila costularis*) in the field; **b.** Dead frond stalks of tree fern; **c.** Colony on dead frond stalk of tree fern; **d.** Conidiophore; **e–g.** Conidiogenous cells with attached conidia; **h.** Germinating conidium; **i–n.** Conidia; **o.** Colony on PDA from above and below. Scale bars: **c** = 200 μm , **d** = 100 μm , **e–h** = 20 μm , **i–n** = 10 μm .




Figure 2.

Phylogenetic tree generated from ML analysis, based on a concatenated LSU-ITS-SSU-RPB2 dataset. BS \geq 70/PP \geq 0.95 are indicated at the nodes. The newly-generated strain is shown in red and bold. Ex-type strains are indicated by black and bold. *Collariella bostrychodes* (CBS 586.83), *Corynascus fumimontanus* (CBS 137294) and *Leptosillia pistaciae* (CBS 128196) were used as outgroup taxa.

Table 1.

Primers and PCR amplification condition.

Locus	Primers (forward/reverse)	PCR amplification condition	Reference(s)
Large subunit ribosomal RNA (LSU)	LR0R/LR5	1. 95°C – 3 min	Vilgalys and Hester (1990), Hopple (1994), Lu et al. (2017)
		2. 94°C – 30 sec	
		3. 51°C – 50 sec	
		4. 72°C – 1 min	
		5. Repeat 2–4 for 30 cycles	
		6. 72°C – 7 min	
		7. 4°C on hold	
Internal transcribed spacer region of ribosomal DNA (ITS)	ITS1/ITS4	1. 95°C – 3 min	White et al. (1990), Lu et al. (2017)
		2. 95°C – 30 sec	
		3. 51°C – 1 min	
		4. 72°C – 45 sec	
		5. Repeat 2–4 for 34 cycles	
		6. 72°C – 10 min	
		7. 4°C on hold	
Small subunit ribosomal RNA (SSU)	NS1/NS4	1. 94°C – 3 min	White et al. (1990)
		2. 94°C – 45 sec	
		3. 56°C – 50 sec	
		4. 72°C – 1 min	
		5. Repeat 2–4 for 40 cycles	
		6. 72°C – 10 min	
		7. 4°C on hold	
RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (RPB2)	fRPB2-5f/ fRPB2-7cR	1. 95°C – 5 min	Liu et al. (1999)
		2. 95°C – 1 min	
		3. 55°C – 2 min	
		4. 72°C – 90 sec	
		5. Repeat 2 – 4 for 40 cycles	
		6. 72°C – 10 min	
		7. 4°C on hold	

Table 2.

Taxa used to infer the phylogenetic tree and their GenBank accession numbers.

Notes: "-" as meaning no data available in GenBank. The newly-generated sequences are underlined. The ex-type strains are in bold.

Taxa	Strain/ Voucher No.	GenBank Accession no.			
		ITS	LSU	SSU	RPB2
<i>Acrostalagmus luteoalbus</i>	strain V205	KJ443271	KJ443141	KJ443096	KJ443184
<i>Acrostalagmus luteoalbus</i>	strain V206	KJ443272	KJ443142	KJ443097	KJ443185
<i>Collariella bostrychodes</i>	CBS 586.83	KX976642	KX976739	-	KX976838
<i>Colletotrichum acutatum</i>	BBA 67875	AJ301926	AJ301926	AJ301926	-
<i>Colletotrichum circinans</i>	CBS 221.81	NR_111457	NG_069094	NG_062845	-
<i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i>	CBS 79672	-	AY705727	-	-
<i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i>	MCA 2498	DQ286198	DQ286199	-	-
<i>Colletotrichum sansevieriae</i>	MFLU 19–2898	MT177931	MT177958	MT177985	MT432208
<i>Colletotrichum truncatum</i>	BBA 70523	AJ301937	AJ301937	AJ301937	-
<i>Corynascus fumimontanus</i>	CBS 137294	MK919291	LK932706	-	MK919347
<i>Cylindrotrichum clavatum</i>	CBS 125296	GU180627	GU180643	GU180622	-
<i>Cylindrotrichum clavatum</i>	DLUCC 0575	MH120193	MH120184	-	MH120179
<i>Cylindrotrichum gorii</i>	DLUCC 0614	MH120195	MH120189	-	MH120183
<i>Cylindrotrichum oligospermum</i>	CBS 570.76	MH861002	MH872775	-	-
<i>Cylindrotrichum oligospermum</i>	CBS 561.77	GU291801	-	-	-
<i>Cylindrotrichum setosum</i>	DAOM 229246	-	GU180652	GU180617	-
<i>Gibellulopsis nigrescens</i>	CBS 120949	NR_149327	NG_067330	-	LR026149
<i>Gibellulopsis nigrescens</i>	DAOM 226890	GU180631	GU180648	GU180613	GU180664
<i>Kylindria chinensis</i>	MFLUCC 16–0965	MH120190	MH120186	-	MH120181
<i>Kylindria peruamazonensis</i>	CBS 838.91	GU180628	GU180638	GU180609	GU180656
<i>Kylindria peruamazonensis</i>	CBS 421.95	GU291800	HM237325	-	-
<i>Lectera nordwiniana</i>	CBS 144921	NR_161150	NG_066300	-	MK047549
<i>Lectera nordwiniana</i>	JW231013	MK047462	MK047512	-	MK047550
<i>Lectera sambuci</i>	CPC 36475	NR 170055	MT223905	-	-
<i>Leptosillia pistaciae</i>	CBS 128196	NR 160064	MH798901	-	MH791334
<i>Malaysiasca phaii</i>	CBS 141321	KX228280	KX228331	-	-
<i>Malaysiasca phaii</i>	MFLUCC 16–0256	MH275069	MH260302	MH260342	-
<i>Monilochaetes camelliae</i>	BRIP 24607	HM237327	HM237324	-	-
<i>Monilochaetes camelliae</i>	BRIP 24334c	HM237326	HM237323	-	-
<i>Monilochaetes dimorphospora</i>	MUCL 40959	NR_137765	HQ609480	NG 062390	-
<i>Monilochaetes guadalcanalensis</i>	CBS 346.76	GU180625	GU180640	-	-

