

Promoting research excellence in nature-based solutions for innovation, sustainable economic growth and human well-being in Malta.

The ecological foundations: biodiversity and its relationship to ecological function

Dr Lynn Dicks, Dr Mario Balzan



This project receives funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 809988.

Biodiversity is:

the variety of life on Earth at all its levels, from genes to ecosystems, and the ecological and evolutionary processes that sustain it.







Species diversity vs. richness

- **Species richness**: the number of species present in a given area
- **Species diversity**: species number weighted by measure of importance, such as abundance, productivity or size

Shannon's diversity index (H) = $-\sum \rho i \ln \rho i$

 ρ i is the proportion of the total number of specimens of species i expressed as a proportion of the total number of specimens for all species in the ecosystem.

Many people use the term "species diversity" when they mean species richness

RICHNESS vs. EVENNESS



Adapted from: Hunter, M. Jr. 2002. Fundamentals of Conservation Biology. Second Edition. Blackwell Science, Massachusetts, U.S.A.

An extraordinary number

- So far, about 1.9 million species have been described.
- Scientists estimate that there may be between 3 and 100 million species.
- Most estimates range between 13-20 million



Spector ©AMNH-CBC

Cone head katydid

How many species described?

Estimated Number of Described Species



How many species altogether?



Source: Caley *et al.* (2014) Global species richness estimates have not converged. *TREE*, 29, 187-188

Species are not isolated entities. They interact, relying on each other in complex webs

Ecology is the study of **how** species interact, **how** communities function

Measuring biodiversity

Site 1

What is biodiversity? A comparison of spider communities

- 1. Sort and classify a spider sample from one site
- 2. Assess the comprehensiveness of the sample
 - Draw a species accumulation curve
- 3. Compare diversity and species composition across five sites
 - Simpson diversity index
 - Number of endemics
 - Jaccard coefficient of community similarity

- 354 individual trees in ~1 ha of urban green space
- 16 species in total

- 354 individual trees in ~1 ha of urban green space
- 16 species in total

>50,000 individual trees in 50 x 1 ha of plots of tropical rainforest on Barro Colorado Island, Panama

225 species in total

A comparison of spider communities

SIMILARITY MATRIX	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 4	Site 5
Site 1					
Site 2	0.47				
Site 3	0.47	1.00			
Site 4	0.12	0.15	0.15		
Site 5	0.12	0.15	0.15	0.09	
Richness	13	9	9	6	6
Diversity	11.79	8.62	1.48	5.32	5.95

0

0

4

5

Endemics

Ladybird spider Eresus kollari

Eresidae Velvet spiders 100 species in the world

3

What is Biodiversity?

prepared by I.J. Harrison, N. Bynum, G. Cullman, J.P Gibbs, M.F. Laverty, A.L. Porzecanski and E.J. Sterling

Adapted by L.V. Dicks for ReNature Training Course, Trento, Italy, February 2020 Reproduction of this material is authorized by the recipient institution for non-profit/non-commercial educational use and distribution to students enrolled in course work at the institution. Distribution may be made

by photocopying or via the institution's intranet restricted to enrolled students. Recipient agrees not to make commercial use, such as, without limitation, in publications distributed by a commercial publisher, without the prior express written consent of AMNH.

All reproduction or distribution must provide full citation of the original work and provide a copyright notice as follows:

"Copyright 2004, by the authors of the material, with license for use granted to the Center for Biodiversity and Conservation of the American Museum of Natural History. All rights reserved."

This material is based on work supported by the National Science Foundation under the Course, Curriculum and Laboratory Improvement program (NSF 0127506), and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (Grant Agreement No. 98210-1-G017).

Any opinions, findings and conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the American Museum of Natural History, the National Science Foundation, or the United States Fish and Wildlife Service.

Acknowledgements

Mac Hunter (University of Maine), Raoul Bain, Kevin Koy, Ned Horning, Ho-Ling Poon, and Armistead Booker (American Museum of Natural History) provided assistance for production of images and accompanying text

Pictures from Wikimedia commons: "A taxonomist at work"/ Fitryamandita; Cape Fynbos 1/Chris Eason. American avocet/Kevin Cole; Ladybird spider/Fritz Geller-Grimm

This is a product of the Network of Conservation Educators and Practitioners (NCEP) http://ncep.amnh.org

Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning

Mario V Balzan

Institute of Applied Sciences, MCAST, Email: <u>mario.balzan@mcast.edu.mt</u>

This project receives funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 809988.

Characterising biodiversity

- Ecosystems can be ٠ characterised in terms of three attributes: composition, structure and function.
- These 3 attributes are interrelated at different spatio-temporal levels of organization going from the genetic to the landscape level.
- Different levels of this hierarchy becoming appropriate when answering different ecological questions

Ecosystem Functions

- By functional characteristics, or the 'functioning' of ecosystems, we mean the processes or properties of ecosystems that are influenced by its biota (Naeem, 2002)
- Ecosystem functions are ecological processes that control the fluxes of energy, nutrients and organic matter through an environment.
- Examples include: primary production, nutrient cycling, decomposition (Cardinale et al., 2012)

Ecosystem function-service relationship

- **Ecosystem functions** sustain the provision of specific ecosystem services thus indicating the natural capacity to provide that service.
- **Ecosystem services** are the actual contribution of ecosystem components (as goods or services) to human well-being.

Adapted from Liquete, C., Cid, N., Lanzanova, D., Grizzetti, B., & Reynaud, A. (2016). Perspectives on the link between ecosystem services and biodiversity: The assessment of the nursery function. *Ecological Indicators*, *63*, 249–257.

What is the impact of biodiversity loss on ecosystem functioning?

Infographic showing IPBES global assessment report (Source: <u>EC Science Hub,</u> <u>2019</u>)

Do species matter?

Does increased biodiversity translate into improved ecosystem functioning?

Diagram showing a grahical representation of early hypothetical relationships between biodiversity and ecosystem processes >

earth. The Challenges and Opportunities ahead Tilman (2003) Ecosystem services and life on a human-dominated

Diverse Systems Use Limiting Resources More Efficiently

earth. The Challenges and Opportunities ahead. Tilman (2003) Ecosystem services and life on a human-dominated

- Ecosystem biodiversity enhances productivity, nutrient use efficiency
- Greater diversity leads to greater ecosystem stability and predictability
- Greater diversity leads to less disease
- The quality and quantity of ecosystem services depends on the diversity and composition of managed and natural ecosystems

What is the impact of (functional) biodiversity on ecosystem services?

 Several studies indicating that biodiversity contributes to increased ecosystem service delivery (e.g. Balvanera et al. 2006).

Balvanera, P., Pfisterer, A. B., Buchmann, N., He, J.-S., Nakashizuka, T., Raffaelli, D., & Schmid,
B. (2006). Quantifying the evidence for biodiversity effects on ecosystem functioning and services. *Ecology Letters*, 9(10), 1146– 1156. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00963.x

3 Magnitude and direction of biodieffects (shown are mean values and normalized effect sizes Zn weighted reciprocal of the variance of the ual Z_r-values) and number of measits available for ecosystem properties zed into ecosystem services. Coloured ow differential effects of trophic level ilated: green, primary producers; blue, consumers; pink, mycorrhiza; v decomposer; grey, multitrophic sle levels simultaneously manipulated). tem properties shown in parentheses onsidered of negative value for human ing, and thus opposite of effect sizes own.