<i>Monilochaetes infuscans</i>	CBS 379.77	-	GU180645	GU180619	GU180658
<i>Monilochaetes infuscans</i>	CBS 870.96	-	GU180644	GU180621	-
<i>Monilochaetes infuscans</i>	CBS 869.96	GU180626	GU180639	GU180620	GU180657
<i>Monilochaetes laeensis</i>	MR 2875	GU180624	GU180642	-	-
<i>Monilochaetes laeensis</i>	DAOM 226788	GU180623	GU180641	GU180610	-
<i>Monilochaetes melastomae</i>	CBS 145059	NR_161124	NG_068601	-	-
<i>Monilochaetes nothapodytis</i>	TRY2 34	MF153475	MF153476	-	-
<i>Monilochaetes pteridophytophila</i>	MFLUCC 21 – 0022	MW826218	MW826219	MW826220	MW829186

Table 3.

Synopsis of asexual morph of accepted species in *Monilochaetes* with morphological features.

Species	Hosts	Distribution	Macroconidiophores/ Microconidiophores (μm)	Macroconidia/ Microconidia (μm)	Reference(s)
<i>Monilochaetes basicurvata</i>	Palm petiole	Peru	200–300(–600) \times 5–7 / -	9–25 \times 3.5–6(–7) / -	Matsushima (1995)
<i>M. camelliae</i>	Branch of <i>Camellia sinensis</i>	Australia	200–720 \times 9–10(–10.5) / 40–60 \times 2–2.5	20.5–24(–26.5) \times (10–)11–12 / 4–5.5 \times 3–3.5	Sivanesan and Alcorn (2002), Réblová et al. (2011a)
<i>M. dimorphospora</i>	Decayed wood	Cuba	230–450 \times 6.5–7 / 40 \times 3	21–25(–27) \times 6.5–7 / 4.5–6(–6.5) \times 2.5–3	Réblová et al. (2011b)
<i>M. guadalcanalensis</i>	Decaying leaf of <i>Musa</i> sp.	Solomon Islands	150–220(–400) \times 4–7 / -	18–21 \times 6–9 / -	Rong and Gams (2000)
<i>M. infuscans</i>	<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> (sweet potato)	Asia, Australia, Europe, New Zealand, South Africa, Pacific Islands, USA	60–400 / -	15–20 \times 4–6 / -	Harter (1916), Lawrence et al. (1981), Rong and Gams (2000)
<i>M. laeensis</i>	Leaf litter, dead stipes and spathes of a tree fern, rotting frond stems of <i>Victoria regia</i> , dead stipes of <i>Dicksonia antarctica</i> and dead palm spathes	Australia, British Isles, Cuba, Ethiopia, India, Malaysia, Papua New Guinea, Sabah and Sri Lanka.	40–160(–280) \times 7–8 / -	(15.5–)18–22.5(–23.5) \times 7.5–9(–10) / -	Bhat and Sutton (1985), Kirk (1986), Rong and Gams (2000), Réblová et al. (2011a)
<i>M. melastomae</i>	Leaf spots of <i>Melastoma</i> sp.	Malaysia	90 – 250 \times 6 –10 / -	(17–)18–19(–20) \times (7.5–)8 / -	Crous et al. (2018)
<i>M. nothapodytis</i>	Healthy leaf of <i>Nothapodytes pittosporoides</i>	China	300–640 \times 7.5–13 / 18–35 \times 4–5.5	16.5–24 \times 9.5–15.5 / 3–4.9 \times 2.9–4	Zhou et al. (2017)
<i>M. pteridophytophila</i>	Dead frond stalks of <i>Alsophila costularis</i>	Thailand	(268–)360–565 \times 9–14.5 / -	20–24 \times 10–12 / -	This study
<i>M. regenerans</i>	Dead twigs of <i>Ficus</i> sp.	India	300 \times 8–10 / -	25–38 \times 12–16 / -	Bhat and Kendrick (1993)

Supplementary material

Suppl. material 1: Phylogenetic analysis of a combined LSU and ITS sequence data

Authors: Jingyi Zhang

Data type: phylogenetic tree

Brief description: Analysis II: Phylogenetic analysis of a combined LSU and ITS sequence data
The aligned sequence matrix comprises LSU (853 bp) and ITS (489 bp) sequence data for 39 taxa from GenBank. The aligned sequence matrix comprises 1,342 characters after alignment including the gaps, of which 873 characters were constant, 67 variable characters were parsimony-uninformative and 402 characters were parsimony informative. The matrix had 518 distinct alignment patterns, with 10.95% undetermined characters or gaps. The RAxML and BI analyses, based on combined LSU and ITS sequence data, provided similar tree topologies and the result of ML analysis is shown in FIGURE S1.

[Download file](#) (562.15 kb)