Ecosys service	tem Ecosystem to increasing property -1	osystem property g biodiversity 01	measurements 0 40 80
ary /secondary/ ary product Mity	1º Producer abundance	• •	
	1° Consumer abundance		-
Prim	2º Consumer abundance —	•	
Erosion control	Plant root biomass	+	
	Mycorrhiza abundance	-	-
cycling	Decomposer activity	+	-
Nutrient	Plant nutrient concentration	•	
	Nutrient supply from soli	•	-
~	1º Consumer diversity	•	
on of liversit	1ºConsumers: (Plant disease severity)	•	
egulati ogical (Decomposer diversity	•	•
E SG	(Invader fitness)	-	-
	(Invader diversity)		
	Consumption resistance	+	
	Invasion resistance	•	
bility	Drought resistance	-	
Sta	Resistance vs. other disturbances	•	•
	Natural variation	•	

Functional traits

Functional trait: a characteristic of an organism, which has demonstrable links to the organism's function.

A functional trait determines the organism's response to pressures (*response trait*), and its effects on ecosystem processes or services (*effect trait*).

Structurefunction relationships plants: plant functional traits

Function

Fecundity Dispersal Establishment

Light interception Competitive ability

Resorption of nutrients; decomposability of litter

Absorption (nutrients, water) Carbon fluxes (exsudation...)

Functional trait

Seed mass

Plant canopy height

Traits of living leaves NIRS spectrum

Density, diameter Specific root length

Source: Lavorel et al. Using plant functional traits to understand the landscape distribution of multiple ecosystem services

Most commonly reported plant and invertebrate traits and their involvement in multiple ecosystem service delivery: most services are underpinned by multiple traits.

de Bello, F., Lavorel, S., Díaz, S., Harrington, R., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Bardgett, R. D., Berg, M. P., Cipriotti, P., Feld, C. K., Hering, D., da Silva, P. M., Potts, S. G., Sandin, L., Sousa, J. P., Storkey, J., Wardle, D. A., & Harrison, P. A. (2010). Towards an assessment of multiple ecosystem processes and services via functional traits. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 19(10), 2873–2893.

Ecosystem function-service relationship

- Understanding the processes that underpin ecosystem service delivery is crucial if the impact of change on current and future ecosystem services is to be quantified.
- But, there is a lack of proxies for ecological functions and the links between and ecosystem functions and ecosystem services may be context dependent (e.g. depending on ecosystem type) (Birkhofer et al. 2015).

Birkhofer, K., Diehl, E., Andersson, J., Ekroos, J., Früh-Müller, A., Machnikowski, F., Mader, V. L., Nilsson, L., Sasaki, K., Rundlöf, M., Wolters, V., & Smith, H. G. (2015). Ecosystem services-current challenges and opportunities for ecological research. *Frontiers* in Ecology and Evolution, 2(JAN), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2014.00087 Step 1: Identify traits that respond to environmental driver of interest

Environmental driver Environmental driver Grassland management intensity Grassland management intensity Trophic level 2 **Driver response traits Driver response traits** Trophic response traits Height Height Body size **LDMC** LDMC Proboscis length Legumes Legumes **Trophic level 1** Corolla length Flower colour **Trophic effect traits Trophic level 1**

Step 2: Identify the trophic effect and response traits of the

lower and upper trophic levels respectively.

Lavorel, S., Storkey, J., Bardgett, R. D., De Bello, F., Berg, M. P., Le Roux, X., Moretti, M., Mulder, C., Pakeman, R. J., Díaz, S., & Harrington, R. (2013). A novel framework for linking functional diversity of plants with other trophic levels for the quantification of ecosystem services. Journal of Vegetation Science, 24(5), 942–948. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12083 **Step 3**: Define and identify appropriate metrics of functional effect traits that determine efficiency of service delivery.

Step 4: Analyse linkages among different response and effect traits within each trophic level.

Lavorel, S., Storkey, J., Bardgett, R. D., De Bello, F., Berg, M. P., Le Roux, X., Moretti, M., Mulder, C., Pakeman, R. J., Díaz, S., & Harrington, R. (2013). A novel framework for linking functional diversity of plants with other trophic levels for the quantification of ecosystem services. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, *24*(5), 942–948. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12083

Sampling effect

- Increases in ecosystem functioning with increase diversity could occur through 2 mechanisms:
 - Sampling effect local and regional stochastic processes involved in community assembly, which are mimicked in experiments by random sampling from a species pool.

Figure from: Kleijn, D., Winfree, R., Bartomeus, I., Carvalheiro, L. G., Henry, M., Isaacs, R., Klein, A.-M., Kremen, C., ... Potts, S. G. (2015).
Delivery of crop pollination services is an insufficient argument for wild pollinator conservation. Nature Communications, 6(JANUARY), 7414.

Complementarity effects

- 2. Complementarity: if niches are complementary, then adding species could increase the function linearly until a saturation point is reached
- Facilitation occurs if certain species/functional groups alleviate harsh conditions or provide resources for other species.

Tilman, D. (2000). Causes, consequences and ethics of biodiversity. *Nature*, 405(6783), 208–211. https://doi.org/10.1038/35012217

Seed dispersal by frugivorous birds

- Positive relationship between thrush abundance/richness and richness of seeds, seed arrival rate and seed colonisation rate.
- Complementarity effect due to niche partitioning (diet and spatial behaviour).
- Facilitation effect as some species of thrushes track the presence of others across the foraging landscape.
- Sampling effect as one bird species *Turdus* iliacus accounted for nearly 50% of the observations and its abundance was strongly correlated to seed dispersal magnitude
- García, D., & Martínez, D. (2012). Species richness matters for the quality of ecosystem services: A test using seed dispersal by frugivorous birds. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 279(1740), 3106-3113.

abundance of thrushes (log)

richness of thrushes

400

distance (II) 200 200

100

0

100

200 300

distance (m)

seed arrival rate

200 300

distance (m)

100

0

1

0

6

2

400

richness of seeds

1.5

seed colonization rate

1.00

0.69

0.46

0.23

400

Biodiversity – ecosystem multifunctionality relationships

 Different species involved in the provisioning of different services. Thus, many more species are needed, in total, to provide many services than are needed to provide a single service.

Tilman, D., Isbell, F., & Cowles, J. (2014). Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 45*, 471–493.

A "jack of all trades" effect?

Hypothetical example where the mixing of two species causes a 'jack-of-all-trades, but master-of-none' effect.

 Van Der Plas, F., Manning, P., Allan, E., Scherer-Lorenzen,
 M., Verheyen, K., Wirth, C., Zavala, M. A.,...Fischer, M.
 (2016). Jack-of-all-trades effects drive biodiversityecosystem multifunctionality relationships in
 European forests. *Nature Communications*, 7, 1–11.

A "jack of all trades" effect?

 The effects of tree biodiversity on observed ecosystem multifunctionality and individual ecosystem functions.

 Van Der Plas, F., Manning, P., Allan, E., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Verheyen, K., Wirth, C., Zavala, M. A.,...Fischer, M. (2016). Jack-of-all-trades effects drive biodiversityecosystem multifunctionality relationships in European forests. *Nature Communications*, 7, 1–11.

Trade-offs between functions

- Negative effects of species as demonstrated by inter/intraspecifici competition in two species of dung beetles supporting 2 ecosystem services: dung burial and seed germination.
- If no interspecific interaction, high dung burial and germination (E); Interspecific interaction reduces ES delivery (e.g. F, G). If high intraspecific competition, functioning will be below the A-D line.

Trends in Plant Science

Summary

- Ecosystem functions are ecological processes that control the fluxes of energy, nutrients and organic matter through an environment.
- Ecosystem functions sustain ecosystem services.
- Biodiversity is associated with increased ecosystem functioning but there is a saturation effects. Complementarity, facilitation and sampling effects are key mechanisms that explain this relationship.
- Biodiversity ecosystem multifunctionality relationships are more complex to explain: traditionally, biodiversity was thought as being more strongly (steeper gradient) associated with multifunctionality but this has been contradicted by recent research (due to jack-of-all-trade and sampling effects and the negative effects arising when adding species)

ReNature

This project receives funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 809988.